

If this information is required in an accessible format, please contact 1-800-372-1102 ext. 2097.

The Regional Municipality of Durham

MINUTES

REGIONAL COUNCIL

Wednesday, May 25, 2022

The Council of The Regional Municipality of Durham met in the Council Chambers, Regional Headquarters Building, 605 Rossland Road East, Whitby, Ontario at 9:30 AM. Electronic participation was offered for this meeting.

Regional Chair Henry assumed the Chair.

1. Traditional Territory Acknowledgment

Regional Chair Henry read the following land acknowledgement:

We are currently located on land which has long served as a site of meeting and exchange among the Mississaugas Peoples and is the traditional and treaty territory of the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation. We honour, recognize and respect this nation and Indigenous Peoples as the traditional stewards of the lands and waters on which we meet today.

Regional Chair Henry advised that over the weekend there was an unprecedented weather event in the Region and stated that as we are continuing to navigate through the devastating effects, our thoughts are with all of those impacted. Chair Henry extended a sincere thank you to everyone involved in the Region's recovery efforts and noted that they have gone above and beyond the line of duty to ensure our communities are safe. Chair Henry advised that the Region is working closely with the area municipalities to continue recovery efforts and is doing everything possible to assist impacted residents during this challenging time.

2. Roll Call

A roll call was taken by the Regional Clerk and the following members were present:

Councillor Anderson, attended the meeting at 9:49 AM
Councillor Ashe
Councillor Barton, was absent on municipal business
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Foster, left the meeting at 8:24 PM
Councillor Grant

Councillor Highet
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Lee
Councillor Marimpietri*
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Newman*
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy, attended the meeting at 10:09 AM
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

*** indicates members who participated in-person, all other members participated electronically**

All members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton and Roy.

Councillor Brenner attended on behalf of Councillor McLean as the alternate for the City of Pickering.

Councillor Newman attended on behalf on Councillor Mitchell as the alternate for the Town of Whitby.

3. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest made

4. Adoption of Minutes

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Ryan,
(85) That the minutes of the following meetings be adopted:

- Regular Regional Council meeting held on April 27, 2022;
- Regular Committee of the Whole meeting held on May 11, 2022.

CARRIED

5. Presentations

5.1 Jamie Austin, Deputy General Manager, Business Services and Christopher Norris, Deputy General Manager, Operations, re: Durham Region Transit (DRT) 2021 Safe Driver Awards

Jamie Austin, Deputy General Manager, Business Services, appeared with respect to the Durham Region Transit (DRT) 2021 Safe Driver Awards. J. Austin

advised that since 2015, DRT has been recognizing their professional operators for their achievements in safely operating public transit vehicles throughout the Region. J. Austin noted that today's award recipients have collectively driven over 340 years without a preventable collision and congratulated them on their accomplishment. Award recipients included:

- 5 years
 - Philmour Clarke
 - Dean Grant
 - James Howard
 - Chris Johnson
 - Marco Latin
 - Tarah-Lee MacKay
 - Glenn Mitchell
 - Majid Pazoklan
 - Mike Rethoret
 - Lou Spada
 - Paul Tait
 - Laura Tillaart
- 10 years
 - Charlyn Archer
 - Rhonda Clarry
 - Peter Kailasapillai
 - Tyrone King
 - Mike Madani
 - Glenn Miller
 - Tom Roberts
 - Jennifer Schneider
 - Dawn Sutton
 - Kyle Tate
 - Alfredo Trono
- 15 Years
 - Jamie Brown
 - Adam Fraser
 - Maher Musa
 - Harry Nokes
 - Rob Rolland
 - Brian Ruddy
- 20 Years
 - Jim Mochan
- 30 Years
 - Tim Bradley
 - Michael Mingay

Tim Bradley, recipient of a 30-year Safe Driver Award, attended the meeting in-person and participated in a photo opportunity with Regional Chair Henry and CAO Elaine Baxter-Trahair. Chair Henry congratulated Tim Bradley on his achievement.

