



Official Notice

Meeting of Regional Council

Regional Council Chambers
Regional Headquarters Building
605 Rossland Road East, Whitby

Wednesday, June 29, 2022

9:30 AM

Please note: This meeting will be held in a hybrid meeting format with electronic and in-person participation. It is encouraged that members of the public [view the Committee meeting](#) via live streaming, instead of attending the meeting in-person. If in-person attendance is required, arrangements must be made by emailing clerks@durham.ca prior to the meeting date.

1. Traditional Territory Acknowledgement

2. Roll Call

3. Declarations of Interest

4. Adoption of Minutes

4.1 Regional Council meeting – May 25, 2022 Pages 6 - 50

4.2 Committee of the Whole meeting – June 22, 2022 Under Separate Cover

5. Presentations

5.1 Joe Maiorano, Deputy Chief, Durham Regional Police Services,
re: Quarterly Update to Regional Council

5.2 Kiersten Allore-Engel, Manager of Community Safety and Well-being, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion, re: Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) Community Safety and Well-being Symposium Summary

6. Delegations

There are no delegations

7. Reports related to Delegations/Presentations

There are no reports related to Delegations/Presentations

8. Communications

- CC 105 Correspondence from the Durham Region Anti-Racism Taskforce, re: War in Ukraine and the Government of Canada's Response to Welcome Ukrainian Citizens Page 51
- CC 106 Correspondence from the Regional Clerk, re: Cancellation of October 2022 Council and Standing Committee Meetings Page 51
- CC 107 Correspondence from Bobbie Drew, Board Chair, Durham Regional Police Services Board, re: DRPS 2021 Annual Report Page 51

9. Committee Reports and any related Notice of Motions

- 9.1 Finance and Administration Committee Pages 55 - 61
- 9.2 Health and Social Services Committee Pages 62 - 63
- 9.3 Planning and Economic Development Committee Pages 64 - 65
- 9.4 Works Committee Pages 66 - 70
- 9.5 Committee of the Whole Pages 71 - 74

10. Notice of Motions

- 10.1 Temporary Replacements at Committee Page 75

11. Unfinished Business

There is no unfinished business

12. Other Business

- 12.1 2022 Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation Annual Shareholder Meeting Page 76
- 12.2 Amending Agreement to the Metrolinx – 905 PRESTO Operating Agreement (2022-F-19) Pages 76 - 77
- 12.3 Ukrainian Humanitarian Response in Durham (2022-A-22) Page 77

- 12.4 Servicing Agreement with OPB Realty Inc. for the Relocation and Oversizing of a Sanitary Sewer and Watermains from an Easement on Private Property onto Glenanna Road and Pickering Parkway, in the City of Pickering (2022-W-28)

Pages 77 - 78

13. Announcements

14. By-laws

- 31-2022 Being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon instalment debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,573,000.00 (\$1,200,000.00 principal amount of 10 year instalment debentures and \$4,373,000.00 principal amount of 20 year instalment debentures) for capital works of The Corporation of the City of Pickering.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #12 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 32-2022 Being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon instalment debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$26,402,000.00 (\$6,402,000.00 principal amount of 10 year instalment debentures and \$20,000,000.00 principal amount of 20 year instalment debentures) for capital works of The Corporation of the Municipality of Clarington.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #12 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 33-2022 Being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon 15 year instalment debentures in the principal amount of \$25,900,000.00 for a capital work of The Regional Municipality of Durham.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #12 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 34-2022 Being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon instalment debentures in the principal amounts authorized by by-laws Numbers **31-2022, 32-2022 and 33-2022** in the aggregate principal amount of

\$57,875,000.00 (\$7,602,000.00 aggregate principal amount of 10 year instalment debentures, \$25,900,000.00 principal amount of 15 year instalment debentures and \$24,373,000.00 aggregate principal amount of 20 year instalment debentures) and the issuing of one series of instalment debentures therefor.

This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #12 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022

- 35-2022 Being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon 10 year instalment debentures in the principal amount of \$700,000.00 for a capital work of The Corporation of the City of Oshawa.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #12 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 36-2022 Being a by-law to adopt Amendment #187 to the Durham Regional Official Plan.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #2 of the 6th Report of the Planning & Economic Development Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 37-2022 Being a by-law to adopt Amendment #188 to the Durham Regional Official Plan.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #3 of the 6th Report of the Planning & Economic Development Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 39-2022 Being a by-law regarding development charges for transit services.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #10 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022
- 40-2022 Being a by-law to constitute and appoint a Compliance Audit Committee for The Regional Municipality of Durham pursuant to the requirements of section 88.37 of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996.

This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #1 of the 1st Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on January 26, 2022

- 41-2022 Being a by-law to confirm the appointment of Principles Integrity as the Integrity Commissioner for the Regional Municipality of Durham (Regional Integrity Commissioner).
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #7 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022

- 42-2022 Being a by-law to provide for the determining, fixing and paying of annual, other remuneration and benefits to the Chair, members of the Regional Council and to each Chair of the other Standing Committees, Transit Executive Committee and members of the Police Services Board.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #5 of the 6th Report of the Finance & Administration Committee presented to Regional Council on June 29, 2022

- 43-2022 Being a by-law to amend By-law Number 22-2018 by which the linear limits of the several roads comprising the Regional Road system are defined.
- This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #6 of the 3rd Report of the Works Committee presented to Regional Council on March 23, 2022

15. Confirming By-law

- 44-2022 Being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of Regional Council at their meeting held on June 29, 2022

16. Adjournment

Notice regarding collection, use and disclosure of personal information:

Written information (either paper or electronic) that you send to Durham Regional Council or Committees, including home address, phone numbers and email addresses, will become part of the public record. This also includes oral submissions at meetings. If you have any questions about the collection of information, please contact the Regional Clerk/Director of Legislative Services.

The Regional Municipality of Durham

MINUTES

REGIONAL COUNCIL

Wednesday, May 25, 2022

The Council of The Regional Municipality of Durham met in the Council Chambers, Regional Headquarters Building, 605 Rossland Road East, Whitby, Ontario at 9:30 AM. Electronic participation was offered for this meeting.

Regional Chair Henry assumed the Chair.

1. Traditional Territory Acknowledgment

Regional Chair Henry read the following land acknowledgement:

We are currently located on land which has long served as a site of meeting and exchange among the Mississaugas Peoples and is the traditional and treaty territory of the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation. We honour, recognize and respect this nation and Indigenous Peoples as the traditional stewards of the lands and waters on which we meet today.

Regional Chair Henry advised that over the weekend there was an unprecedented weather event in the Region and stated that as we are continuing to navigate through the devastating effects, our thoughts are with all of those impacted. Chair Henry extended a sincere thank you to everyone involved in the Region's recovery efforts and noted that they have gone above and beyond the line of duty to ensure our communities are safe. Chair Henry advised that the Region is working closely with the area municipalities to continue recovery efforts and is doing everything possible to assist impacted residents during this challenging time.

2. Roll Call

A roll call was taken by the Regional Clerk and the following members were present:

Councillor Anderson, attended the meeting at 9:49 AM
Councillor Ashe
Councillor Barton, was absent on municipal business
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Foster, left the meeting at 8:24 PM
Councillor Grant

Councillor Highet
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Lee
Councillor Marimpietri*
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Newman*
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy, attended the meeting at 10:09 AM
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

*** indicates members who participated in-person, all other members participated electronically**

All members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton and Roy.

Councillor Brenner attended on behalf of Councillor McLean as the alternate for the City of Pickering.

Councillor Newman attended on behalf on Councillor Mitchell as the alternate for the Town of Whitby.

3. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest made

4. Adoption of Minutes

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Ryan,
(85) That the minutes of the following meetings be adopted:

- Regular Regional Council meeting held on April 27, 2022;
- Regular Committee of the Whole meeting held on May 11, 2022.

CARRIED

5. Presentations

5.1 Jamie Austin, Deputy General Manager, Business Services and Christopher Norris, Deputy General Manager, Operations, re: Durham Region Transit (DRT) 2021 Safe Driver Awards

Jamie Austin, Deputy General Manager, Business Services, appeared with respect to the Durham Region Transit (DRT) 2021 Safe Driver Awards. J. Austin

advised that since 2015, DRT has been recognizing their professional operators for their achievements in safely operating public transit vehicles throughout the Region. J. Austin noted that today's award recipients have collectively driven over 340 years without a preventable collision and congratulated them on their accomplishment. Award recipients included:

- 5 years
 - Philmour Clarke
 - Dean Grant
 - James Howard
 - Chris Johnson
 - Marco Latin
 - Tarah-Lee MacKay
 - Glenn Mitchell
 - Majid Pazoklan
 - Mike Rethoret
 - Lou Spada
 - Paul Tait
 - Laura Tillaart
- 10 years
 - Charlyn Archer
 - Rhonda Clarry
 - Peter Kailasapillai
 - Tyrone King
 - Mike Madani
 - Glenn Miller
 - Tom Roberts
 - Jennifer Schneider
 - Dawn Sutton
 - Kyle Tate
 - Alfredo Trono
- 15 Years
 - Jamie Brown
 - Adam Fraser
 - Maher Musa
 - Harry Nokes
 - Rob Rolland
 - Brian Ruddy
- 20 Years
 - Jim Mochan
- 30 Years
 - Tim Bradley
 - Michael Mingay

Tim Bradley, recipient of a 30-year Safe Driver Award, attended the meeting in-person and participated in a photo opportunity with Regional Chair Henry and CAO Elaine Baxter-Trahair. Chair Henry congratulated Tim Bradley on his achievement.

5.2 Stella Danos-Papaconstantinou, Commissioner of Social Services and Jenni Demanuele, Acting Commissioner of Works re: Updated Construction Cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project (2022-COW-11)

Stella Danos-Papaconstantinou, Commissioner of Social Services and Jenni Demanuele, Acting Commissioner of Works appeared with respect to an updated construction cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project. Highlights of their presentation included:

- North Durham Community Profile
- Social Services in North Durham
- Why Supportive Housing
- Beaverton Supportive Housing Project
- What are we building?
 - Exterior Approach
 - Hub Building
 - South Court
 - Aerial Rendering
 - Unit Plan
 - Hub Building
- Modular Construction
- Original Cost Estimates for 2020 Construction
- Statistics Canada Construction Inflation
- Updated Cost Estimate – April 2022
- Comparators
- Next Steps

J. Demanuele and E. Baxter-Trahair responded to questions from the members of Council.

5.3 Brian Bridgeman, Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development and Gary Muller, Director of Planning, re: Memorandum regarding Commissioner's Report #2022-P-11 and Staff's Response to Recommendations from Planning and Economic Development Committee

E. Baxter-Trahair provided introductory remarks and noted that the BILD Scenario (Scenario 2a) cannot be compared to the other scenarios recommended in Report #2022-P-11 as it does not contain the same inputs and will not allow the Region to meet its priorities in the coming decade.

Brian Bridgeman, Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development and Gary Muller, Director of Planning appeared with respect to the memorandum regarding Commissioner's Report #2022-P-11 and staff's response to the recommendations from the Planning and Economic Development Committee. Highlights of their presentation included:

- Scenario 2a ("BILD Scenario")

- Regional Team Concerns
- Employment Area Conversions Not Reflected
- Approach to High Density Units
- Durham's Population is Aging
- Market Demand
- Placing the BILD Scenario Inputs into the Region's Land Needs Assessment Model
- Climate Change and Sustainability
- Infrastructure and Financing Considerations
- Strategic Plan
- Recommendations
- Urban Area Land Need Compared

G. Muller and B. Bridgeman responded to questions from the members of Council.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Smith,
(86) That Council recess for 15 minutes.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 11:01 AM and reconvened at 11:16 AM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson and Barton.

G. Muller and B. Bridgeman responded to additional questions from members of Council.

Moved by Councillor Collier, Seconded by Councillor Marimpietri,
(87) That the following delegations that did not first appear before the Planning & Economic Development Committee on this matter be heard by Council:
6.1, 6.2, 6.4, 6.13, 6.14, 6.16 and 6.17.

CARRIED on 2/3rds VOTE

Moved by Councillor Foster, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(88) That Council recess for one hour for lunch.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 12:49 PM and reconvened at 1:51 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton, Crawford, Wotten and Yamada.

6. Delegations

6.1 Zac Cohoon, Chair, Durham Agricultural Advisory Committee, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Zac Cohoon, Chair, Durham Agricultural Advisory Committee (DAAC), participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

Z. Cohoon appeared as a representative of the DAAC and stated that agriculture is a significant economic driver in the Region and added that Durham is a leading food producer and represents 37% of the Greater Toronto Area's (GTAs) total farm production.

Z. Cohoon stated that more than one family farm is lost each day in Ontario which is not sustainable; there is no need for urban boundary expansions; and more medium and high density affordable housing options are required.

Z. Cohoon stated that with the population expected to double, it is vital to preserve agricultural land, and residents need access to local food.

Z. Cohoon urged Council to heed the advice of DAAC, Durham Farm Fresh and the Durham Region Federation of Agriculture and stand up for the agricultural industry and added that agriculture should be at the forefront of the plan we take forward for climate change mitigation, and farmers practice the most efficient land uses. Z. Cohoon stated that Canada has limited space for agricultural production compared to the size of the country and the agricultural community does a great job of utilizing that space.

Z. Cohoon concluded that agriculture needs to be preserved at all costs.

6.2 Daniel Hoornweg, Chair, Durham Region Roundtable on Climate Change Committee, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Daniel Hoornweg, Chair, Durham Region Roundtable on Climate Change (DRRCC), participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

D. Hoornweg advised that at the last DRRCC meeting, Scenario 5 was endorsed and reminded Council that the DRRCC is an advisory group which reports to Council, and which gauges the Region's impact to and from climate change. The Committee has won awards from the Federal and Provincial governments and staff commissioned a report on climate adaptation.

D. Hoornweg questioned whether staff contemplated DRRCC's recommendation to adopt Scenario 5, since they recommended Scenario 4; and expressed surprise that the BILD Scenario 2a was introduced. D. Hoornweg stated that Scenario 2a will significantly increase climate impact and the Region will not be able to meet its climate plans; that support for Scenario 2a causes a loss of

credibility, given the Region's endorsement of the climate emergency resolution; and added that Regional Advisory Committees may question their validity. D. Hoornweg stated that the recommendation put forth circumvented the DRRCC who acted in good faith to analyze the publicly vetted scenarios.

D. Hoornweg stated that the BILD scenario should not be selected.

D. Hoornweg stated that Canada is the most wasteful carbon intensive country in the world and Durham Region showed initiative when Council adopted the emergency climate declaration.

D. Hoornweg responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.3 Despina Melohe, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

The delegation from Despina Melohe was heard later in the meeting. [See Item 6.3 on pages 18 to 19]

6.4 Bart Hawkins Kreps, Clarington resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Bart Hawkins Kreps, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

B. Hawkins thanked Durham Region for commissioning the Envision Durham study; staff for outlining why sprawl would make it impossible to meet climate targets, provide public transit, and would hike infrastructure maintenance costs; committee members who opposed Scenario 2a; and Council members who voted for a future of minimal or no urban boundary expansion.

B. Hawkins advised of writing to Council members, leaving voice messages, and speaking to some personally asking to stop sprawl, and was encouraged to learn that other citizens are focusing on this issue, there is rapidly growing awareness that population growth does not require endless sprawl, and that this has become an election issue. B. Hawkins further added that as a senior, it is encouraging to hear the voices of younger people who are knowledgeable, articulate and politically savvy, and added that they are the constituents of the future who will thank Council for taking a stand to stop sprawl.

6.5 Vittorio Perroni, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Vittorio Perroni withdrew their request to speak.

6.6 Mike Borie, Pickering resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Mike Borie, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Borie requested that Council vote for no new land for housing and employment lands and reminded Council of the emergency climate declaration that was made. M. Borie asked Council to maximize the growth areas we already have and to hold the urban boundary where it is today for at least 10 years, and advised that the request is based on the following realities:

- There is tremendous uncertainty about the build-out of the Seaton DGA and the pace to date has been slow.
- There are more questions than answers about wastewater servicing costs and capacity at the Duffins Creek Water Pollution Control Plant, which Phase 2 of Seaton will depend on.
- That downstream Ajax is vulnerable to flooding if upstream Carruthers Headwaters is urbanized, and the cost to create manmade stormwater controls will be exorbitant.
- That affordable housing is most achievable if built on existing infrastructure networks.
- That for Durham to have a chance at complete communities we have to invite future neighbours into mature areas with very low densities.
- That agriculture contributes to our economy through goods and ecosystem services and prime agricultural areas are not lands-in-waiting for development.
- That natural assets are sequestering carbon for free, and we need more of them in service to the climate crisis, not less.

M. Borie questioned why take the risk on so much new land and why give 30 years of control and regional power away today when it's not absolutely necessary. M. Borie also questioned whether consuming these vast natural areas will have zero impact on our ability to mitigate climate change, will increase housing affordability, or will have a measurable impact on our food security.

M. Borie stated that there is plenty of land already designated for growth for the next ten years and more, and evidence suggests that an urban boundary expansion isn't needed before 2051, Council can safely make that decision knowing it can be corrected at the next Municipal Comprehensive Review if more accurate information becomes available. However, if Council unnecessarily expands the urban boundary and subsequent information makes it clear that Council shouldn't have done so, Council can't take the land back. M. Borie further stated that the consequence is unnecessary irreversible sprawl for present and future taxpayers to pay for.

6.7 Helen Brenner, Pickering resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Helen Brenner, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

H. Brenner stated that there has never been a time when land use decisions have been as critical as today. She also stated that on April 4th, the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change warned that government inaction on Greenhouse Gas

targets around the globe means that unless we act now the world is on a fast track to disaster and scientists are declaring it's now or never to limit planetary warming to 1.5 degrees.

H. Brenner further stated that today municipalities across the Greater Golden Horseshoe are making critical decisions that could lock in 156,000 acres for development over the next 30 years. She also stated that in Durham, the fate of about 17,000 acres sits in the hands of this Regional Government and watersheds, prime agricultural lands, and natural assets are at risk. She stated that we are at a critical fork in the road, and that the time for business-as-usual decisions is over.

H. Brenner further advised that the Region has received over 300 letters from concerned residents asking it to reject sprawl and that the community of voices is asking the Region to adopt a Land Needs Scenario that embraces sustainable development goals and smart planning principles that allows the Region to welcome the next 30 years' worth of new citizens within our existing urban boundaries.

H. Brenner stated that this investment in current neighbourhoods and designated growth area will efficiently use the lands we already have available and that by growing inwards first and planning for gentle density within the built-up area and maximizing density of designated growth areas, we can accelerate housing affordability with a diverse blend of smaller yet attractive missing middle housing forms. H. Brenner further stated that taking this approach will result in excess community area land within the existing designated greenfield that could be reallocated to the employment area land, and added it will be important to significantly increase the employment area land densities by requiring land efficient building forms and planning for more job dense types of employment. H. Brenner added that through gentle intensification we can transition to complete communities.

H. Brenner requested that Durham Region provide all decision makers and citizens with both the financial cost and the financial cost of climate change mitigation, associated with each of the seven land needs scenarios before any decisions are made to expand beyond existing urban boundaries. H. Brenner concluded by referencing the Durham Region Climate Change Emergency Declaration and stating that we must all acknowledge that there is now no margin for error in the land use decisions we make today.

6.8 Kathleen Ffolliott, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Kathleen Ffolliott, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

K. Ffolliott requested that Council reject the Scenario 2a motion approved at the Planning and Economic Development Committee meeting and endorse Scenario 5 and a zero boundary expansion in both community and employment land as

part of the Municipal Comprehensive Review. K. Ffolliott stated that we are in a climate crisis and that planning for sprawl by expanding urban boundaries goes against the Region's sustainability and climate commitments.

K. Ffolliott stated that the Region has land available for development within our urban boundaries that should be used to grow up gently and accommodate any new growth in a way that would generate new local businesses, encourage people to walk to the services they need, generate more affordable housing options, and prevent tax increases.

K. Ffolliott further stated that the land outside of the urban boundaries plays a vital role and should not be paved over and the Region should not be giving up Class 1 farmland to low-density housing or to businesses with huge footprints and few actual jobs; that land outside our urban boundaries has a vital role to feed us, prevent flooding, sequester carbon, clean our air and water, and more; and that Scenario 5 would help the Region reduce GHG emissions.

K. Ffolliott stated that urban sprawl is costly and that fiscal management requires the Region to consider the costs of sprawling outside our urban boundaries and added that other communities have found calculating the costs of sprawl versus infill intensification very revealing, Council and taxpayers deserve to know the true cost of sprawl before committing to any expansion of urban boundaries.

K. Ffolliott stated that there is no need to assume that the only options are sprawl or tall and added that the Region can accommodate new growth within existing urban boundaries, and through gentle intensification, can establish mixed-use neighbourhoods and create livable, complete communities.

K. Ffolliott concluded by urging Council to endorse Scenario 5 and stated that the Region has an opportunity to prioritize building complete communities by establishing a minimum target of 80 people and jobs per hectare so walkable, bikeable, transit-oriented communities are possible.

K. Ffolliott responded to questions from members of Council.

6.9 Matthew Cory, Malone Given Parsons, on behalf of BILD (Durham Chapter), re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Matthew Cory appeared on behalf of BILD Durham Chapter, regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Cory clarified that Scenario 2a which staff is assessing, is not a BILD scenario, it is one of many scenarios the Region is considering and that BILD has asked staff to consider and support.

M. Cory stated that the staff memorandum included in the Addendum does not state that Scenario 2a would not conform to the growth plan, and believes it would comply and BILD has provided a number of technical analyses to demonstrate that it would.

M. Cory stated that BILD has been providing technical analysis and input to staff for more than a year and is only now seeing responses via the staff memorandum included in the Addendum. M. Cory added that the additional work provided by BILD addresses many of the comments and concerns in the staff memo.

M. Cory stated that BILD does not believe that the Land Needs Assessment from the consulting team conforms to provincial land needs assessment methodologies in significant areas and contains errors. M. Cory further stated that the recommended scenarios skew land needs and significantly understate the amount of land required and added that those assumptions need to be corrected in all scenarios.

M. Cory stated that staffs' recommendations disregard the adopted positions of most of the southern municipalities who supported either Scenario 2, a modified Scenario 2 or Scenario 3.

M. Cory also stated that the Region needs to decide whether to provide sufficient housing choices for residents for the next 30 years and added that their revised scenario accommodates growth of 575,000 people in 30 years; is not sprawl; protects areas for agriculture and natural heritage; and that the vast majority of the new population would be directed to existing areas. M. Cory stated that the staff recommended scenarios 3, 4 and 5 shows apartments in a quantity of more than what will be required and provide no opportunity for low and medium density housing without a municipal comprehensive review, and that the scenarios are overplanning for apartments and under-supplying the low and medium density types required. M. Cory stated that not accommodating people in the types of housing they want would force them further afield, contrary to the Growth Plan. M. Cory stated the Region needs mixed use, transit supported communities.

M. Cory stated that removing choice from residents to provide the housing they need and want is a huge risk that could be detrimental to the Region and requested that Council support Pickering, Whitby, Oshawa and Clarington's positions.

M. Cory responded to questions from members of Council.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(89) That Council recess for 15 minutes.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 3:50 PM and reconvened at 4:05 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton, and Wotten.

6.10 Alyssa Scanga, on behalf of Climate Justice Durham, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Alyssa Scanga, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

A. Scanga asked that Council support the motion from Councillors Collier and Dies to support no community area expansion in Durham and advised that in January 2020, Durham Region declared a climate emergency and acknowledged that climate change is the biggest existential threat of our time and promised to act accordingly. A. Scanga added that the Land Needs Assessment shows that population density can be supported within the existing urban boundaries and that low density planning increases sprawl and impacts agricultural lands and the environment.

A. Scanga stated that there are other forms of medium and high density housing other than apartment towers and added that shifting towards medium and high density housing provides more balanced housing options which increase housing affordability and produces walkable and transit friendly communities. A. Scanga stated that Scenario 5 provides a balance.

A. Scanga stated that at the Planning and Economic Development Committee meeting the majority of public input supported Scenarios 4 and 5 yet Councillors seem to be working under the assumption that public opinion is not necessarily the public interest and stated that reducing sprawl is in the public's best interest and that is what the constituents are telling Council.

A. Scanga noted the derecho on the weekend that caused unprecedented damage in Durham Region illustrated the importance of mitigating climate change and added that these disasters will get more frequent and intense, and urban sprawl increases these risks.

A. Scanga concluded by stating that this is a crisis, and we have a responsibility to be brave and take bold strides to fight for a liveable future for Durham Region and that means endorsing a zero urban boundary expansion.

6.11 Phil Pothen, Ontario Environment Program Manager, Environmental Defence, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Phil Pothen, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

P. Pothen outlined his credentials and stated that Environmental Defence is calling on Council to reject the Northeast Pickering Land Owners Group Community Land Needs Scenario 2a, and to reject any boundary expansion beyond that recommended by staff. P. Pothen stated that Environmental Defence has long recognized the Carruthers Creek headwaters, the area that Scenario 2a is condemning to destruction, as the most significant priority for the next stage of Greenbelt expansion and permanent protection.

P. Pothen stated that his team has been involved in land needs assessments across the GTA and can speak to how Scenario 2a compares with those adopted or rejected in other areas and added that they are calling on Durham to reject this proposal as it is the most extreme, fiscally reckless, and blatantly unlawful boundary expansion that has been presented to any Regional Council in the GTA area. P. Pothen added that Durham could accommodate the next 30 years of new homes within its existing boundaries and that it is important that Council not authorize any boundary expansions beyond what is recommended by staff.

P. Pothen stated that Scenario 2a is in breach of Provincial law and is non-conforming. P. Pothen noted that Durham is expressly prohibited from adding development lands that will not be used for growth before 2051, and the Region does not need more land than what is proposed under Scenario 4.

P. Pothen stated that the BILD plan fails to meet the demand for more cost affordable housing.

P. Pothen responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.12 Max Lysyk, on behalf of Lysyk Group of Companies, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Max Lysyk, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Lysyk is a professional engineer, with a specialization in municipal engineering, is an Oshawa resident, and his family has a business in the development industry which has been primarily focused on intensification and infill development in the City of Oshawa and Town of Whitby.

M. Lysyk requested that Council support the modified Scenario 2 as presented by BILD and spoke to some of the challenges they have experienced with intensification, including meeting the Growth Plan target of 50%; securing land; municipal infrastructure capacity; and ownership.

M. Lysyk outlined reasons why the BILD modified Scenario 2 is best, including that most land located on the outskirts of the existing urban boundary are ready for development and ready for a partnership between landowners and municipalities and stated that infrastructure within the existing urban boundary is already planned for future growth.

M. Lysyk concluded by urging Council to endorse the recommendation made by the Planning and Economic Development Committee on May 3rd for a modified Scenario 2 in order to ensure that the Region can meet the Growth Plan targets set out to 2051.

M. Lysyk responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.13 Rob Alexander, Chair Durham Farm Fresh Marketing Association and Farm Manager, Natures Bounty Farm, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Rob Alexander, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

R. Alexander is an apple grower in Durham Region and was speaking on behalf of 65 member businesses that make up the Durham Farm Fresh Marketing Association and stated that with the adoption of Scenario 2a, the future of agriculture will suffer another blow. In Canada, 0.5% of agricultural land is considered Class 1, and half of that is located in southern Ontario. This land is being consumed at an unsustainable rate and roughly the geographic size of Durham Region has been taken out of production in the last 5 years alone. R. Alexander stated that this cannot continue and there is a moral obligation to preserve this finite resource as we can not continue to gamble with food sovereignty.

R. Alexander stated that Envision Durham has been an exercise involving staff, experts, and public consultation, including advisory committees, whose advice has been dismissed.

R. Alexander questioned where the plans are to save the agricultural industry and added that would-be farmers cannot afford farms and by freezing urban boundaries land prices will stabilize for future farmers.

R. Alexander stated that all families need access to affordable food, and complete dense communities will provide shared areas. A. Alexander added that Council needs to respect staff and the consultation process and pursue Scenarios 4 or 5.

R. Alexander urged Council to adopt scenario 5 with no urban boundary expansions and stated that decisions made now will live on, and that once farmland is gone, it is gone for good.

R. Alexander responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.14 Leigh Paulseth, Ajax resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Leigh Paulseth, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

L. Paulseth advised that she is trained as a conservation biologist and that in her notes she shared relevant studies and resources including:

- Watershed Planning
- Duffins Creek report card, 2018, TRCA
- Watershed Report Cards, 2018, CLOCA
- Carruthers Creek Watershed Plan, 2021, TRCA

- Durham Region Weekly Beach Report, 2021, Durham Health
- (2013) How Much Habitat is Enough? Government of Canada

L. Paulseth stated that most of the largest watersheds (Carruthers, Lynde Creek and Oshawa) have had declining surface water quality, contributing to poor beach health. Although Duffins Watershed surface water quality has remained the same chloride levels are rising due to road salt, which will impact stream health, residents and the environment. L. Paulseth stated that we need to protect the wetlands in Durham Region.

L. Paulseth stated that for long-term health and sustainability of a watershed there needs to be 25% wetland cover and 50% forest cover and added that none of the major watersheds within Durham have sufficient wetland or forest cover for long-term viability.

L. Paulseth stated that in Southern Ontario naturally occurring wetlands reduce flood damage costs to rural buildings by \$3.5 million or by \$51.1 million for urban buildings.

L. Paulseth noted further studies including:

- (2017) Putting a Value on the Ecosystem Services Provided by Forests in Canada
- Studies on Natural Capital and Conservation. TD Bank Group and Nature Conservancy of Canada

L. Paulseth stated that near-urban natural infrastructure provides millions of dollars of ecosystem services to Durham Region every year for free and asked that Council adopt a hard zero urban boundary expansion for community and employment lands for Durham.

6.15 Peter Cohen, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Peter Cohen appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

P. Cohen is a member of the DRRCC and was caught off guard when the Planning and Economic Development Committee ignored the advice of the DRRCC and staff and choose a scenario that favours industry over constituents and staff.

P. Cohen advised that in January 2020 Durham Region declared a climate emergency and stated that this declaration recognized that climate action was within the Region's best interest. P. Cohen also stated that in declaring a climate emergency, the Region promised to act on the climate crisis with the type of decisive action that an emergency demands.

P. Cohen referenced climate change related events such as the sinking that is happening in Jakarta and noted that 63 million people have already been

displaced from their homes because of climate change. P. Cohen stated that Jakarta is the canary in the coal mine and we need to act before that becomes a real situation in Canada. Climate change cannot be secondary to private interests and needs to be important enough for Council to protect citizens and questioned how Council has proven that people are their priority.

P. Cohen stated that their generation is disillusioned with politics and is struggling to show that they still have a voice, and the way the Planning and Economic Development Committee voted on something that the public had no chance to comment on does not show this. P. Cohen added that the Region has built credibility over the years but will throw that away if Council supports Scenario 2a. P. Cohen asked Council to keep their promises and support Scenario 5.

P. Cohen responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.16 Lyn Adamson, Toronto resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Lyn Adamson, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

L. Adamson referenced growing up in Pickering in the 1950s and 1960s and remembering creeks and natural areas and expressed concern regarding the development of the Region and the impact it will have on those who are young now.

L. Adamson stated that it is important to underline that Council's decisions are for the next generation and for all of Ontario and that communities across the province need to make collective decisions.

L. Adamson stated that rising temperatures threaten extreme weather and our food supply and that this is not just about the housing supply. L. Adamson further stated that the world is changing, and we need to think about those who will be living in Durham Region going forward. We need to protect natural areas and wetlands, prevent flood risks, and preserve our ability to feed communities. L. Adamson added that compact communities can be very healthy for those living in them, and can provide opportunities to work, shop, live, play, have green space, bike, and use transit. Compact communities also make services like sewers less expensive.

L. Adamson urged Council to be climate leaders and maximize growth areas that are already designated and hold urban boundaries for at least 10 years.

6.17 Madeline Myers, Oshawa resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Madeline Myers, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Myers stated support of Scenario 5, which is the only scenario that prepares for climate change, achieves sustainable development and protects agricultural and rural systems. M. Myers added that Scenario 4 partially fulfills these principles, and every other Scenario does not. M. Myers stated that in the past there were concerns raised with respect to where the people pushing for Scenarios 4 and 5 live and stated that most are from Durham Region and want sustainable futures for themselves and future generations.

M. Myers stated that public opinion has been dismissed, and that the BILD Scenario bypasses public consultation and ignores the vast majority of public input.

M. Myers stated that with respect to climate change we do not know where the tipping point is, but there are estimates based on data. Responding to climate change is a matter of reducing emissions as much as possible and compared to Scenario 4, the BILD Scenario will generate more than 1 million additional tonnes of greenhouse gas emissions every year. The Region will not be able to meet its stated net zero emissions targets under the BILD Scenario.

M. Myers urged Council to vote for Scenario 5 to keep our planet and community safe.

6.3 Despina Melohe, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Despina Melohe, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

D. Melohe stated that last Saturday's derecho was a wake-up call and questioned whether, in addition to the psychological and social costs of this event, the costs in lost revenue and infrastructure costs to repair the damage has been calculated. D. Melohe also questioned if the amount of lost tree canopy has been calculated and how this will decrease the ability to sequester carbon.

D. Melohe stated that we need to heed the wake-up call and realize storm season is just beginning and we cannot let this become our new normal.

D. Melohe stated that Canada has no carbon budget left to limit global warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius which we promised to do in the Paris accord and to use an aviation analogy, Canada has no more runway to land its plane on the 1.5 degree goal and it would take a sharp, almost vertical drop to land on the 1.8 degrees Celsius goal.

D. Melohe stated that the current car-centric model of land use was not sustainable after WWII and it's no more sustainable in 2022. In the Greater Golden Horseshoe, as stewards of 40% of all the class 1 farmland in Canada, we cannot afford to squander even one hectare.

D. Melohe advised that as the DRRCC has said: Land use planning policy is the most cost-effective action that a municipality can take to enable the reduction of GHG emissions without requiring a direct investment by a municipality.

D. Melohe stated that we need courage and leadership from our decision-makers as this vote will impact the future of everyone in the Region. We know that complete communities that are walkable increase prosperity, boost local economies and increase investment and property values.

D. Melohe added that creating complete communities does not automatically equal high rise towers. There are many missing middle forms of housing which will retain the character of our neighbourhoods. Halton and Hamilton are more populous regions and they voted not to expand their urban boundary. There is great work being done around the world to create sustainable cities.

D. Melohe urged Council to endorse a zero urban boundary expansion and added that two years ago, Durham declared a climate emergency and now is the time take action and declare war on climate change, and the first step in that fight is to vote for a modified Scenario 5.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Drew,
(90) That the order of the Agenda be altered to consider Council Correspondence Items CC 10 to CC 104 at this time and that they be referred to the consideration of Item 1 of the 5th Report of the Planning and Economic Development Committee.

CARRIED

Moved by Councillor Joe Neal, Seconded by Councillor Collier,
(91) That Council recess for 10 minutes.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 5:16 PM and reconvened at 5:30 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton, Crawford, Drew, John Neal and Wotten.

7. Reports related to Delegations/Presentations

7.1 Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]

A) That a Community Area Land Need Scenario 2a with the greatest percentage of new residential growth through medium density units be prepared by staff as the basis for Phase 2 of the Growth Management Study and is endorsed as follows:

- i) an intensification rate of 50%;

- ii) an overall designated Greenfield Area density target of 57 people and jobs per hectare by 2051;
 - iii) a unit mix generally consisting of 33% low density units, 38% medium density units, and 29% high density units;
 - iv) an additional Community Area urban land need generally consistent with the requirements of Scenario 2;;
- B) That Employment Area Land Need Scenario 2 be endorsed, as follows:
 - i) a vacant Employment Area density target of 27 jobs per hectare;
 - ii) an employment intensification rate of 20%;
 - iii) an additional Employment Area urban land need of **1,171 hectares (2,894 acres)**;
- C) That future Regional Official Plan policies for the required settlement area boundary expansion area address sustainability practices to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, energy and water consumption, and waste generation through measures including:
 - i) the phasing of new growth in any settlement area boundary expansion area be undertaken in an orderly and sequential manner;
 - ii) the establishment of multi-modal transportation opportunities, and active transportation facilities to encourage healthy and active living, and smart transportation technologies;
 - iii) implementation of measures to ensure communities are resilient to our changing climate through infrastructure, building, housing unit and community design and construction practices;
 - iv) the use of low-carbon and smart energy systems and technologies at the district scale or building-scale in these new areas;
 - v) protection and enhancement of the Regional Natural Heritage System; and
 - vi) providing strong connections between employment areas and community areas to contribute to economic sustainability;
- D) That staff be directed to proceed to Phase 2 of the Growth Management Study to identify, assess and consult on candidate locations for settlement area boundary expansion and report back following the completion of the consultation process; and

- E) That a copy of Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be forwarded to Durham's area municipalities, Indigenous communities, conservation authorities, the Building Industry and Land Development Association (BILD), Durham Region Homebuilders Association, agencies and service providers that may have an interest in where and how long term growth in the region is being planned for (school boards, hospitals, utility providers, as specified in Appendix 2), the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Envision Durham Interested Parties List, and any persons that have made a submission for a settlement area boundary expansion request.

Moved by Councillor Ryan, Seconded by Councillor Marimpietri,

- (92) That the recommendations contained in Item #1 of Report #5 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee be adopted.

CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE
LATER IN THE MEETING
(See Following Motions)

Moved by Councillor Joe Neal, Seconded by Councillor John Neal,

- (93) That the Planning and Economic Development Committee's recommendations contained in Item #1 of their report dated May 3, 2022, be amended by deleting Part A) in its entirety and replacing it with the following new Part A):

- "A) That Community Area Land Need Scenario 3 be endorsed, as follows:

- i) An intensification rate of 50%;
- ii) An overall Designated Greenfield Area density target of 57 people and jobs per hectare by 2051;
- iii) A unit mix consisting of 34% low density units, 30% medium density units, 33% high density units, and 3% secondary units;
- iv) An additional Community Area urban land need of 1,500 hectares (3,707 acres)."

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Lee
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal

No

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew

Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Highet
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Collier, Seconded by Councillor Brenner,
(94) That the Planning and Economic Development Committee's recommendations contained in Item #1 of their report dated May 3, 2022, be amended by deleting Part A) in its entirety and replacing it with the following new Part A) in support of staff's recommendation in report 2022-P-11 to endorse Community Area Land Need Scenario 4:

- "A) That Community Area Land Need Scenario 4 be endorsed, as follows:
- i) An intensification rate of 50%;
 - ii) An overall Designated Greenfield Area density target of 60 people and jobs per hectare by 2051;
 - iii) A unit mix consisting of 28% low density units, 28% medium density units, 41% high density units, and 3% secondary units;
 - iv) An additional Community Area urban land need of 950 hectares (2,348 acres)."

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE:

Yes
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew

No
Councillor Ashe
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant

Councillor Highet
Councillor Lee
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten

Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Newman
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Chapman, Seconded by Councillor Ashe
(95) That the question be now put.

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE
(A 2/3rds VOTE WAS NOT ATTAINED):

Yes
Councillor Ashe
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Lee
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

No
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Collier
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Highet
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Joe Neal, Seconded by Councillor John Neal,
(96) That the main motion (92) of Councillors Ryan and Marimpietri to adopt the recommendations contained in Item 1 of the 5th Report of the Planning and Economic Development Committee be referred to staff to confirm that Scenario 2a will comply with the Growth Plan and to report back to the first Council meeting in September.

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Highet
Councillor Lee
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith

No

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Wotten
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

The main motion (92) of Councillors Ryan and Marimpietri to adopt the recommendations contained in Item #1 of Report #5 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee was then put to a vote and CARRIED ON THE FOLLOWING RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri

No

Councillor Brenner
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Highet
Councillor Lee
Councillor John Neal

Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(97) That Council recess for 15 minutes.
CARRIED

Council recessed at 7:53 PM and reconvened at 8:07 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton and John Neal.

7.2 Updated Construction Cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the
Beaverton Supportive Housing Project (2022-COW-11)
[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]

- A) That the total project budget for the construction of the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project, in the Township of Brock, be increased from a project budget of \$13,552,990 by \$11,672,010, for a revised project budget of \$25,225,000;
- B) That Year 4 (2022-23) Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative funding allocation in the amount of \$3,343,000 be allocated to the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project, subject to the approval of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
- C) That the total estimated project cost of S\$25,225,000 be financed as follows:

Previously Approved Financing (Project ID: G2016)

Social Housing Reserve Fund \$7,932,090

Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (years 2 and 3) 5,620,900

Total Previously Approved Financing **\$13,552,990**

Additional Financing

Reallocation of Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative time-limited funding to other projects due to delays	\$(5,620,900)
2022-2023 Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative Funding	3,343,000
Social Services Relief Fund – Phase 4	6,336,813
One-time allocation from the 2021 Property Tax Surplus (Capital Impact component)	<u>7,313,097</u>
Total Additional Financing	<u>11,672,010</u>
Total Revised Project Financing	<u>\$25,225,000</u>

Moved by Councillor Ryan, Seconded by Councillor Chapman,
(98) That the recommendations contained in Item 1 of Report #5 of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE
LATER IN THE MEETING

Moved by Councillor Nicholson, Seconded by Councillor Chapman,
(99) That the question be now put.

CARRIED on a 2/3rds VOTE

The main motion (98) of Councillors Ryan and Chapman to adopt the recommendations contained in Item 1 of Report #5 of the Committee of the Whole was then put to a vote and CARRIED ON THE FOLLOWING RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Foster
Councillor Highet
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Lee
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Wotten

No

Councillor Collier
Councillor Grant
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith

Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton
Councillor John Neal

Declarations of Interest: None

8. Communications

CC 08 Correspondence from the Canadian National Exhibition Association (CNEA), re: 2022/2023 Appointment of a Representative to the CNEA

Moved by Councillor Smith, Seconded by Councillor Marimpietri,
(100) That Councillor Lee be appointed as the Regional Municipality of
Durham's representative to the Canadian National Exhibition Association.
CARRIED

CC 09 Correspondence from the Association of Municipalities Ontario (AMO), re: Call for Nominations: 2022 - 2024 AMO Board of Directors

Moved by Regional Chair Henry, Seconded by Councillor Roy,
(101) That Councillor Mulcahy be nominated for the Director – Regional and
Single Tier Caucus for the AMO Board of Directors for the 2022 – 2024
term.

CARRIED

CC 10 Correspondence from Tom and Jennifer Derlis, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 11 Correspondence from Isabelle Raue, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 12 Correspondence from Gili Adler Nevo, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 13 Correspondence from Roger Davis, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 14 Correspondence from Green Durham Association, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 15 Correspondence from The Ontario Headwaters Institute, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 16 Correspondence from Al Wright, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 17 Correspondence from Vittorio Perroni, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 18 Correspondence from Bonnie Galka, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 19 Correspondence from The Corporation of the Municipality of Clarington, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 20 Correspondence from The City of Pickering, re: Envision Durham, Growth Management Study - Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 21 Correspondence from CM Planning Inc., re: Envision Durham, Growth Management Study - Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 22 Correspondence from Arlene Cannon, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 23 Correspondence from Michael May, Delta Urban Inc., re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios
-
- CC 24 Correspondence from Lynn Child, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 25 Correspondence from Illona Kirby, Ashburn resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
-
- CC 26 Correspondence from Carlyle Jansen, Uxbridge resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 27 Correspondence from Indira Ramlochan, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 28 Correspondence from Jill Adams, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 29 Correspondence from Jacob Trautmann, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need

Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 30 Correspondence from Bev Moroz, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 31 Correspondence from MaryAnn Jansen, Uxbridge resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 32 Correspondence from Michael Hill, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 33 Correspondence from Mary Newman-Jordan, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 34 Correspondence from Marie Thomas, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 35 Correspondence from Barbara Pidcock, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 36 Correspondence from Barbara Karthein, Port Perry resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 37 Correspondence from Peter Forint, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 38 Correspondence from Carol Mee, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 39 Correspondence from Jeannine Simon, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need

Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 40 Correspondence from Andrea Sahi, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4

CC 41 Correspondence from Clarette Escobar, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and requesting Regional Council to stop urbanizing the 2,600 hectares of farmland in the region as this land is crucial for the environment and wildlife and generations to come.

CC 42 Correspondence from Catherine McGill, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop allowing more urban sprawl in the region and to use other alternatives instead of spoiling our arable land.

CC 43 Correspondence from Amanda Widdowson, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) in response to Mayor Collier's statement on farmland and urging that the greenspace and farmland be maintained to avoid the devastating and long-lasting impacts of development over irreplaceable land.

CC 44 Correspondence from Peter Clendinneng, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to reject the proposal of urbanizing the 2,600 hectares of farmland, and to protect and preserve the Region's prime agricultural and rural lands.

CC 45 Correspondence from George Oxenholm, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and objecting as a taxpayer to making more land available for development and requesting Council to reconsider the decision to develop more lands

CC 46 Correspondence from Karen Chen, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop urban sprawl and to use other alternatives instead to accommodate population growth while keeping our greenspaces untouched.

CC 47 Correspondence from Ed Beach, President, Durham Region Federation of Agriculture, and Rob Alexander, Chair, Durham Farm Fresh Marketing Association regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and requesting that Regional Council support Scenario #5 with no further expansion of the Settlement Area Boundary. They state that

“we feel strongly that accepting ‘Scenario 2a’ of the Land Needs Scenario Recommendation is problematic and a complete dismissal of the public consultation process which overwhelmingly supported Scenario 5.” They also state “... as an industry, we are not opposed to development outright... However, if our region is to address the housing affordability crisis, the focus must be on intensification and densification of existing development lands within the current urban boundary.”

- CC 48 Correspondence from Lesley Donaghan-Cameron, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
-
- CC 49 Correspondence from Peter Rebek, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 50 Correspondence from Helen Brenner, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 51 Correspondence from Erin Robinson, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop the urban sprawl and to protect our farmland and natural spaces which are crucial for the mental health and well being of current and future Durham residents.
- CC 52 Correspondence from Tina Saldutto, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 53 Correspondence from Colette Cauli Brown, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 54 Correspondence from James Kelley, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 55 Correspondence from Leslie Burritt, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 56 Correspondence from Meghan Matthies, Port Perry resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 57 Correspondence from Patricia Irving, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 58 Correspondence from Daniel Ramos, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 59 Correspondence from Jim Grundy, Greenwood resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 60 Correspondence from Tia Armstrong, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 61 Correspondence from Brenda McLaughlin, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 62 Correspondence from Elaine Watters, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 63 Correspondence from Diane Spurrell, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 64 Correspondence from Tanya Sagermann, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 65 Correspondence from Clare Thorpe, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 66 Correspondence from Kathryn Clark, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 67 Correspondence from Marilyn Hubley, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 68 Correspondence from Alannah Kemp, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 69 Correspondence from Erin Byron, Kirkfield resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 70 Correspondence from Lynn Griffin, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 71 Correspondence from Dhruv Pandit, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 72 Correspondence from Ina Mainguy, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 73 Correspondence from Nely Tomaso, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop the urban sprawl and plan properly for the future and not use the farmlands and change the laws to obtain it.
-
- CC 74 Correspondence from Jane Harding, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 75 Correspondence from Mary Coulston, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop urban sprawl

- CC 76 Correspondence from Tracy Adlys, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 77 Correspondence from Michael Harding, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 78 Correspondence from Valerie Bean, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 79 Correspondence from Roger Ward, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop the sprawl.
- CC 80 Correspondence from George Raposo, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 81 Correspondence from Ralph Burton, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 82 Correspondence from Rusell Caracciolo, Ajax resident regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and asking the Regional Council not to approve this development.
- CC 83 Correspondence from Michael Crowley, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 84 Correspondence from Rose Sullivan, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 85 Correspondence from Kieran Lynch-Vertolli, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 86 Correspondence from Rosemarie Herrell, Uxbridge resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need

Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 87 Correspondence from Darlene Salib, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 88 Correspondence from Laura Stavro, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 89 Correspondence from Naomi McBride, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 90 Correspondence from Irene Moulton, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 91 Correspondence from Scott Waterhouse, Urban Planning and Land Development, Planning Manager, Planning consultants for Menkes Ritson Road Inc., regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising Regional Council and staff that Menkes strongly supports the Community Area Land Need Scenario 2A and Employment Area Land Need Scenario 2 as endorsed by Regional Planning Committee. The Greenfield Area densities and unit mix presented in Community Land Need Scenario 2A as recommended by Committee is most consistent with the character of Durham Region while still conforming with the objectives of the Provincial Growth Plan. In this regard, Menkes respectfully requests that at its May 25th meeting Regional Council endorse the decision of Regional Committee and support Community Area Land Need Scenario 2A and Employment Area Land Need Scenario 2.

CC 92 Correspondence from Russel Caraccioio, Ajax resident, regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and asking Regional Council not to approve this development

CC 93 Correspondence from Valerie Hunt on behalf of the Columbus Advisory Committee, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising that residents are not in support of high or medium density in Columbus, and expressing concerns with environmental impacts from future development, including impacts on wildlife, Natural Heritage Systems, and habitats for species at risk.

- CC 94 Correspondence from Tushar Pant, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 95 Correspondence from Cheryl Petherick, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 96 Correspondence from S. Doole, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 97 Correspondence from Doug Glass, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and strongly opposing the proposal to expand the amount of land for development in Durham Region
-
- CC 98 Correspondence from Jackie Gillard, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising Regional Council that they do not support expanding housing development into sensitive ecological zones; that farmland and the environmental needs of the headwaters are important; and that housing can be done via existing areas planned with increased density
-
- CC 99 Correspondence from Edward Moran, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 100 Correspondence from Elizabeth Stocking, President, National Farmers Union Local 345 and Max Hansgen, President, National Farmers Union – Ontario, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for a Community Area Land Need and Employment Land Need scenario that accommodates the next 30 years of Durham Region's new homes and workplaces within the existing Settlement Area Boundary by supporting a modified Community Land Area Scenario 5.
-
- CC 101 Correspondence from Lyn Adamson, Toronto resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 102 Correspondence from Claire Malcolmson, Executive Director, Rescue Lake Simcoe Coalition, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising

that they support a vote for scenario 4 or 5 in Durham's Land Needs Assessment and urging Regional Council to plan for sustainability and future generations, not for developer interests.

CC 103 Correspondence from Suzanne Huggins, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising that they disagree any developers' proposal to pave over farmland to build single-unit housing as Durham Region must protect farmland to remain self-sustaining and that development in North Pickering could lead to intense flooding in South Ajax.

CC 104 Memorandum dated May 24, 2022 from Brian Bridgeman, Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development to Regional Chair Henry and Members of Regional Council, re: Commissioner's Report #2022-P-11 and Staff's Response to Recommendations from Planning and Economic Development Committee

Correspondence Items CC 10 to CC 104 were considered earlier in the meeting. See motion (90) on page 19.

9. Committee Reports and any related Notice of Motions

9.1 Report of the Finance and Administration Committee

1. Appointment of New Members to the Durham Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC) (2022-A-13)
[CARRIED]
-

That the following people be appointed to the Durham Accessibility Advisory Committee:

Mr. Wayne Henshall – Community member; and

Ms. Lorrie Houston – Agency member.

2. Delegation of Signing Authority to the Regional Chair and Chief Administrative Officer for Execution of Labour Relations/Employee Negotiations during Lame Duck Period (2022-A-14)
[CARRIED]
-

That the Regional Chair and Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to execute ratified negotiation agreements for potentially up to three collective bargaining units during the Lame Duck Period of Council provided that the terms of such agreements do not deviate from existing approved direction.

3. Correspondence from the Town of Georgina, re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on March 2, 2022, in support of Federal funding for a Lake Simcoe Restoration Fund
[CARRIED]
-

That the correspondence from the Town of Georgina, re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on March 2, 2022, in support of Federal funding for a Lake Simcoe Restoration Fund, be endorsed.

4. Modernization of the 9-1-1 Agreement with the Region of Durham, User Agencies and the Area Municipalities and Introduction of a Service Agreement between the Region of Durham and the Durham Region Police Services Board (2022-F-12)

[CARRIED]

That the legacy 9-1-1 agreement be replaced and a new service agreement be established while maintaining Regional Council's responsibility for the 9-1-1 Emergency System with Durham Regional Police Services to continue to provide the 9-1-1 operations through the following actions:

- A) The draft modernized system agreement be circulated to the Area Municipal CAOs by the Regional CAO for their input and presentation to their Councils for execution as users of the 9-1-1 system through the fire services and the Regional CAO be authorized to negotiate the final language of the agreement, while maintaining the intent as outlined in Report #2022-F-12 of the Commissioner of Finance;
 - B) The Regional CAO be authorized to negotiate with the Durham Regional Police Services (DRPS) CAO to finalize the new service agreement and present to the DRPS Board for execution, while maintaining the intent as outlined in Report #2022-F-12 of the Commissioner of Finance; and
 - C) The Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute the modernized system agreement between the Region, the Durham Regional Police Services Board and the Area Municipalities, and a new service agreement between the Region and the Durham Regional Police Services Board, in a form satisfactory to the Regional Treasurer and Solicitor.
5. Sole Source Purchase for Supplemental Washroom Facilities to Support Daily Operations (2022-F-13)

[CARRIED]

That a sole source agreement extension with K. J. Camper's Ltd. (also known as Classy Potties To Go) for portable washroom facilities, extending the term of the contract from January 1, 2022 to August 31, 2022 at a total estimated cost of up to \$175,000, to be funded from the approved 2022 Durham Region Transit Business Plans and Budget, be approved and the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute any necessary related agreements.

6. Your Monthly Pass Incentives for the 2022/23 Secondary School Term
(2022-F-14)
[CARRIED]

- A) That an extension of the Y10 Youth Loyalty Pass for the 2022-23 academic year at a monthly cost of \$76.05, providing a savings of \$174.50 for the ten-month school year, be approved;
- B) That the pilot bulk monthly youth pass program available to school boards and their school board transportation consortium within Durham Region, be revised providing a graduated fare discount based on the total number of monthly youth passes collectively purchased by a school board and/or their respective transportation consortium, be extended to the 2022/23 school term (September 2022 through June 2023);
- C) That the graduated discount rate for the pilot bulk monthly youth pass program as shown below, for school boards and their transportation consortium, be approved effective for the 2022/23 academic year;
- | | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Less than 126 monthly passes | Youth rate or 20 percent discount on standard fare (\$93.50) |
| 126-250 monthly passes | 25% discount on standard fare (\$87.75) |
| More than 250 monthly passes | 35% discount on standard fare (\$76.05); and |
- D) That further revisions to the Y10 Youth Loyalty Pass and pilot bulk monthly youth pass program be considered during the 2023 Strategic Issues and Financial Forecast and DRT Business Plan and Budget processes.

Moved by Councillor Foster, Seconded by Councillor Collier,
(102) That the recommendations contained in Items 1 to 6 inclusive of Report #5 of the Finance and Administration Committee be adopted.
CARRIED

9.2 **Report of the Planning and Economic Development Committee**

1. Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment –
Staff Recommendation on Land Need Scenarios (2022-P-11)
[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]
-

This matter was considered earlier in the meeting. See Item 7.1 on pages 20 to 25.

2. Durham Environmental Advisory Committee (DEAC) Membership
Appointments (2022-P-12)
[CARRIED]

- A) That Muaz Nasir be appointed as the Town of Ajax's Area Municipal Representative to the Durham Environmental Advisory Committee;
- B) That the above-named citizen volunteer be advised of their appointment to the Durham Environmental Advisory Committee; and
- C) That a copy of Report #2022-P-12 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be forwarded to the area municipalities.

Moved by Councillor Ryan, Seconded by Councillor Joe Neal,
(103) That the recommendations contained in Item 2 of Report #5 of the
Planning and Economic Development Committee be adopted.
CARRIED

9.3 Report of the Works Committee

1. Approval to Award a Sole Source Agreement to Continue the Provision of
Bioxide and Associated Chemical Dosing System to Reduce Odour and
Corrosion in the Trunk Sanitary Sewer located in Lord Elgin Park, in the
Town of Ajax (2022-W-25)
[CARRIED]

- A) That staff be authorized to negotiate and award a sole source agreement with Evoqua Water Technologies for the provision of bioxide and the associated chemical dosing system at an upset limit of \$375,000*, from June 1, 2022, for a period not to extend beyond December 31, 2023, to be financed through the annual Sanitary Sewerage Business Plans and Budgets; and
- B) That the Commissioner of Finance or designate be authorized to execute the necessary documents related to this sole source agreement.

2. Agreement with the City of Toronto and the Regional Municipality of Durham
related to the Finch Avenue Watermain (2022-W-26)
[CARRIED]

- A) That the Regional Municipality of Durham enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto for the supply of water from the Regional Municipality of Durham to the City of Toronto from the existing watermain on Finch Avenue and Beare Road in the City of Toronto in a form satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and the Regional Solicitor, with the following key terms:
 - i) The Regional Municipality of Durham's continued provision of the water supply services and the continued direct billing of the

current customers at the current water user rate;

- ii) The City of Toronto shall remain responsible for the cost of any required repair work completed by the Regional Municipality of Durham;
- iii) The Regional Municipality of Durham shall own and maintain the watermain in accordance with legislative requirements under the Safe Drinking Water Act and associated regulations, the Regional Municipality of Durham's Municipal Drinking Water Licence and Drinking Water Works Permit;
- iv) The agreement is for an initial term ending December 31, 2041; and

B) That the Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement.

3. Amendments to Gross Vehicle Weight – Bridges By-Law #42-2019 (2022-W-27)
[CARRIED]

- A) That Corporate Services – Legal Services be directed to prepare an amending by-law to amend By-Law #42-2019, generally in the form included as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-W-27, for submission to Regional Council for passage; and
- B) That staff be authorized to take all steps necessary to give effect to the amendments contemplated to By-Law #42-2019 as indicated in the form included as Attachment #1.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Smith,
(104) That the recommendations contained in Items 1 to 3 inclusive of Report #5 of the Works Committee be adopted.

CARRIED

9.4 **Report of the Committee of the Whole**

- 1. Updated Construction Cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project (2022-COW-11)
[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]

This matter was considered earlier in the meeting. See Item 7.2 on pages 26 and 27.

10. Notice of Motions

10.1 Endorse No Urban Expansion for Community Area in Durham [MOTION WITHDRAWN]

Councillors Collier and Dies advised that they wished to withdraw the following motion:

Whereas the Region of Durham is in the process of undertaking Envision Durham, the Municipal Comprehensive Review (MCR) of the Regional Official Plan to accommodate a population of 1.3 million and 460,000 jobs by 2051;

And Whereas the Region is completing its MCR by looking at how and where our cities and towns may grow, how to use and protect our land and resources, what housing types and job opportunities are needed for our residents, and how people and goods will move across our region and beyond;

And Whereas in March 2022 the Region released Five Community Area Land Need Scenarios (Land Needs Scenarios Assessment Summary Report) to test a broad range of options for accommodating the 2051 forecast growth across Durham;

And Whereas the Land Needs Assessment report indicates that population growth can be accommodated in Durham with an intensification rate of 55% and a Greenfield area density of 64 people and jobs per hectare with 0 hectares of urbanization (see Scenario 5);

And Whereas scenarios that promote low density residential do not comply to the Growth Plan, 2020, and continue land consumptive sprawl that eliminates agricultural land, increases flooding, and impacts the natural environment;

And Whereas by increasing the intensification target and shifting the unit mix towards medium- and high-density dwellings, the total Community Area land needed to accommodate residential uses decreases from 5,400 hectares to a “no-urban-expansion” scenario to accommodate population growth;

And Whereas a “no-urban-expansion” scenario to accommodate population growth provides the necessary time to evaluate our evolving growth needs, as the official plan process calls for review and possible amendments every five years;

And Whereas by voting to grow within the existing urban footprint for residential uses, elected leaders end the threat to arable farmland, support local food production, combat climate change, protect natural heritage systems (rivers, wetlands, and agricultural lands), introduce new and balanced housing options, emphasize higher densities, and create more walkable, transit-friendly communities;

And Whereas in January 2020 Regional Council declared a climate emergency to acknowledge the impacts of climate change and reaffirm our commitment to mitigate and adapt to those impacts wherever possible, and in March 2021,

subsequently adopted greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction targets to become Net Zero by 2045, in alignment with the Federal Government's commitment under the Paris Agreement;

And Whereas the Impact of Land-use Scenarios on GHG Emissions report, presented at the March 18, 2022 Durham Region Roundtable on Climate Change Committee (DRRCC) by consulting firm Sustainability Solutions Group, referred to land-use policy as "the most cost-effective action a municipality can take" in reducing GHG emissions;

And Whereas the DRRCC will consider a subcommittee recommendation on April 22, 2022 calling on the Region to adopt Scenario 5, noting the further the Region moves away from Scenario 5, the more challenging and costly it will be to reduce emissions (Net Zero GHG emissions will not be feasible without a shift to a higher density urban form in Durham Region);

And Whereas the Town of Ajax has supported no urban expansion onto farmland for residential purposes in Durham Region's MCR process;

And Whereas municipalities including the City of Hamilton, City of Burlington, Town of Oakville, and Halton Region have endorsed "no-urban-expansion" scenarios as part of the MCR processes in their respective communities;

Now therefore be it resolved that:

1. Durham Regional Council supports no urban expansion onto farmland for residential purposes in Durham Region's MCR process (up to 2051);
2. Durham Regional Council strongly recommends that the Carruthers Creek Headwaters be put in the Greenbelt and not considered for urban expansion under Durham Region's MCR due to the ecological sensitivity of the area and significant flood and erosion risks posed to Ajax; and
3. This motion be circulated to the Hon. Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Hon. David Piccini, Minister of Environment Conservation and Parks, and all Durham area MPPs and municipalities.

10.2 Internet Providers and Extent of Coverage [REFERRED]

Moved by Councillor Smith, Seconded by Councillor Grant,
(105) Whereas reliable, consistent and affordable internet service is an important factor in the success of most Durham businesses, students, families and organizations;

And Whereas some areas of the Region of Durham have either no known internet coverage or unreliable, sporadic internet service;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Region of Durham generate for public information a list of internet providers, including the services offered, the extent of their coverage and areas served, and prices.

REFERRED TO STAFF
(See Following Motion)

Moved by Councillor Grant, Seconded by Councillor Smith,
(106) That the foregoing motion (105) of Councillors Grant and Smith be referred to the municipal services corporation (Durham ONENet Inc.) for a report back at the first opportunity.

CARRIED

11. Unfinished Business

There was no unfinished business to be considered.

12. Other Business

12.1 Motion from the Durham Active Transportation Committee re: June Bike Month **[CARRIED]**

Moved by Councillor Crawford, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(107) Whereas June is Bike Month;

And whereas Durham Regional Planning Staff have organized numerous bike friendly activities annually for over a decade to educate residents about cycling safety, promote the benefits of cycling, and encourage residents to bike more by participating in Bike Month throughout the month of June;

And whereas the Durham Active Transportation Committee fully supports the Region's planned Bike Month activities;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Durham Active Transportation Committee request Regional Council's support of the planned Regional Bike Month activities, and proclaim the month of June as Bike Month in the Region of Durham.

CARRIED

12.2 Vaccination Policy for Members of Regional Council (2022-A-15) **[CARRIED ON A 2/3RDS VOTE]**

Moved by Councillor Chapman, Seconded by Councillor Pickles,
(108) That subject to a 2/3rds majority vote, the remaining section of the motion as adopted by Council on September 29, 2021 be rescinded in its entirety:

"That all members of Regional Council be required to be fully vaccinated and provide proof of vaccination to Council Services by October 20, 2021, such requirements subject to exemption for certain medical conditions

and other protected grounds to ensure compliance with the Ontario Human Rights Code, and that non-compliance would result in the denial of the member to access a Regional facility.”

CARRIED on a 2/3rds VOTE

- 12.3 Application for a 71-unit Plan of Subdivision, Claremont Development Corporation, 5113 Old Brock Road, City of Pickering, OLT Case No. PL171210 (2022-COW-12)
[CARRIED]
-

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Lee,

(109) A) That the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be authorized to settle Claremont Development Corporation’s appeal of the application before the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) on the terms set out in the attached confidential memorandum from the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development, the Commissioner & Medical Officer of Health and the Regional Solicitor; and

B) That the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be authorized to execute any agreement and documents necessary to implement the settlement of the appeal by Claremont Development Corporation, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner & Medical Officer of Health and the Regional Solicitor.

CARRIED

13. Announcements

Various announcements were made relating to activities and events within the Region and area municipalities.

14. By-laws

28-2022 Being a by-law to amend By-law #42-2019 to limit the gross vehicle weight of any vehicle or any class thereof passing over a bridge forming part of the Regional Road system.

This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #3 of the 5th Report of the Works Committee presented to Regional Council on May 25, 2022

Moved by Councillor Ashe, Seconded by Councillor Brenner,
(110) That By-law Number 28-2022 be passed.

CARRIED

15. Confirming By-law

29-2022 Being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of Regional Council at their meeting held on May 25, 2022.

Moved by Councillor Ashe, Seconded by Councillor Newman,

- (111) That By-law Number 29-2022 being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of the Regional Municipality of Durham at their meeting held on May 25, 2022 be passed.

CARRIED

16. Adjournment

Moved by Councillor Kerr, Seconded by Councillor Newman,

- (112) That the meeting be adjourned.

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 8:39 PM

Respectfully submitted,

John Henry, Regional Chair & CEO

Alexander Harras, Regional Clerk

Communications

June 29, 2022

- CC 105 Sterling Lee, Regional Councillor and Allison Hector-Alexander, Director, Diversity, Equity and Inclusion on behalf of the Durham Region Anti-Racism Taskforce, writing to Regional Council regarding the war in Ukraine and the Government of Canada's Response to welcome Ukrainian nationals and their family members (Our File: A00)
-

Recommendation: Motion to endorse

(See attached correspondence on page 52)

- CC 106 Alexander Harras, Regional Clerk, writing to Regional Council regarding the Cancellation of the October 2022 Council and Standing Committee Meetings (Our File: A00)
-

Recommendation: Motion for consideration

(See attached correspondence on page 53)

- CC 107 Bobbie Drew, Board Chair, Durham Regional Police Services Board, writing to the Regional Clerk regarding the Report entitled: "2021 Durham Regional Police Annual Report" (Our File: C12)
-

Recommendation: Receive for Information

(See attached correspondence on page 54)



Sent Via Email

June 14, 2022

Dear: Regional Councillors and Local MP's

**The Regional
Municipality of
Durham**

Diversity, Equity and
Inclusion Division
CAOs Office

605 Rossland Rd. E.
Level 5
PO Box 623
Whitby, ON L1N 6A3
Canada

905-668-7711
1-800-372-1102

durham.ca

**Allison Hector-
Alexander**
Director, Diversity,
Equity and Inclusion

**RE: War in Ukraine and the Government of Canada's Response to
Welcome Ukrainian Citizens**

We are writing on behalf of the Durham Region Anti-Racism Taskforce on the war in Ukraine and the Government of Canada's response to welcome Ukrainian nationals and their family members.

In March 2022, the Government of Canada announced several immigration measures to allow Ukrainian nationals to apply to come to Canada, either under a temporary visa or as permanent residents. These measures appear to be only available to Ukrainian nationals.

We saw in the media examples of racism at borders as racialized Ukrainian residents, including international students, were turned away and/or made to wait while other Ukrainians were given the opportunity to evacuate the country. Also, refugees living in and having fled Ukraine, some only months after leaving their home country or previous location, do not appear to be eligible for the Government of Canada's Ukraine immigration measures, leaving them in precarious situations and unable to return to the locations from which they first fled.

Canada has a responsibility to expeditiously welcome and support all residents of Ukraine, regardless of race, immigration, or citizenship status.

As members of the Durham Anti-Racism Taskforce, we strongly suggest the federal government review their practice of excluding Ukrainian residents such as refugees and individuals without Ukrainian citizenship from entering Canada via the expedited federal immigration measures.

Sincerely,

Councillor Sterling Lee, Regional Councillor
Allison Hector-Alexander, Director, Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

On behalf of the Durham Region Anti-Racism Taskforce



Memorandum

The Regional
Municipality of Durham
Legislative Services

605 ROSSLAND RD. E.
LEVEL 1
PO BOX 623
WHITBY, ON L1N 6A3
CANADA

905-668-7711
1-800-372-1102

durham.ca

Alexander Harras
Regional Clerk

Date: June 29, 2022

To: Regional Chair Henry and Members of Regional Council

From: Alexander Harras, Regional Clerk

Subject: Cancellation of October 2022 Council and Standing Committee Meetings

In accordance with section 8.2 of Council's Procedure By-law #44-2018, regular meetings of Regional Council and Standing Committees are currently scheduled to occur during the month of October, 2022. Voting Day in the 2022 Municipal & School Board Elections is October 24, 2022.

It is anticipated that quorum will be difficult to achieve for Regional Council and Standing Committee meetings during the month of October; as such, Regional Council may wish to cancel the scheduled October meetings. A similar approach has been taken during previous municipal election years. A motion to cancel the October meetings, if adopted at the Regional Council meeting of June 29th, 2022, would provide ample time for the Clerk's Office to notify the public, Regional Staff, and all other interested parties. The Transit Executive Committee will be advised separately to consider cancelling their scheduled meeting for October 2022. Advisory committees may continue to meet in October but may cancel their meetings if quorum will not be achieved or there is a lack of forecasted agenda items.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'AH', is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Alexander Harras
Regional Clerk



DURHAM REGIONAL POLICE SERVICES BOARD

B. Drew Chair * G. Cubitt, Vice-Chair
K. Ashe Member * S. Bhatia, Member
K. Fisher, Member * J. Henry, Member

June 22, 2022

Regional Clerk
Durham Regional Council – Regional HQ Level 1
605 Rossland Road East
Whitby, ON L1N 6A3

On behalf of the Durham Regional Police Services Board, I am pleased to provide a copy of the DRPS 2021 Annual Report, as required by the Protocol for the Sharing of Information between the Durham Regional Police Services Board and the Durham Regional Council.

The 2021 Annual Report can be viewed by Members of Council on the DRPS website at <https://www.drps.ca/about-us/annual-reports-and-business-plans/>. The PDF version is also attached.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "B. Drew", followed by a horizontal line.

Bobbie Drew
Board Chair

Report #6 of the Finance & Administration Committee

For consideration by Regional Council

June 29, 2022

The Finance & Administration Committee recommends approval of the following:

1. Motion Regarding the Lake Scugog Enhancement Project (LSEP)

That whereas a delegation consisting of representatives from the Township of Scugog, Kawartha Conservation Authority, and the Scugog Lake Stewards presented a request for \$2 million in support of the Lake Scugog Enhancement Project (LSEP) to the Finance and Administration Committee on January 14, 2020;

And whereas the objective of the LSEP is to significantly reduce the ecological decline of Lake Scugog by removing 15 acres of aquatic vegetation, establishing appropriate navigation depths in the Port Perry Harbour, creating a four-acre wetland to filter critical outlet stormwater and create native plant and wildlife habitat, and construct additional oil and grit separators, along with a 245-foot berm, complete with walking trail and fishing pods;

And whereas the LSEP has raised approximately \$3.59 million in financial support from the community and senior government programs toward the approximately \$5.48 million capital cost of the project, leaving an approximately \$1.89 million funding shortfall;

And whereas additional fundraising events, such as the Scugog Mayor's Gala and the upcoming launch of a community fundraising campaign, are planned to help close the funding gap;

And whereas the LSEP has received letters of support from the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation and community organizations such as the Scugog Chamber of Commerce, Ontario Tech University, Ducks Unlimited Canada, and Ontario Streams, Port Perry BIA;

And whereas the environmental benefits associated with the LSEP align with the Region's strategic plan environmental sustainability objective to protect the environment for the future by demonstrating leadership in sustainability and addressing climate change;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Finance and Administration Committee recommends to Regional Council:

- A) That a contribution of \$1.2 million toward the LSEP be approved, with funding from any available 2021 surplus or any other reserve at the discretion of the Commissioner of Finance, under the condition that the project raises sufficient additional funding from other sources; and

- B) That authorization be granted to the Regional Chief Administrative Officer to enter into a funding agreement with payments over a three-year time frame with milestones satisfactory to the Regional Commissioner of Finance and appropriate recognition of Regional financial support.
2. Correspondence from the Town of Ajax re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on February 28, 2022, in support of Bill C-229, the Banning Symbols of Hate Act
-

That the correspondence from the Town of Ajax, re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on February 28, 2022, in support of Bill C-229, the Banning Symbols of Hate Act, be endorsed.

3. Correspondence from the Town of Ajax re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on February 28, 2022, in support of the NCCM Anti-Islamophobia Municipal Recommendations
-

That the correspondence from the Town of Ajax, re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on February 28, 2022, in support of the NCCM Anti-Islamophobia Municipal Recommendations, be endorsed.

4. Durham Region's Membership in the Coalition of Inclusive Municipalities (2022-A-16)
-

- A) That Durham Region become a member of the Canadian Commission for the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (CCUNESCO)'s Coalition of Inclusive Municipalities;
- B) That the Declaration to Join the Coalition of Inclusive Municipalities, included as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-A-16 of the Chief Administrative Officer be approved and signed by Regional Chair John Henry at a signing ceremony; and
- C) That in accordance with CCUNESCO's requirements, the Regional Chair be selected as the "elected official focal point" and the Director of the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Division be selected as the "municipal staff focal point", both to act as reconciliation, diversity, equity, and inclusion advocates.

5. Council Remuneration By-law (2022-A-17)
-

That By-law 08-2004, as amended, be repealed, and replaced with a new by-law to provide for the annual remuneration and benefits for Regional Council

generally in the form attached to Report #2022-A-17 of the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

6. Community Member Appointment to the Durham Regional Police Services Board (2022-A-18)

- A) That the proposed Durham Regional Police Services Board Community Member Appointment Recruitment and Selection Policy included as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-A-18 of the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be approved; and
- B) That the term of appointment for the current community member on the Durham Regional Police Services Board be extended to no later than the date that a new community member is appointed by Regional Council.

7. Appointment of an Integrity Commissioner for the Region of Durham (2022-A-19)

- A) That By-law 11-2019 be repealed and replaced with a By-law generally in the form included as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-A-19 of the Commissioner of Corporate Services to confirm the appointment of Principles Integrity as the provider of Integrity Commissioner services for the Regional Municipality of Durham; and
- B) The initial term of contract for the Integrity Commissioner shall be for the 2022-2026 term of Regional Council with the option to renew for an additional Council term.

8. Municipal Ombudsman (2022-A-20)

- A) That By-law 32-2016 appointing an Ombudsman for The Regional Municipality of Durham, be repealed, effective November 14, 2022; and
- B) That the Region utilize the services of the Provincial Ombudsman once the current contract for Ombudsman Services has expired as of November 14, 2022.

9. Transfer of Part III and IX Prosecutions from the Province of Ontario, Ministry of the Attorney General (MAG) to the Regional Municipality of Durham (2022-A-21)

- A) That staff be authorized to negotiate the timing with the Province of Ontario, Ministry of the Attorney General (MAG) for the transfer of responsibility for prosecutions of Part III and Part IX charges to the Regional Municipality of Durham (the Region).
- B) That the full-time staffing complement of the Corporate Services Department – Legal Services, POA Prosecution division be increased by the addition of three (3) Prosecutors and two (2) Prosecution Assistants, at an estimated cost of \$201,500 for 2022 (annualized - \$602,600), commencing September 2022 plus initial one-time set-up costs of

approximately \$20,350, to be financed from savings within the Corporate Services – Legal Services 2022 Business Plans and Budgets.

- C) That the Regional Chair and the Regional Clerk be authorized to execute the Interim Transfer Agreement in substantially the form attached as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-A-21 of the Commissioner of Corporate Services and any final Transfer Agreement; and
 - D) That the Regional Solicitor be authorized to execute any accompanying Memoranda of Understanding, any required Local Side Agreement(s), or amendments thereto, and any other documentation required to implement the transfer of the prosecution of Part III and Part IX charges from MAG to the Region.
10. Final Recommendations Regarding the New Regional Transit Development Charge Bylaw (2022-F-15)
- A) That pursuant to Section 10(1) of the Development Charges Act, 1997, the Regional Transit Development Charges Background Study dated April 12, 2022 be adopted;
 - B) That the adoption of the underlying capital forecast included in the Regional Transit Development Charge Background Study provide indication of Regional Council's intention to ensure that such an increase in need for services will be met as required under paragraph 3 of Section 5(1) of the Development Charges Act, 1997 and Section 3 of Ontario Regulation 82/98;
 - C) That the Regional Transit Residential Development Charge by unit type, as indicated in the following table, be imposed on a uniform Region-wide basis, effective July 1, 2022 as follows:

**Recommended Regional Transit Residential Development Charge
Rates Per Dwelling Unit
Effective July 1, 2022**

Service Category	Single / Semi-Detached (\$)	Medium Density Multiple (\$)	Apartments: 2 Bedrooms and Larger (\$)	Apartments: 1 Bedroom and Smaller (\$)
Regional Transit	2,184	1,720	1,221	750

- D) That the Regional Transit Non-Residential Development Charge of \$0.99 per square foot for commercial, industrial, and institutional development, be imposed on a uniform Region-wide basis effective July 1, 2022;
- E) That the Development Charge policies for Regional Transit Development Charges, as contained in the proposed By-law provided in Attachment #1 to

Report #2022-F-15 of the Commissioner of Finance, be approved for implementation on July 1, 2022;

- F) That the Local Services Policy for Transit Services, as provided in Attachment #2 to Report #2022-F-15 of the Commissioner of Finance, be adopted effective July 1, 2022;
- G) That, pursuant to Section 12(3) of the Development Charges Act, 1997 that requires Regional Council to determine whether a further public meeting is necessary when changes are made to a proposed development charges by-law following a public meeting, Regional Council resolve that a further public meeting is not necessary as no changes have been made to the Region's proposed development charges by-law following the public meeting on April 27, 2022;
- H) That the Regional Solicitor be instructed to prepare the requisite Regional Transit Development Charge By-law for presentation to Regional Council and passage;
- I) That the Regional Solicitor be instructed to revise future development agreements and any by-law(s) relating thereto to reflect any changes required to implement the foregoing recommendations and that any such revised by-law(s) be presented to Council for passage;
- J) That the Treasurer be instructed to prepare the requisite Regional Development Charges pamphlet and related materials pursuant to the Development Charges Act, 1997; and
- K) That the Regional Clerk be instructed to follow the notification provisions pursuant to the Development Charges Act, 1997.

11. E-Mission Zero – DRT Fleet Electrification Plan (2022-F-16)

That Durham Region Transit's Fleet Electrification Plan be received for information and referred to Durham Region Transit's long-term servicing and financing strategy to be presented to Committee and Council in advance of the 2023 Business Plans and Budget.

12. The Issuance of Debentures on Behalf of the City of Pickering, the City of Oshawa, the Municipality of Clarington and The Regional Municipality of Durham (2022-F-17)

- A) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to issue external debentures, in a total principal amount not to exceed \$57,875,000 on behalf of the City of Pickering, the Municipality of Clarington and The Regional Municipality of Durham ("Region") over various terms, as set out below, with such terms not to exceed 20 years and at an average net yield

not to exceed 5.00 per cent relating to the financing requirements as indicated below:

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Term</u>
City of Pickering	(Not to Exceed)	(Not to Exceed)
Chestnut Hill Developments Recreation Complex Generator Replacement	\$1,200,000	10 Years
Purchase of Land for the Construction of 401 Overpass	\$4,373,000	20 Years
Subtotal City of Pickering	<u>\$5,573,000</u>	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Term</u>
Municipality of Clarington	(Not to Exceed)	(Not to Exceed)
Newcastle and Orono Arena Improvements	\$6,402,000	10 Years
South Bowmanville Recreation Centre	\$20,000,000	20 Years
Subtotal Municipality of Clarington	<u>\$26,402,000</u>	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Term</u>
Region	(Not to Exceed)	(Not to Exceed)
Courtice Trunk Sanitary Sewer	\$25,900,000	15 Years
Subtotal Region	<u>\$25,900,000</u>	
TOTAL EXTERNAL DEBENTURE REQUIREMENTS	<u>\$57,875,000</u>	

- B) That authorization be given to issue an internal debenture for and on behalf of the City of Oshawa in an amount of \$700,000 on the basis that the City of Oshawa will purchase the Oshawa Debenture using reserve funds of the City of Oshawa as requested by the City;
- C) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to amend the proposed terms and conditions of the external debenture issue as deemed necessary by the Fiscal Agents in order to successfully market the issue to prospective investors on the basis that the Region may purchase all or part of the debentures; and
- D) That the Region be authorized to issue the external debentures through CDS Clearing and Depository Services Inc.'s "Book Entry Only" system.

13. Additional Community Investment Grant Funding for Grandview Children's Centre (2022-F-18)

- A) That additional Community Investment Grant funding of \$1.5 million be approved for Grandview Children's Centre, increasing the Region's total Community Investment Grant funding from the previously approved \$5.035 million to \$6.535 million, to account for the significant cost escalations associated with the capital construction of the new Children's Treatment Centre; and
- B) That additional Community Investment Grant Funding for the two hospices currently approved under the program be authorized at the discretion of the Commissioner of Finance to account for significant cost escalations

associated with the capital construction in accordance with the rules and accountability measures of the program; and

- C) That the Regional Chair and Regional Clerk be authorized to execute the funding agreements.

Respectfully submitted,

A. Foster, Chair, Finance & Administration Committee

Report #4 of the Health & Social Services Committee

For consideration by Regional Council

June 29, 2022

The Health & Social Services Committee recommends approval of the following:

1. Canada-Wide Early Learning and Child Care System (2022-SS-6)

 - A) That unbudgeted provincial funding from the Ministry of Education in the amount of \$41,476,794 for the period to December 31, 2022, be expended in accordance with the Canada-wide Early Learning and Child Care (CWELCC) system guidelines and as outlined in Section 5 of Report #2022-SS-6 of the Commissioner of Social Services;
 - B) That approval be granted to increase the Children's Services Division Staffing complement by six (6) new full-time positions effective July 1, 2022 and increase part time staffing costs to account for the additional program administration of the CWELCC program;
 - C) That the proposed new positions in 2022 be funded entirely from the additional Provincial base funding allocation as follows:
 - i) One (1) Policy Advisor at an estimated 2022 cost of \$77,925 (annualized cost of \$155,026);
 - ii) One (1) Program Manager at an estimated 2022 cost of \$71,167 (annualized cost of \$141,690);
 - iii) One (1) Quality Assurance Advisor at an estimated 2022 cost of \$65,036 (annualized cost of \$129,589);
 - iv) One (1) Financial Analyst 2 at an estimated 2022 cost of \$54,826 (annualized cost of \$109,427);
 - v) One (1) Senior Accounting Clerk at an estimated 2022 cost of \$46,703 (annualized cost of \$93,196);
 - vi) One (1) Program Assistant at an estimated 2022 cost of \$46,703 (annualized cost of \$93,196);
 - vii) Increase in temporary hours of \$490,792 to assist with program implementation;
 - D) That authorization to execute agreements related to Purchase of Service with Licensees for 2022 and future years, be delegated to the Director of Children's Services and the Director of Business Affairs and Financial Management; and

- E) That staff be authorized to adjust the 2022 and 2023 Regionally Operated Early Learning and Child Care fees in accordance with CWELCC guidelines.
2. Unbudgeted Funding from the Federal and Provincial Governments to Increase Homelessness Supports for Region of Durham Residents (2022-SS-8)
-
- A) That the 2022 portion of unbudgeted Federal funding from Employment and Social Development Canada in the amount of \$3,057,979 for the period of April 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022, be expended in accordance with the Reaching Home program guidelines;
 - B) That the 2022 portion of unbudgeted Provincial funding from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing in the amount of \$772,483 for the period of April 1, 2022, to December 31, 2022, be expended in accordance with the Homelessness Prevention Program guidelines;
 - C) That the 2022 portion of unbudgeted Provincial funding from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing in the amount of \$2,769,200 for the period of April 1, 2022, to December 31, 2022, be expended in accordance with the Social Services Relief Phase 5 guidelines;
 - D) That the Commissioner of Social Services be authorized to enter into all necessary agreements related to the Reaching Home and Homelessness Prevention Programs; and
 - E) That the Commissioner of Social Services and Commissioner of Finance be authorized to enter into all necessary agreements related to the Social Services Relief Phase 5 Program.

Respectfully submitted,

B. Chapman, Chair, Health & Social Services Committee

Report #6 of the Planning & Economic Development Committee

For consideration by Regional Council

June 29, 2022

The Planning & Economic Development Committee recommends approval of the following:

1. Correspondence from Richard Wannop, Resident of Stouffville, re:
Reconsideration of Land Conversion Request CNR-17, Township of Scugog
Employment Conversion Request

That the correspondence from Richard Wannop, Resident of Stouffville, regarding reconsideration of land conversion request CNR-17, Township of Scugog, be received for information.

2. Application to Amend the Durham Regional Official Plan, submitted by Clark Consulting Services on behalf of Maltheb Farms 2000 Ltd., to permit the severance of a dwelling rendered surplus as a result of the consolidation of non-abutting farm parcels in the Township of Scugog, File: OPA 2021-008
(2022-P-14)

A) That Amendment #187 to the Durham Regional Official Plan, to permit the severance of a dwelling rendered surplus as a result of the consolidation of non-abutting farm parcels, be adopted as contained in Attachment #187, to Report #2022-P-14 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development; and

B) That "Notice of Adoption" be sent to the applicant, the applicant's agent, the Township of Scugog, the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, and all other persons or public bodies who requested notification of this decision.

3. Application to Amend the Durham Regional Official Plan, submitted by Werrcroft Farms Ltd., to permit the severance of a dwelling rendered surplus as a result of the consolidation of non-abutting farm parcels, in the Municipality of Clarington, File: OPA 2019-006 (2022-P-15)

A) That Amendment #188 to the Durham Regional Official Plan to permit the severance of a dwelling rendered surplus as a result of the consolidation of non-abutting farm parcels, be adopted as contained in Attachment #3 to Report #2022-P-15 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development; and

B) That "Notice of Adoption" be sent to the applicant, the applicant's agent, the Municipality of Clarington, the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, and all other persons or public bodies who requested notification of this decision.

4. Toronto Global Update and Membership Renewal Decision (2022-EDT-8)

- A) That Durham Region advise Toronto Global that it does not accept the new terms proposed by Toronto Global for membership and corporate structure as proposed in Toronto Global's "Draft Term Sheet" included as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-EDT-8 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development;
- B) That staff continue discussions with Toronto Global and other member municipalities in pursuit of more satisfactory terms for membership and corporate structure and if successful, return to Committee and Council to recommend execution of a revised funding agreement; and
- C) That Report #2022-EDT-8 be sent to Toronto Global; the other funding member municipalities of Toronto Global; the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation, and Trade; the Federal Government; and Durham's area municipalities.

5. Growing Agri-Food Durham – A Five-Year Plan to Grow the Agri-Food Industry (2022-EDT-10)

That the Growing Agri-Food Durham Plan be endorsed.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Ryan, Chair, Planning & Economic Development Committee

Report #6 of the Works Committee

For consideration by Regional Council

June 29, 2022

The Works Committee recommends approval of the following:

1. Petition for Construction of a Sanitary Sewer System on Meadow Street, in the City of Oshawa (2022-W-29)
-

That the construction of a sanitary sewer system on Meadow Street, from Avalon Street to Manor Drive, in the City of Oshawa, be considered in the proposed 2023 and 2025 Sanitary Sewerage System Business Plans and Budgets.

2. Sole Source of Engineering Services for the Rectification of Deficiencies at Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant, in the Municipality of Clarington (Bowmanville) (2022-W-30)
-

A) That a sole source contract with CIMA+ for the provision of engineering services for the Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant Rectification of Deficiencies project in the amount of \$347,282* be approved, with financing provided from within the 2022 Sanitary Sewage System Business Plans and Budgets (Project ID: D2216); and

B) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute the professional services agreement and associated amendments.
(*before applicable taxes)

3. Sole Source Amendment to an Existing Contract for Additional Engineering Services for the Detailed Design of a Watermain on Liberty Street (Reg Rd 14) and Concession Road 3, in the Municipality of Clarington (2022-W-31)
-

A) That a sole source amendment to the existing contract with Robinson Consultants Inc. be authorized for costs associated with additional engineering services for the Liberty Street (Regional Road 14) project, from Longworth Avenue to Concession Road 3 roundabout and rehabilitation, in the amount of \$275,190*, not included in the approved contract upset limit of \$617,554*, resulting in a revised upset limit not to exceed \$892,744*; and

B) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute the amendment to the existing engineering services agreement.
(*before applicable taxes)

4. Approval to Award a Sole Source Agreement for Annual Preventative Maintenance on the Hydroxyl Generator Units installed at Various Locations throughout the Regional Wastewater Systems (2022-W-32)
- A) That staff be authorized to negotiate and award a sole source agreement with Purified Air Solutions at an upset limit of \$75,000* per annum for a term of four years, to provide annual preventative maintenance of the hydroxyl generator units installed at various locations throughout the Regional wastewater systems, to be financed through the annual Sanitary Sewerage Business Plans and Budget; and
- B) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute the necessary documents related to this sole source agreement.
(*before applicable taxes)
5. Subdivision Agreement with 2103386 Ontario Inc., Including Cost Sharing in Accordance with the Region Share Policy, for the Extension and Oversizing of a Sanitary Sewer in the Municipality of Clarington (2022-W-33)
- A) That the Regional Municipality of Durham be authorized to enter into a subdivision agreement with 2103386 Ontario Inc. for the construction of a 750 millimetre sanitary sewer, including cost sharing in accordance with the Regional Municipality of Durham's Regional Share Policy, located at the northwest quadrant of Regional Highway 2 and Ruddell Road in Newcastle, in the Municipality of Clarington, at an estimated total project cost of \$6,335,200; and
- B) That financing for the subdivision agreement be provided from the following sources:
- Developer's Cost – Sanitary Sewers and Watermain**
- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| 2103386 Ontario Inc. Sanitary Sewer Funding | \$2,133,420 |
| 2103386 Ontario Inc. Watermain Funding | <u>\$2,340,980</u> |
| Total Developer Costs – Sanitary Sewers and Watermain | <u>\$4,474,400</u> |
- Regional Costs - Sanitary Sewers**
- Previously Approved - Sanitary Sewerage System Funding
- Wilmot Creek Sanitary Sewer Ruddell Road to King Avenue, Newcastle
(Project ID: D2007)
- | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Residential Development Charges | \$1,062,720 |
| Commercial Development Charges | \$66,240 |

User Revenues	<u>\$311,040</u>
Total Previously Approved Funding	\$1,440,000
<u>2022 Sanitary Sewerage System Funding</u>	
Item 344 - Allowance for Regional Share for Works in Conjunction with Residential Subdivision Development (Project ID: M2210)	
Residential Development Charges	\$310,550
Commercial Development Charges	\$19,358
User Revenues	<u>\$90,892</u>
Total Additional Financing	\$420,800
Total Regional Costs – Sanitary Sewers	<u>\$1,860,800</u>
Total Project Costs – Sanitary Sewers and Watermain	<u>\$6,335,200</u>

6. Approval of Unbudgeted Capital Work and Related Financing to Undertake the Geotechnical Investigations, Subsurface Utility Investigations and Detailed Design for the Watermain Replacement on Belton Court, in the Town of Whitby, and Watermain Replacement on Mary Street, in the City of Oshawa (2022-W-34)

- A) That unbudgeted capital work in the amount of \$90,000* for the geotechnical investigations, subsurface utility investigations and detailed design for the watermain replacement on Belton Court, in the Town of Whitby be approved;
- B) That financing for the unbudgeted capital work for the watermain replacement on Belton Court, in the Town of Whitby, be provided as follows:

2022 Water Supply Capital Budget

Item 3: Allowance for unknown requirements in conjunction with area municipality road programs in various locations (Project ID: M2203)

User Revenue	\$90,000
--------------	----------

- C) That unbudgeted capital work in the amount of \$65,000* for subsurface utility investigations and detailed design for the watermain replacement on Mary Street from Rossland Road East to 150m north of Robert Street, in the City of Oshawa, be approved; and

- D) That financing for the unbudgeted capital work for the watermain replacement on Mary Street from Rossland Road East to 150m north of Robert Street, in the City of Oshawa, be provided as follows:

2022 Water Supply Capital Budget

Item 102: Replacement of watermain on R.R.56 (Farewell St.) from Canadian National Railway to Bloor St., Oshawa (Project ID: O1701)
Asset Management Reserve Fund \$65,000

7. Approval of Capital Works and Financing to be Incorporated into a Servicing Agreement with Garrard Limited Partnership GP Inc., Garrard Limited Partnership, Garrard Coinvest Limited Partnership GP Inc. and Garrard Coinvest Limited Partnership, Including Cost Sharing in Accordance with the Region Share Policy, for the construction of a 600 millimetre Watermain, in the Town of Whitby (2022-W-35)
-

- A) That unbudgeted capital works and financing estimated at \$1,500,000 for the Region share of the construction of a 600 millimetre watermain, in the Town of Whitby, be approved;
- B) That the Regional Municipality of Durham be authorized to enter into a Servicing Agreement with a Region Share payment to Garrard Limited Partnership GP Inc., Garrard Limited Partnership, Garrard Coinvest Limited Partnership GP Inc. and Garrard Coinvest Limited Partnership estimated at \$1,500,000 for the construction of a 600 millimetre watermain, in the Town of Whitby; and
- C) That financing for the servicing agreement be provided by reallocation of funding from the following sources:

Regional Costs – Watermain

2022 Water Supply System Capital Budget

Item 155: Zone 3 feedermain on Anderson St. and Watford St. from Clair Ave. to Carnwith Dr., Whitby Region's share (Project ID: D2203)

Residential Development Charges	\$1,314,000
Commercial Development Charges	\$33,000
User Rate	<u>\$153,000</u>
Total Regional Costs	\$1,500,000
Total Project Financing	<u>\$1,500,000</u>

8. Sole Source Procurement of Additional Engineering Services for Construction
Inspection for Complex Capital Construction Projects (2022-W-36)

- A) That staff be authorized to obtain sole source proposals and amend existing contracts with engineering consultants providing detailed design services for the provision of engineering and inspection services required during the construction of capital works containing complex construction techniques; and
- B) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute amendments to existing engineering services agreements.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Mitchell, Chair, Works Committee

Report #6 of the Committee of the Whole

For consideration by Regional Council

June 29, 2022

The Committee of the Whole recommends approval of the following:

1. 2022 Asset Management Plan (2022-COW-14)

 - A) That Regional Council endorse the 2022 Regional Municipality of Durham Asset Management Plan; and
 - B) That the Asset Management Plan be posted on the Region's website and the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing be advised.
2. Proposed Wastewater Energy Transfer Project – Dockside Development in the Town of Whitby (2022-COW-15)

 - A) That Regional Municipality of Durham staff be directed to work with Creative Energy and Brookfield Homes (Ontario) Whitby Limited to develop a Memorandum of Understanding and enter into a Waste Energy Transfer Agreement for the Dockside Development to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works, Commissioner of Finance, and the Regional Solicitor, and
 - B) That the Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute any necessary documents or agreements relating to the Waste Energy Transfer project for the Dockside Development.
3. Updated Source Protection Plans and Assessment Reports for Proposed New Municipal Wells in the Hamlet of Blackstock, in the Township of Scugog, and the Communities of Cannington and Sunderland, in the Township of Brock (2022-COW-16)

 - A) That the proposed amendments to the Trent Source Protection Plan and Kawartha-Haliburton Assessment Report, as per Section 34 of the Clean Water Act, 2006, resulting from the proposed new municipal well for the Blackstock Drinking Water System, be endorsed;
 - B) That the proposed amendments to the South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Plan and Lake Simcoe Assessment Report, as per Section 34 of the Clean Water Act, 2006, resulting from the proposed new municipal wells in the Cannington and Sunderland Drinking Water Systems, be endorsed;
 - C) That the commencement of the public consultation process by Trent Conservation Coalition and the South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Region be authorized; and

- D) That the new Wellhead Protection Areas (WHPAs) for Blackstock, Cannington and Sunderland Drinking Water Systems and associated mapping be incorporated into the Durham Official Plan following approval by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, and be added to the Kawartha-Haliburton and Lake Simcoe Assessment Reports.

4. Revisions to the Seaton Phase 1 Regional Infrastructure Front Ending Agreement (2022-COW-17)

- A) That to accommodate the development of a proposed food manufacturing campus which requires approximately 60 acres (24 hectares) of Prestige Employment Land, located north of Highway 407, south of Highway 7 and west of Whites Road (Regional Road 38) which represents the first phase of the food campus development, the Seaton Phase 1 Regional Infrastructure Front Ending Agreement (Phase 1 RFEA) be amended to:
 - i) Modify the limits of the Seaton Phase 1 lands to expand the Phase 1 Prestige Employment Lands from 200 acres to 260 acres;
 - ii) Include a condition that the sanitary sewage flows resulting from the development of the balance of the Phase 1 Prestige Employment Lands be capped at the capacity of the downstream sanitary sewer;
 - iii) Require the Regional Attribution Prepayment, currently set at \$192,065 per hectare be applied to the new Phase 1 Prestige Employment Lands, at the rate in effect at the time of payment and that these Prepayments continue on the remaining Phase 1 Prestige Employment Lands until the full commitment contained in the Phase 1 RFEA is met;
- B) That the Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute an amendment to the Seaton Phase 1 Regional Infrastructure Front Ending Agreement, in a form satisfactory to the Regional Solicitor; and
- C) That a copy of Report #2022-COW-17 of the Acting Commissioner of Works, the Commissioner of Finance and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be sent to the City of Pickering.

5. Next Steps for the Restoration and Redevelopment of 300 Ritson Road South, in the City of Oshawa (2022-COW-18)

- A) That the overview of the proposed project plan for the restoration and redevelopment of the former Ritson Public School located at 300 Ritson Road South in the City of Oshawa be received for information;
- B) That financing for the detailed site investigation work estimated at \$550,000 be provided to support advancing the building and site development at the discretion of the Commissioner of Finance; and

- C) That the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute any necessary agreements with respect to the grant funding associated with the implementation of GHG reduction measures at this location.

6. At Home in Durham, the Durham Housing Plan 2014-2024 Annual Report (2022-COW-19)

- A) That Report #2022-COW-19 of the Commissioner of Finance, Commissioner of Social Services and Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development, be received for information as the legislatively required annual report on the progress of At Home in Durham, the Durham Housing Plan 2014-2024;
- B) That in order to advance the goals of At Home in Durham:
 - i) The Commissioner of Social Services be authorized to shift funding within the current funding envelope between all service level standard eligible units and financial housing benefits, including commercial rent supplement, Durham Rent Supplement, community housing provider programs, the Durham Portable Housing Benefit, and future municipally funded housing benefits that may be developed, in order to be more responsive to local needs and maximize the number of available housing units;
 - ii) The facilitation currently undertaken to remove certain projects with fully discharged mortgages from the Housing Services Act be discontinued, and instead Regional staff be authorized to explore opportunities to partner with the community housing providers that operate these projects to continue to provide affordable housing under Part VII.1 of the Housing Services Act; and
- C) That a copy of Report #2022-COW-19 be forwarded to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MMAH).

7. Employment Services Transformation Service System Manager Update (2022-COW-20)

That subject to a successful award from the Province of Ontario, authorization to execute a funding agreement and any other necessary documentation to establish and operate as an Employment Service Manager for the Durham Region catchment area through a consortium led by the Region of Durham, be delegated to the Chief Administrative Officer over the summer and fall of 2022 subject to the concurrence of the Regional Commissioner of Social Services, the Regional Treasurer, and the Regional Solicitor.

8. Modified Payment Schedule for the Brooklin North Landowner Group under the 2012 Regional Official Plan Amendment 128 Minutes of Settlement (2022-COW-21)
-

- A) That a further extension for the payment of \$10.7 million by the Brooklin North Landowners Group under the Regional Official Plan Amendment 128 Minutes of Settlement due on November 4, 2022 (with interest from November 4, 2019) be provided with \$2.5 million due on November 4, 2022 and a series of payments to December 31, 2023 with the specific terms to be approved by the Chief Administrative Officer with the concurrence of the Commissioners of Planning and Economic Development, Works, and Finance, and the Regional Solicitor; and
- B) That the Regional Solicitor be authorized to execute any necessary agreements.

9. Negotiated Request for Proposal Results for the Design, Build, Operate and Maintain Contract for a Mixed Waste Pre-sort and Anaerobic Digestion Facility (2022-COW-22)
-

That Regional Council cancel the Region's Mixed Waste Pre-sort and Anaerobic Digestion Facility ("AD Project") procurement process at this juncture in accordance with the requirements of the Negotiated Request for Proposal, NRFP 1080-2021 ("NRFP").

10. Confidential Report of the Commissioner of Finance, Commissioner of Social Services and Acting Commissioner of Works – Closed Matter with respect to information explicitly supplied in confidence to the municipality or local board by Canada, a province or territory or a Crown agency or any of them, regarding a financing opportunity (2022-COW-13)
-

That the recommendations contained in Confidential Report #2022-COW-13 of the Commissioner of Finance, Commissioner of Social Services, and Acting Commissioner of Works, be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Henry, Regional Chair and CEO

Notice of Motions

June 29, 2022

10.1 Temporary Replacements at Committee

Councillors Collier and Foster gave Notice that the following motion will be presented at the June 29, 2022 meeting, or subsequent meeting of Regional Council:

Whereas 268 of the Municipal Act provides for the appointment of an alternative member of a local municipal council to act in place of a member of an upper-tier council in their absence from a meeting thereof;

And Whereas the Region of Durham's Policy governing Temporary Replacements establishes in Sec. 4.1 that "Alternate Council Member attendance is limited to Regional Council meetings only.";

And Whereas the Region's literal interpretation of the words "meeting of the upper-tier Council" under Sec. 268 of the Act is overly dogmatic and has no regard to the broader context or purpose of Sec. 268;

And Whereas the purpose of Sec. 268, and the allowance for temporary replacements, are to ensure that a local municipality is sufficiently represented at the upper-tier municipality where there is a temporary unavailability;

And Whereas Sec. 268 replacements are critical in ensuring adequate representation for lower-tier municipalities and their residents in the decision-making process, overall;

And Whereas the Region's Standing Committees, and Committee of the Whole, deal with substantive regional business, deliberate and make recommendations to Regional Council, and are integral to the overall decision-making process;

And Whereas a broader interpretation of the words 'meeting of the upper-tier Council' by the Region, in respect to the 'temporary replacement' provisions within the Act, would enhance rather than detract from the purpose of Sec. 268;

Now therefore be it resolved that Regional Council direct staff to amend the Temporary Replacement Policy to permit temporary replacements under Section 268 of the Municipal Act at meetings of the Region's Standing Committees including Committee of the Whole, in time for the commencement of the new term of Council.

Other Business

June 29, 2022

12.1 2022 Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation Annual Shareholder Meeting

Recommendations to Council:

That pursuant to the Ontario Business Corporations Act, RSO 1990 (the “OBCA”), the Council of the Regional Municipality of Durham, in its capacity as the sole shareholder of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation (the “Corporation”), resolve that:

- A) The financial statements of the Corporation which comprise the Statement of Financial Position as at December 31, 2021, and the Statements of Operations and Accumulated Surplus, Change in Net Financial Assets and Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the independent auditor’s report thereon, all as submitted to the shareholder by the board of directors, be received;
- B) The shareholder hereby waives its right to receive general or special notice of an annual meeting of the shareholder of the Corporation;
- C) The shareholder hereby waives its right to receive an advance copy of the corporation’s 2021 financial statements and independent auditor’s report;
- D) All by-laws, resolutions, contracts, acts and proceedings of the board of directors of the Corporation enacted, passed, made, done or taken since the last annual meeting of the shareholder of the Corporation as set forth or referred to in the minutes of the meetings and resolutions of the board of directors in the minute and record books of the Corporation or in the financial statements of the Corporation are hereby approved, ratified and confirmed; and,
- E) The Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to sign the shareholder’s resolution evidencing the approval of the aforementioned matters.

(See attached Report on pages 79-99)

12.2 Amending Agreement to the Metrolinx – 905 PRESTO Operating Agreement (2022-F-19)

Recommendations to Council:

- A) That the Regional Chair and Regional Clerk execute an amendment to the PRESTO Operating Agreement between Metrolinx and the 905 transit agencies to provide the Region with the necessary revenue protection to enable the implementation of open payment fare transactions on Durham Region Transit vehicles; and

- B) That the Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute any further documents or subsequent amendments related to the PRESTO Operating Agreement.

(See attached Report on pages 100-104)

12.3 Ukrainian Humanitarian Response in Durham (2022-A-22)

Recommendations to Council:

- A) That Regional Council approve a request for up to \$1,000,000 to provide supports to individuals and families arriving in Durham via the Canada-Ukraine Authorization for Emergency Travel (CUAET) without independent financial means and are at risk of being precariously housed or homeless, with financing to be provided at the discretion of the Commissioner of Finance;
- B) That Regional staff be authorized to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the Community Development Council of Durham (CDCD) to provide the needed supports for these individuals;
- C) That Regional staff be delegated authority or utilize existing delegated authorities to execute any transfer payment agreements, expend funds in accordance with the program guidelines, make any modifications to the program(s) to ensure desired outcomes are achieved, that the Purchasing By-law and Budget Management Policy be waived for this project as applicable and to receive any federal and/or provincial funds should they become available for this response;
- D) That Regional staff be directed to pursue full recovery from federal and/or provincial levels of government for the costs associated with the Region of Durham's (Region) Ukrainian humanitarian response, and that staff report back on the outcome of this undertaking; and,
- E) That a copy of Report #2022-A-22 of the Chief Administrative Officer be circulated to the Minister of Immigration Refugees and Citizenship Canada, Durham Members of Parliament (MPs), Durham Members of Provincial Parliament (MPPs), the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM), and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) for their information.

(See attached Report on pages 105-110)

12.4 Servicing Agreement with OPB Realty Inc. for the Relocation and Oversizing of a Sanitary Sewer and Watermains from an Easement on Private Property onto Glenanna Road and Pickering Parkway, in the City of Pickering (2022-W-28)

(NOTE: Report #2022-W-28 was referred back to staff at the June 8, 2022 Works Committee meeting)

Recommendations to Council:

- A) That financing estimated at \$689,400 for the Regional Municipality of Durham's share of the construction of sanitary sewers in the City of Pickering be approved;
- B) That the Regional Municipality of Durham be authorized to enter into a servicing agreement with OPB Realty Inc. for the relocation and upsizing of sanitary sewers and watermains in the City of Pickering, including a Regional Share amount estimated at \$689,400 for the oversizing of the sanitary sewer, for an estimated total project cost of \$3,984,480;
- C) That financing for the servicing agreement, including the Regional Share amount, be provided from the following sources:

OPB Realty Inc. Share – Sanitary Sewer and Watermain

OPB Realty Inc. Sanitary Sewers	\$1,603,500
OPB Realty Inc. Watermains	<u>\$1,691,580</u>
Total OPB Realty Inc.	<u>\$3,295,080</u>

Regional Share – Sanitary Sewer

2022 Sanitary Sewerage System Capital Budget

Item Number 343 Allowance for Regional Share for works in conjunction with non-residential development (Project ID: M2211):

Commercial Development Charges	\$ 18,400
Residential Development Charges	295,200
User Rates	<u>86,400</u>
	\$400,000

Item Number 344 Allowance for Regional Share for works in conjunction with residential development (Project ID: M2210):

Commercial Development Charges	\$ 13,312
Residential Development Charges	213,578
User Rates	<u>62,510</u>
	\$289,400

Total Regional Sanitary Sewerage Financing **\$689,400**

Total Project Costs – Sanitary Sewer and Watermain **\$3,984,480**

- D) That the Acting Commissioner of Works be authorized to execute the necessary documents related to the Servicing Agreement.



The Regional Municipality of Durham Report

To:	The Shareholder of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation
From:	Elaine Baxter-Trahair, Chief Executive Officer, Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation Stella Danos-Papaconstantinou, Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation Nancy Taylor, Treasurer, Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation
Report:	DRLHC Annual Shareholder Meeting
Date:	June 29, 2022

Subject:

2022 Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation Annual Shareholder Meeting

Recommendation:

It is recommended that, pursuant to the Ontario *Business Corporations Act*, RSO 1990 (the "OBCA"), the Council of the Regional Municipality of Durham, in its capacity as the sole shareholder of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation (the "Corporation"), resolve that:

- A) The financial statements of the Corporation which comprise the Statement of Financial Position as at December 31, 2021, and the Statements of Operations and Accumulated Surplus, Change in Net Financial Assets and Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the independent auditor's report thereon, all as submitted to the shareholder by the board of directors, be received;
- B) The shareholder hereby waives its right to receive general or special notice of an annual meeting of the shareholder of the Corporation;
- C) The shareholder hereby waives its right to receive an advance copy of the corporation's 2021 financial statements and independent auditor's report;
- D) All by-laws, resolutions, contracts, acts and proceedings of the board of directors of the Corporation enacted, passed, made, done or taken since the last annual meeting of shareholder of the Corporation as set forth or referred to in the minutes of the meetings and resolutions of the board of directors in the minute and record book of the Corporation or in the financial statements of the Corporation are hereby approved, ratified and confirmed; and,

- E) The Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to sign the shareholder's resolution evidencing the approval of the aforementioned matters.
-

Report:**1. Purpose**

- 1.1 This report is submitted to the Regional Municipality of Durham, the sole shareholder of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation (the "Corporation"), for the purpose of conducting the statutory annual meeting as required pursuant to the provisions of the Ontario *Business Corporations Act*, RSO 1990 (the "OBCA").

2. Background and OBCA Requirements

- 2.1 The Corporation is incorporated pursuant to the provisions of the OBCA.
- 2.2 The OBCA requires that financial statements be prepared as prescribed by legislation and present the financial position of the Corporation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The 2021 financial statements for the Corporation have been prepared using public sector accounting standards appropriate for a government not-for-profit organization. Deloitte LLP are the current auditors of the Corporation and have completed the audit of the financial statements of the Corporation, which comprise the Statement of Financial Position as at December 31, 2021 and the Statements of Operations and Accumulated Surplus, Change in Net Financial Assets and Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2021.
- 2.3 The OBCA requires that the Corporation's financial statements be received before an annual meeting of shareholders within six months of the financial year end referred to in the financial statements. Enclosed herewith as Attachment "A" are the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2021, which were approved by the board of directors on May 25, 2022.
- 2.4 The OBCA provides that a resolution in writing signed by all the shareholders entitled to vote on that resolution at a meeting of shareholders is as valid as if it had been passed at a meeting of the shareholders. Given that the Regional Municipality of Durham is the sole shareholder of the Corporation it is possible to dispense with the formality of convening an annual shareholders meeting by having Regional Council approve and authorize the execution of a written shareholder's resolution. This method of proceeding has been used previously for annual shareholder meetings of the Corporation and continues to be recommended in the present circumstance.
- 2.5 The OBCA sets out a number of requirements regarding notice of the shareholders meeting and provision of copies of the audited financial statements that are applicable to public corporations but are not typically useful for a corporation with only one shareholder. As such, it is permitted for the sole shareholder of such a

corporation to waive strict compliance with those notice requirements. Such a waiver of this corporate requirement is recommended in the present circumstance.

- 2.6 Finally, it is requested that the shareholder of the Corporation ratify the actions of the board of directors since the preceding annual shareholder's meeting. While the Corporation holds title to its assets, the business of operating the housing stock is conducted by Region of Durham staff under the terms of a service contract with the Corporation. Regional Council has established business and financial reporting practices for all regionally delivered services through its existing committee structure. Any decisions made by the board of directors for the Corporation would be brought for the approval of Regional Council through the reports to the applicable committee. Therefore, the ratification of the board of directors' actions at the annual shareholder's meeting is strictly a corporate formality.

3. Previous Reports and Decisions

- 3.1 A report similar to this one is presented annually to the shareholder of the Corporation to obtain approval and authorization in the execution of the written shareholder's resolution.

4. Relationship to Strategic Plan

- 4.1 This report aligns with/addresses the following strategic goals and priorities in the Durham Region Strategic Plan:
- a. Goal 5.3 Service Excellence - The financial statements demonstrate the commitment to transparency and accountability by communicating the annual financial results.

5. Conclusion

- 5.1 Given that the Regional Municipality of Durham is the sole shareholder of the Corporation, it is recommended that the shareholder dispense with the formality of convening an annual shareholder's meeting and have Regional Council approve and authorize the execution of a written shareholder's resolution.
- 5.2 It is recommended that all by-laws, resolutions, contracts, acts and proceedings of the board of directors of the Corporation enacted, passed, made, done or taken since the last annual meeting of shareholders of the Corporation as set forth or referred to in the minutes of the meetings and resolutions of the board of directors in the minute and record book of the Corporation or in the financial statements of the Corporation be hereby approved, ratified and confirmed.
- 5.3 Attached hereto as Attachment "B" is a written shareholder's resolution for use with respect to the aforementioned resolutions.

6. Attachments

Attachment A: Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation Audited Financial Statements as at December 31, 2021

Attachment B: Resolutions of the Sole Shareholder of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Respectfully submitted,

Original Signed By

Elaine Baxter-Trahair
Chief Executive Officer
Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Original Signed By

Stella Danos-Papaconstantinou
Deputy Chief Executive Officer
Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Original Signed By

Nancy Taylor, BBA, CPA, CA
Treasurer
Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Financial statements of

**Durham Regional Local Housing
Corporation**

December 31, 2021

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors of
Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation (the "Corporation"), which comprise the statement of financial position as at December 31, 2021, and the statements of operations and accumulated surplus, change in net financial assets and cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies (collectively referred to as the "financial statements").

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Corporation as at December 31, 2021, and the results of its operations, its changes in net financial assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards ("PSAS").

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards ("Canadian GAAS"). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Corporation in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with PSAS, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Corporation's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Corporation or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Corporation's financial reporting process.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian GAAS, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Corporation's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Corporation to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Deloitte LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants
Licensed Public Accountants
May 25, 2022

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Statement of Financial Position

Year ended December 31, 2021

	2021	2020
	\$	\$
FINANCIAL ASSETS		
Cash	12,597,157	7,961,832
Accounts receivable	750,866	1,108,106
Region of Durham subsidy receivable	1,452,643	591,548
	14,800,666	9,661,486
FINANCIAL LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,260,180	2,107,715
Rent received in advance	108,002	92,868
	2,368,182	2,200,583
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS	12,432,484	7,460,903
NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS		
Tangible capital assets (Note 3)	11,898,325	11,380,831
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS (Note 4)	24,330,809	18,841,734

The accompanying notes and schedules are an integral part of these financial statements.

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Statement of Operations and Accumulated Surplus

Year ended December 31, 2021

	2021		2020
	Budget	Actual	Actual
	\$	\$	\$
REVENUES			
Rent	7,279,535	7,114,436	7,410,115
Region of Durham subsidy	13,083,000	15,015,323	11,322,335
Other	170,000	658,812	152,312
Federal grant (Note 5)	-	10,685	32,055
Provincial grant (Note 5)	-	224,686	575,005
	20,532,535	23,023,942	19,491,822
EXPENSES			
Purchased services	3,530,515	3,970,129	3,670,978
Property taxes	2,643,224	2,642,882	2,593,194
Utilities	2,239,239	2,188,984	2,149,846
Property maintenance, materials and supplies	3,069,524	6,444,842	5,537,405
Renovations and replacements	2,500,000	936,540	746,176
Amortization	919,714	943,226	919,714
Insurance	228,000	228,000	224,000
Administration	234,426	116,270	118,619
Rental write-offs	80,000	63,994	196,445
	15,444,642	17,534,867	16,156,377
ANNUAL SURPLUS	5,087,893	5,489,075	3,335,445
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	18,841,734	18,841,734	15,506,289
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, END OF YEAR	23,929,627	24,330,809	18,841,734

The accompanying notes and schedules are an integral part of these financial statements.

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Statement of Change in Net Financial Assets

Year ended December 31, 2021

	2021	2020
	\$	\$
OPERATING		
Annual surplus	5,489,075	3,335,445
Acquisition of tangible capital assets	(1,466,070)	(1,430,459)
Amortization of tangible capital assets	943,226	919,714
Gain on disposal of tangible capital assets	(515,750)	-
Proceeds on disposal of tangible capital assets	521,100	-
Increase in Net Financial Assets	4,971,581	2,824,700
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	7,460,903	4,636,203
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS, END OF YEAR	12,432,484	7,460,903

The accompanying notes and schedules are an integral part of these financial statements.

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended December 31, 2021

	2021	2020
	\$	\$
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Annual surplus	5,489,075	3,335,445
Non-cash charges to operations		
Amortization of tangible capital assets	943,226	919,714
Gain on disposal of tangible capital assets	(515,750)	-
Change in non-cash assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	357,240	480,569
Region of Durham subsidy receivable	(861,095)	930,064
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	152,465	(45,724)
Rent received in advance	15,134	18,418
Net increase in cash from operating activities	5,580,295	5,638,486
CAPITAL ACTIVITY		
Acquisition of tangible capital assets	(1,466,070)	(1,430,459)
Proceeds on disposal of tangible capital assets	521,100	-
Net decrease in cash from capital activities	(944,970)	(1,430,459)
NET INCREASE IN CASH	4,635,325	4,208,027
CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR	7,961,832	3,753,805
CASH, END OF YEAR	12,597,157	7,961,832

The accompanying notes and schedules are an integral part of these financial statements.

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2021

1. Incorporation

As part of the provincial local services realignment program, the Regional Municipality of Durham (the "Region") acts as service manager for social housing located in the municipality. To facilitate the transfer of social housing units of the Ontario Housing Corporation ("OHC") located in the Region, on January 1, 2001, the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation ("LHC") was established under the provisions of the Ontario Business Corporations Act, with the Region as its sole shareholder. Nominal consideration was given for the 100 common shares issued upon incorporation.

Under the provisions of a transfer order prepared under authority of the Social Housing Reform Act, 2000, the LHC was the recipient on January 1, 2001 of assets, liabilities, rights and obligations previously owned by the OHC. Effective on the date of the transfer, the Region, as service manager, and the LHC are responsible for the management and operation of the housing projects transferred and are bound by the requirements set out in the legislation.

Income-producing properties acquired as a result of the transfer were originally financed by the Province of Ontario (the "Province") through general obligation provincial debentures. At the time of the transfer of ownership of the assets from the OHC to the LHC, the Province did not transfer the responsibility for the repayment of the debentures. Accordingly, the value of the related provincial debentures was not recorded in the LHC's financial statements.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

The financial statements of the LHC are representations of management prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as recommended by the Public Sector Accounting Board ("PSAB") of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada.

The focus of PSAB financial statements is on the financial position of the LHC and changes thereto. The Statement of Financial Position reports financial assets and liabilities, and the non-financial assets of the LHC. Financial assets are available to provide resources to discharge existing liabilities or finance future operations. Accumulated surplus represents the financial position of the LHC and is the difference between assets and liabilities.

(a) Basis of accounting

i. Accrual basis of accounting

Revenues and expenses are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. The accrual basis of accounting recognizes revenues in the period in which transactions or events occurred that gave rise to the revenues; expenses are recognized in the period the goods and services are acquired and a liability is incurred or transfers are due.

ii. Non-financial assets

Non-financial assets are not available to discharge existing liabilities and are held for use in the provision of services. They have useful lives extending beyond the current year, and are not intended for sale in the ordinary course of operations.

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2021

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(a) Tangible capital assets

Tangible capital assets are recorded at cost, which includes amounts that are directly attributable to acquisition, construction, development or betterment of the asset. The cost, less residual value, of tangible capital assets, excluding land, is amortized on a straight line basis over their estimated useful lives as follows:

	Useful life - years
Land improvements	20
Buildings and building improvements	15 - 55
Vehicles	5
Machinery and equipment	10

Annual amortization is charged in the year of acquisition and in the year of disposal. Tangible capital assets under construction are not amortized until the tangible capital assets are in service.

iii. Government transfers

Government transfers are recognized in the period during which the transfers are authorized and any eligibility criteria are met. Government transfers are deferred if they are restricted through stipulations that require specific actions to be carried out in order to recognize the transfer. For such transfers, revenue is recognized when the stipulation has been met.

iv. Use of estimates

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Accounts requiring significant estimates and assumptions include accounts receivable, tangible capital assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

v. Segment disclosure

Revenues and expenses of the segments identified below include amounts that are directly attributable to the segment and amounts that can be allocated on a reasonable basis. The accounting policies used in these segments are consistent with the accounting policies noted above.

(a) Social housing

Represents the property management and operating costs pertaining to the 1,274 social housing units transferred to the LHC from the OHC.

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2021

2. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(b) Affordable housing

The LHC received funding under the “Canada-Ontario Affordable Housing Program (“AHP”): Rental and Supportive Component” for the development and construction of 12 one bedroom units at the Lakeview Harbourside site in the City of Oshawa, and 5 one bedroom units at the Perry Street site in the Township of Uxbridge. Property management and operating costs charged to this segment are recovered from tenant rents and rent supplements.

3. Tangible Capital Assets

The net book value of tangible capital assets is comprised of the following:

	2021	2020
	\$	\$
Land	46	46
Land improvements	665,775	518,091
Building and building improvements	8,430,998	9,272,053
Vehicles	12,839	24,719
Machinery and equipment	521,316	575,891
	9,630,974	10,390,800
Work in progress	2,267,351	990,031
	11,898,325	11,380,831

The Schedule of Tangible Capital Assets (Schedule 1) discloses the changes in cost and accumulated amortization by category.

(a) Work in progress

Work in progress, having a carrying value of \$2,267,351 (2020 - \$990,031), has not been amortized. Amortization of these assets will commence when the assets are put in service.

(b) Tangible capital assets recognized at nominal value

Land has been assigned a nominal value due to the difficulty in determining a tenable valuation on these assets.

(c) Works of art or historical treasures

No works of art or historical treasures are held by the LHC.

(d) Other

During the year there were no write-downs of assets (2020 - \$Nil), no interest was capitalized (2020 - \$Nil), and there are no capital lease obligations (2020 - \$Nil).

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Notes to the Financial Statements

December 31, 2021

4. Accumulated Surplus

The accumulated surplus consists of the following:

	2021	2020
	\$	\$
Tangible capital assets	11,898,325	11,380,831
Affordable housing capital reserve	45,434	37,805
Unexpended capital financing for approved capital expenditures	12,387,050	7,423,098
Accumulated surplus	24,330,809	18,841,734

5. Government Transfers

a) Operational

The LHC received one-time funding from the Social Services Relief Fund (SSRF) to assist households at risk of homelessness to retain their housing. Funding in the amount of \$40,000 (2020-\$nil) has been recognized as a Provincial grant and has been fully utilized to provide supports and services to households and recognized as an expense in 2021.

b) Capital

The LHC received approval for one time provincial grant funding for the repair and retrofit to social housing units to improve living conditions and fight climate change through Ontario's Climate Action Change Plan, Social Housing Apartment Improvement Program ("SHAIP"). The grant funding can only be spent on approved projects and the housing units funded must remain affordable for a ten-year period after the completion of the repair/retrofit activity. Grant funding is recognized as expenditures are incurred. The Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing has extended the completion deadline for this grant funding until June 2022 and has confirmed there is no risk of losing the funding due to repair delays. Delays experienced have been caused by supply chain interruptions and enhanced safety measures put in place due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The renewal of building envelopes at two sites were approved for financing from the 2019 Federal Gas Tax grant funding. Grant funding is recognized as expenditures are incurred.

The following summarizes the eligible costs incurred for approved projects and the grant funding recognized:

	2021	2020
	\$	\$
Program costs		
SHAIP		
Building envelope replacements at 155 King St., Oshawa	67,513	433,899
Windows and door replacements at 655 Harwood Ave., Ajax	117,173	141,106
Federal Gas Tax		
Overcladding at 155 King St., Oshawa	10,685	32,055
Windows and Building Automation System at 315 Colborne St. W., Whitby	-	-
Total	195,371	607,060
Program funding		
Federal grant	10,685	32,055
Provincial grant	184,686	575,005
Total	195,371	607,060

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Schedule of Tangible Capital Assets
Year ended December 31, 2021

Schedule 1

	Land	Land improvements	Buildings and building improvements	Vehicles	Machinery and equipment	Work in progress	2021 Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
COST							
Balance, beginning of year	46	1,288,142	33,439,320	342,378	800,461	990,031	36,860,378
Additions	-	188,750	-	-	-	1,466,070	1,654,820
Work in process completed	-	-	-	-	-	(188,750)	(188,750)
Disposals	-	(886)	(36,480)	-	-	-	(37,366)
BALANCE, END OF YEAR	46	1,476,006	33,402,840	342,378	800,461	2,267,351	38,289,082
ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION							
Balance, beginning of year	-	770,051	24,167,267	317,659	224,570	-	25,479,547
Amortization	-	41,066	835,705	11,880	54,575	-	943,226
Disposals	-	(886)	(31,130)	-	-	-	(32,016)
BALANCE, END OF YEAR	-	810,231	24,971,842	329,539	279,145	-	26,390,757
NET BOOK VALUE, END OF YEAR	46	665,775	8,430,998	12,839	521,316	2,267,351	11,898,325

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Schedule of Tangible Capital Assets

Year ended December 31, 2020

Schedule 1 - Continued

	Land	Land improvements	Buildings and building improvements	Vehicles	Machinery and equipment	Work in progress	2020 Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
COST							
Balance, beginning of year	46	1,288,142	32,595,980	342,378	342,638	1,020,728	35,589,912
Additions	-	-	1,003,333	-	457,823	1,430,459	2,891,615
Work in process completed	-	-	-	-	-	(1,461,156)	(1,461,156)
Disposals	-	-	(159,993)	-	-	-	(159,993)
BALANCE, END OF YEAR	46	1,288,142	33,439,320	342,378	800,461	990,031	36,860,378
ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION							
Balance, beginning of year	-	728,985	23,515,649	294,363	180,829	-	24,719,826
Amortization	-	41,066	811,611	23,296	43,741	-	919,714
Disposals	-	-	(159,993)	-	-	-	(159,993)
BALANCE, END OF YEAR	-	770,051	24,167,267	317,659	224,570	-	25,479,547
NET BOOK VALUE, END OF YEAR	46	518,091	9,272,053	24,719	575,891	990,031	11,380,831

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Schedule of Segment Disclosure

Year ended December 31, 2021

Schedule 2

	Social Housing	Affordable Housing	2021 Total
	\$	\$	\$
REVENUES			
Rent	6,924,769	189,667	7,114,436
Region of Durham subsidy	15,015,323	-	15,015,323
Other	657,686	1,126	658,812
Federal grant funding	10,685	-	10,685
Provincial grant funding	224,686	-	224,686
	22,833,149	190,793	23,023,942
EXPENSES			
Purchased services	3,916,786	53,343	3,970,129
Property taxes	2,624,792	18,090	2,642,882
Utilities	2,156,223	32,761	2,188,984
Property maintenance, materials and supplies	6,371,840	73,002	6,444,842
Renovations and replacements	928,911	7,629	936,540
Amortization	943,226	-	943,226
Insurance	225,357	2,643	228,000
Administration	115,271	999	116,270
Rental write-offs	63,914	80	63,994
	17,346,320	188,547	17,534,867
ANNUAL SURPLUS			
	5,486,829	2,246	5,489,075
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	16,143,999	2,697,735	18,841,734
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, END OF YEAR	21,630,828	2,699,981	24,330,809

Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation

Schedule of Segment Disclosure
Year ended December 31, 2020

Schedule 2 - Continued

	Social Housing	Affordable Housing	2020 Total
	\$	\$	\$
REVENUES			
Rent	7,222,590	187,525	7,410,115
Region of Durham subsidy	11,322,335	-	11,322,335
Other	151,285	1,027	152,312
Federal grant funding	32,055	-	32,055
Provincial grant funding	575,005	-	575,005
	19,303,270	188,552	19,491,822
EXPENSES			
Purchased services	3,622,280	48,698	3,670,978
Property taxes	2,576,071	17,123	2,593,194
Utilities	2,106,091	43,755	2,149,846
Property maintenance, materials and supplies	5,450,734	86,671	5,537,405
Renovations and replacements	738,642	7,534	746,176
Amortization	800,012	119,702	919,714
Insurance	221,407	2,593	224,000
Administration	117,483	1,136	118,619
Rental write-offs	196,247	198	196,445
	15,828,967	327,410	16,156,377
ANNUAL SURPLUS			
	3,474,303	(138,858)	3,335,445
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	12,669,696	2,836,593	15,506,289
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, END OF YEAR	16,143,999	2,697,735	18,841,734

RESOLUTIONS OF THE SOLE SHAREHOLDER
OF
DURHAM REGIONAL LOCAL HOUSING CORPORATION

WHEREAS:

1. The Ontario Business Corporations Act (“OBCA”) requires that the board of directors of the Durham Regional Local Housing Corporation (the “Corporation”) call an annual shareholder’s meeting;
2. The standard business to be conducted at a shareholder’s meeting includes the consideration of the Corporation’s financial statements and independent auditor’s report, the approval of the minutes of any prior shareholder’s meetings, the election of directors, and the appointment of an auditor;
3. It is required that any by-laws passed by the board of directors be submitted to the shareholders for confirmation;
4. The Regional Municipality of Durham constitutes the sole shareholder of the Corporation;
5. The shareholder may deal with all matters required to be dealt with at a meeting of shareholders by executing a written shareholder’s resolution;
6. The shareholder may in writing waive its right to notice of a meeting of the shareholders, and its right to receive the financial statements and independent auditors’ report more than ten days in advance of the meeting of the shareholders; and,
7. At its meeting held May 25, 2022, the board of directors of the Corporation approved the audited annual financial statements to be considered by the shareholder.

The undersigned, being the sole shareholder of the Corporation, by its execution hereof consents, pursuant to the provisions of the OBCA to the following Resolutions in lieu of an annual meeting of the shareholder.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The financial statements of the Corporation which comprise the Statement of Financial Position as at December 31, 2021 and the Statements of Operations and Accumulated Surplus, Change in Net Financial Assets and Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2021 and the independent auditor's report thereon, all as submitted to the shareholder by the board of directors, be and the same are hereby received.
2. The Shareholder hereby waives its right to receive general or special notice of an annual meeting of the shareholder of the Corporation.
3. The shareholder hereby waives its right to receive an advance copy of the Corporation's 2021 financial statements and independent auditor's report.
4. All by-laws, resolutions, contracts, acts and proceedings of the board of directors of the Corporation enacted, passed, made, done or taken since the last annual meeting of shareholders of the Corporation as set forth or referred to in the minutes of the meetings and resolutions of the board of directors in the minute and record book of the Corporation or in the financial statements of the Corporation are hereby approved, ratified and confirmed.
5. The Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to sign the shareholder's resolution evidencing the approval of the aforementioned matters.

Each of the foregoing resolutions is hereby consented to by the shareholder of the Corporation pursuant to the OBCA this 29th day of June, 2022.

John Henry, Regional Chair and CEO

Alexander Harras, Regional Clerk



The Regional Municipality of Durham Report

To: Regional Council
From: Commissioner of Finance
Report: #2022-F-19
Date: June 29, 2022

Subject:

Amending Agreement to the Metrolinx - 905 PRESTO Operating Agreement

Recommendation:

It is recommended to Regional Council:

- A) That the Regional Chair and Regional Clerk execute an amendment to the PRESTO Operating Agreement between Metrolinx and the 905 transit agencies to provide the Region with the necessary revenue protection to enable the implementation of open payment fare transactions on Durham Region Transit vehicles; and
 - B) That the Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute any further documents or subsequent amendments related to the PRESTO Operating Agreement.
-

Report:

1. Purpose

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the Regional Chair and Clerk to execute an amending agreement that will provide the necessary revenue recovery commitments by Metrolinx to 905 transit agencies in order to enable the implementation of open payment fare transactions on Durham Region Transit (DRT) and other Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area transit agencies.

- 1.2 A similar report ([Report 2022-DRT-11](#)), seeking approval of the amending agreement was presented to the Transit Executive Committee on June 8, 2022. This report, seeking Regional Council approval to execute the amendment is being presented to Regional Council pursuant to Sections 60 and 61 of Durham Region Transit Commission By-law Number 27-2021.

2. Background

- 2.1 The initial PRESTO Operating Agreement was approved by Regional Council in July 2006 for an initial ten-year period from October 2006 to October 2016, between the Province of Ontario, the City of Ottawa and the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area transit agencies of Brampton, Burlington, Durham Region, Hamilton, Mississauga, Oakville and York Region, referred to as the 905 transit agencies. The Agreement established the roles, responsibilities and governance structure for all involved parties to address on-going operating requirements of the PRESTO electronic fare transaction system.
- 2.2 At its meeting of November 30, 2017, TEC authorized the execution of a new 10-year PRESTO operating agreement with Metrolinx for all 905 transit agencies. The agreement is in effect October 27, 2017 to October 27, 2027, with the option for a five year extension. The agreement sets out the roles and responsibilities of the respective parties in participating in the PRESTO electronic fare payment system. This includes implications for provincial gas tax eligibility, the establishment of commission fees paid to Metrolinx by transit agencies for core administrative and technical support functions, and minimum revenue guarantees for Metrolinx and revenue protections for 905 transit agencies based on PRESTO device availability.

3. Previous Reports

- 3.1 At its meeting of November 30, 2017, TEC authorized the execution of a new 10-year PRESTO operating agreement through October 2027 with Metrolinx for all 905 transit agencies including Durham Region Transit ([Report 2017-DRT-22](#)).
- 3.2 At its meeting on December 4, 2019, TEC approved the DRT Fare Strategy ([Report 2019-DRT-25](#)). The strategy establishes principles and objectives over the next five years to simplify DRT's overall fare structure and incentivize and accelerate the transition to contactless PRESTO electronic fare payment options.

4. Open Payment

- 4.1 Open payment will enable customers to pay transit fares on all PRESTO equipped transit systems, including DRT, via contactless credit and debit card payments. This includes EMV credit, debit cards and pre-paid reloadable cards (i.e., cards with an embedded smart chip). Accepted credit cards (including pre-paid reloadable cards) consist of VISA, Mastercard, and AMEX, while debit cards include internationally issued VISA, Mastercard, and Interac cards.
- 4.2 With open payment, a customer will simply tap their credit or debit card on the PRESTO fare payment device when boarding a DRT vehicle. The same card is then tapped when transferring to a connecting DRT service and no fee is charged within the two hour transfer window. The fare for open payment transactions will be equivalent to DRT's standard cash fare (i.e. \$4.00) providing another option for customers who do not have a PRESTO card, access to the PRESTO E-Ticket smartphone app or exact change for fares.
- 4.3 The initial launch of open payment with select 905 transit agencies is expected to take place in late summer 2022. DRT is expected to implement open payment with the remaining 905 transit agencies in the fall of 2022, allowing time for mobile PRESTO transaction devices to be enabled for open payment on DRT's demand response services (i.e. specialized and On Demand). Mobile wallet payment via smartphones is targeted for launch on 905 transit agencies in 2023.
- 4.4 To ensure successful launch of open payment, the 905 transit agencies have worked with PRESTO to undertake testing (including piloting by Metrolinx on UP Express) and to address revenue protection requirements related to the introduction of this new payment option. With existing PRESTO fare cards, customers tap their PRESTO card on the primary fare payment device at the front doorway when boarding the bus and the corresponding fare is deducted from the electronic purse value on the card or a monthly pass is detected and validated. In instances where the primary fare payment device is unavailable (e.g. due to technical issues), the bus operator can request that customers tap their PRESTO card on the Driver Control Unit next to the operator providing an important back-up fare payment option.
- 4.5 With open payment, the Driver Control Unit is unable to accept payment from credit or debit cards resulting in the absence of a back-up payment option should the primary fare payment device be unavailable. Under the current PRESTO Operating Agreement transit agencies are eligible for revenue recovery from Metrolinx when fare payment device availability is less than 98.0 per cent. For the

purposes of open payment transactions, recognizing the absence of back-up fare payment options on the Driver Control Unit, transit agencies will be eligible to seek revenue recovery from Metrolinx under the amending agreement when PRESTO fare payment device availability is less than 99.5 per cent per calendar quarter.

- 4.6 Replacement of the original PRESTO devices onboard DRT vehicles was completed in late 2020. Current PRESTO device availability levels have been regularly above 99.5% throughout 2021 and 2022 year to date. Strong performance is expected given the devices are relatively new, however the risk of device outages may increase as the devices age. Under the terms of the device replacement project, Metrolinx remains responsible for maintenance of the payment devices.
- 4.7 Metrolinx will also be liable for uncollected fares for all credit and debit card taps accepted by a fare payment device and will manage any chargebacks and related fees. If a customer's open payment credit or debit card is not in good standing (e.g., insufficient funds, credit limit reached, missed payments, suspected fraud) with the financial institution, PRESTO will put the open payment card on a deny list. Once a card is on the deny list, the customer will not be able to use it again on the PRESTO network until the unpaid fares are paid.

5. Financial Considerations

- 5.1 The amending agreement addresses the threshold for revenue recovery providing added protection for DRT from revenue loss due to fare payment device availability rates that fail to meet the 99.5 per cent service level per calendar quarter.
- 5.2 Metrolinx has committed to working with the 905 transit agencies to establish a simplified process for revenue recovery submissions, including possible automation of the notification and submission process when fare payment device availability falls below the 99.5 per cent service level.

6. Relationship to Strategic Plan

- 6.1 This report aligns with/addresses the following strategic goals and priorities in the Durham Region Strategic Plan:
- a. Service Excellence: Optimize resources and partnerships to deliver exceptional quality services and value

7. Conclusion

- 7.1 Open payment will offer transit customers another easy and convenient option for paying fares when boarding DRT vehicles. Approval of the amending agreement to the PRESTO Operating Agreement will enable DRT to move forward with other 905 transit agencies in implementing open payment in the fall of 2022. The amending agreement provides DRT with additional protection that lowers the risk of revenue loss resulting from the unavailability of PRESTO fare payment devices. The amending agreement has been approved by TEC but requires execution by the Regional Chair and Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,

Original Signed By

Nancy Taylor, BBA, CPA, CA
Commissioner of Finance
Recommended for Presentation to Committee

Original Signed By

Elaine Baxter-Trahair
Chief Administrative Officer



The Regional Municipality of Durham Report

To: Regional Council
From: Chief Administrative Officer
Report: #2022-A-22
Date: June 28, 2022

Subject:

Ukrainian Humanitarian Response in Durham

Recommendation:

- A) That Regional Council approve a request for up to \$1,000,000 to provide supports to individuals and families arriving in Durham via the Canada-Ukraine Authorization for Emergency Travel (CUAET) without independent financial means and are at risk of being precariously housed or homeless, with financing to be provided at the discretion of the Commissioner of Finance;
- B) That Regional staff be authorized to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the Community Development Council of Durham (CDCD) to provide the needed supports for these individuals;
- C) That Regional staff be delegated authority or utilize existing delegated authorities to execute any transfer payment agreements, expend funds in accordance with the program guidelines, make any modifications to the program(s) to ensure desired outcomes are achieved, that the Purchasing By-law and Budget Management Policy be waived for this project as applicable and to receive any federal and/or provincial funds should they become available for this response;
- D) That Regional staff be directed to pursue full recovery from federal and/or provincial levels of government for the costs associated with the Region of Durham's (Region) Ukrainian humanitarian response, and that staff report back on the outcome of this undertaking; and,
- E) That a copy of Report #2022-A-22 be circulated to the Minister of Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada, Durham Members of Parliament (MPs), Durham Members of Provincial Parliament (MPPs), the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) for their information.

Report:**1. Purpose**

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to obtain approvals to provide unbudgeted supports to individuals and families arriving in Durham via CUAET without independent financial means and are at risk of being precariously housed or homeless.

2. Background

- 2.1 Refugees and vulnerable immigrants continue to arrive in Durham Region with immediate accommodation, housing and other settlement needs.
- 2.2 Ongoing influxes of Ukrainian immigrants arriving through special emergency federal immigration measures and Afghan refugees, in addition to other “regular” arrivals, outpace the current capacity of existing systems and services to best meet the needs of refugees and immigrants who have experienced incredible trauma.
- 2.3 The Government of Canada opened the CUAET as an immigration pathway to Ukrainians fleeing war, with no cap. Arrivals via the CUAET are classified as “visitors” for up to three years.
- a. As of June 20, 2022, approximately 296,163 applications have been received. Of these, 161,763 have been approved.
 - b. Approximately 300-500 Ukrainians newcomers are arriving via 17 daily commercial flights into Toronto Pearson International Airport.
 - c. The Government of Canada provided one charter flight to Pearson and has indicated that additional charter flights for Ukrainians to Canada are not planned for Ontario at this time.
- 2.4 “Visitor” status under the CUAET means that Ukrainians are not eligible to receive 12 months of income and housing support, which is generally provided to refugees by the federal government to assist with resettlement.
- 2.5 The Government of Canada has made some services and supports available for Ukrainians arriving through the CUAET including:
- a. Federally funded settlement services.
 - b. Access to employers and jobs via the Jobs for Ukraine – Job Bank.
 - c. One-time financial support under a new Canada-Ukraine Transitional Assistance Initiative of \$3,000 per adult (18 years or older) and \$1,500 per child (17 years or younger). An online application to access this funding was launched on June 2, 2022. All applicants will receive the full amount and are required to attest to their eligibility.
 - d. Hotel rooms for up to two weeks upon arrival; however, this has not yet been implemented and details are unknown.

- 2.6 The Province of Ontario has committed to providing Ukrainians arriving through CUAET with access to:
- a. Provincially funded settlement services.
 - b. The Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) and drug benefits.
 - c. Emergency Assistance via Ontario Works, allowing Ukrainian arrivals to access up to 48 days of emergency financial assistance for housing and other basic needs, ranging from \$733 per month for a single person to \$2,150 per month for a six-member household. This is renewable based on individual household need for up to six months.
 - d. No-cost education for elementary and secondary students.
 - e. Trauma-informed counselling and culturally responsive supports.
- 2.7 Under provincial legislation, Ukrainian arrivals are not eligible for longer-term housing supports. Ukrainian arrivals are ineligible for social housing options and provincially funded rent supplement programs or portable housing benefits. Provincial legislation states that only Canadian citizens, permanent residents or individuals with an existing claim for refugee protection are eligible for longer-term housing supports.
- 2.8 Housing support for Ukrainian arrivals in Durham is largely limited to informal, unregulated volunteer home hosting, Regional emergency social services temporary accommodations, and/or shelter programs.
- 2.9 Access to affordable housing is a significant barrier for many newcomers arriving in Durham, including Ukrainian arrivals. Newcomers arriving to Durham, many from the trauma of war and conflict, are at risk to becoming precariously housed or homeless. Should the Region not respond appropriately to this emergent need, existing local services and supports (i.e., the shelter system), which are already strained, could be impacted.
- 2.10 While the exact number of arrivals to the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) and to Durham Region specifically are unknown, it is anticipated that an increasing number of Ukrainian immigrants will arrive with little to no funds and connections in Canada. The Region relies on informal networks of community partners for information about arrivals. To date, about 50 individuals have arrived in Durham from Ukraine; with some having relocated to other regions.

3. Previous Reports and Decisions

- 3.1 On May 27, [Report #2022-INFO-43](#) was provided on the arrival of Ukrainian immigrants and the local response in Durham, and on the ongoing collaboration of Region of Durham staff, with the GTHA regions convened by the City of Toronto (Toronto).
- 3.2 On March 9, 2022, [a presentation to Regional Committee of the Whole](#) was provided by Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) Division staff on Canada's commitments to welcome Afghan refugees and Ukrainians fleeing war.

4. Region of Durham Response

- 4.1 Regional staff, in collaboration with GTHA regions convened by Toronto, agreed to a collective and coordinated response to provide supports to Ukrainian newcomers.
- GTHA partners include Durham, York, Peel and Halton Regions, Toronto and the City of Hamilton.
 - Referrals to the coordinated temporary accommodation model could come from the Canadian Red Cross, settlement agencies, Ukrainian organizations/local groups, federal and provincial agencies.
- 4.2 A proposal was submitted by Toronto on behalf of GTHA partners to the Government of Canada (and shared with the province), noting the role of municipal governments are critical, yet often overlooked by provincial and federal governments.
- 4.3 The federal government has acknowledged the proposal but has not indicated if they will fund it. Without committed federal and/or provincial funding, this will result in an unfunded pressure on Regional budget. Staff will continue joint advocacy efforts with GTHA partners to pursue full recovery from federal and/or provincial levels of government for the costs associated with the Region's Ukrainian humanitarian response.
- 4.4 As part of this GTHA coordinated response, a Regional cross-departmental team—led by the DEI Division, in co-operation with Social Services and Durham Emergency Management—has been planning an emergency response to an expected influx of Ukrainian arrivals. This team is:
- Working to secure temporary accommodations (hotels and/or college/university residences) for newcomers and exploring other potential housing benefits.
 - Exploring an agreement with Community Development Council of Durham (CDCD), a local settlement agency, to coordinate temporary accommodations, basic needs and wrap around supports.
- 4.5 Beginning May 13, 2022, in response to the emerging local need, the Region in partnership with Durham College, began offering emergency temporary accommodations within the student residence to Ukrainian newcomers arriving in Durham without housing. The Emergency Social Services staff response as it is currently being implemented is not sustainable.
- a. Residents must depart from Durham College by mid-August.
 - b. To date, referrals to this model have come from the Durham Ukrainian Relief Project, a community volunteer-based group co-led by the Durham Chapter of the Ukrainian Canadian Congress (UCC).
 - c. CDCD settlement program is present one day per week to assist residents with resettlement needs. At this time, these services are provided in-kind, for the number of residents at this site and a limited period of time.

- 4.6 DEI staff continue to support the Durham Ukrainian Relief Project and the Durham Chapter of the Ukrainian Canadian Congress in navigating local services and coordinating supports for newcomers to Durham.
- a. This in-kind support includes attendance at weekly meetings, ongoing coordination between meetings and support for their advocacy efforts.
 - b. The DEI Division has purchased PRESTO transit cards through Durham Region Transit for this group to distribute to Ukrainian newcomers in need.
 - c. The DEI Division is coordinating food donations and distribution with Feed the Need in Durham and the Relief Project.
- 4.7 The Region cross-departmental team is liaising with other departments and community partners to draw in additional supports and programs as appropriate.
- 4.8 Regional Chair, John Henry has sent letters to provincial and federal governments about the response for supporting newcomers and other concerns, including housing needs.

5. Financial Implications

- 5.1 The initial estimated cost of providing supports for Ukrainian arrivals in Durham under this plan is \$1,000,000. It is recommended that the associated financing be provided at the discretion of the Commissioner of Finance.

6. Relationship to Strategic Plan

- 6.1 This report aligns with/addresses the following strategic goals and priorities in the Durham Region Strategic Plan:
- a. Goal 2: Community Vitality – To foster an exceptional quality of life with services that contribute to strong neighbourhoods, vibrant and diverse communities, and influence our safety and well-being.
 - b. Goal 4: Social Investment – To ensure a range of programs, services and supports are available and accessible to those in need, so that no individual is left behind.
 - c. Goal 5: Service Excellence – To provide exceptional value to Durham taxpayers through responsive, effective, and fiscally sustainable service delivery.

7. Conclusion

- 7.1 Durham is a destination of choice for many immigrants. Newcomers will continue to arrive in Durham, including Ukrainian immigrants without Canadian connections and sufficient funds, contributing to local emergent need. The Region has a responsibility to ensure appropriate supports are available for immigrants as they settle in Durham communities.

- 7.2 Staff continue to participate on the GTHA partners' table and advocate as a collective whole for funding, direction, policy changes, and overall coordination from the federal and provincial government. Further information will be provided to Regional Council as it becomes available.
- 7.3 This report has been reviewed by the Finance Department and the Commissioner of Finance concurs with the financial recommendation.

For additional information, contact: Allison Hector-Alexander, at 905-668-7711, extension 3893 and Allison.Hector-Alexander@durham.ca.

Prepared by: Sarah Hickman, Policy Advisor, at 905-431-4873 and Sarah.Hickman@durham.ca.

Respectfully submitted,

Original Signed By:

Elaine C. Baxter-Trahair
Chief Administrative Officer