5.2 Stella Danos-Papaconstantinou, Commissioner of Social Services and Jenni Demanuele, Acting Commissioner of Works re: Updated Construction Cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project (2022-COW-11)

Stella Danos-Papaconstantinou, Commissioner of Social Services and Jenni Demanuele, Acting Commissioner of Works appeared with respect to an updated construction cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project. Highlights of their presentation included:

- North Durham Community Profile
- Social Services in North Durham
- Why Supportive Housing
- Beaverton Supportive Housing Project
- What are we building?
 - Exterior Approach
 - Hub Building
 - South Court
 - Aerial Rendering
 - Unit Plan
 - Hub Building
- Modular Construction
- Original Cost Estimates for 2020 Construction
- Statistics Canada Construction Inflation
- Updated Cost Estimate – April 2022
- Comparators
- Next Steps

J. Demanuele and E. Baxter-Trahair responded to questions from the members of Council.

5.3 Brian Bridgeman, Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development and Gary Muller, Director of Planning, re: Memorandum regarding Commissioner's Report #2022-P-11 and Staff's Response to Recommendations from Planning and Economic Development Committee

E. Baxter-Trahair provided introductory remarks and noted that the BILD Scenario (Scenario 2a) cannot be compared to the other scenarios recommended in Report #2022-P-11 as it does not contain the same inputs and will not allow the Region to meet its priorities in the coming decade.

Brian Bridgeman, Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development and Gary Muller, Director of Planning appeared with respect to the memorandum regarding Commissioner's Report #2022-P-11 and staff's response to the recommendations from the Planning and Economic Development Committee. Highlights of their presentation included:

- Scenario 2a ("BILD Scenario")

- Regional Team Concerns
- Employment Area Conversions Not Reflected
- Approach to High Density Units
- Durham's Population is Aging
- Market Demand
- Placing the BILD Scenario Inputs into the Region's Land Needs Assessment Model
- Climate Change and Sustainability
- Infrastructure and Financing Considerations
- Strategic Plan
- Recommendations
- Urban Area Land Need Compared

G. Muller and B. Bridgeman responded to questions from the members of Council.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Smith,
(86) That Council recess for 15 minutes.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 11:01 AM and reconvened at 11:16 AM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson and Barton.

G. Muller and B. Bridgeman responded to additional questions from members of Council.

Moved by Councillor Collier, Seconded by Councillor Marimpietri,
(87) That the following delegations that did not first appear before the Planning & Economic Development Committee on this matter be heard by Council:
6.1, 6.2, 6.4, 6.13, 6.14, 6.16 and 6.17.

CARRIED on 2/3rds VOTE

Moved by Councillor Foster, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(88) That Council recess for one hour for lunch.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 12:49 PM and reconvened at 1:51 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton, Crawford, Wotten and Yamada.

6. Delegations

6.1 Zac Cohoon, Chair, Durham Agricultural Advisory Committee, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Zac Cohoon, Chair, Durham Agricultural Advisory Committee (DAAC), participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

Z. Cohoon appeared as a representative of the DAAC and stated that agriculture is a significant economic driver in the Region and added that Durham is a leading food producer and represents 37% of the Greater Toronto Area's (GTAs) total farm production.

Z. Cohoon stated that more than one family farm is lost each day in Ontario which is not sustainable; there is no need for urban boundary expansions; and more medium and high density affordable housing options are required.

Z. Cohoon stated that with the population expected to double, it is vital to preserve agricultural land, and residents need access to local food.

Z. Cohoon urged Council to heed the advice of DAAC, Durham Farm Fresh and the Durham Region Federation of Agriculture and stand up for the agricultural industry and added that agriculture should be at the forefront of the plan we take forward for climate change mitigation, and farmers practice the most efficient land uses. Z. Cohoon stated that Canada has limited space for agricultural production compared to the size of the country and the agricultural community does a great job of utilizing that space.

Z. Cohoon concluded that agriculture needs to be preserved at all costs.

6.2 Daniel Hoornweg, Chair, Durham Region Roundtable on Climate Change Committee, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Daniel Hoornweg, Chair, Durham Region Roundtable on Climate Change (DRRCC), participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

D. Hoornweg advised that at the last DRRCC meeting, Scenario 5 was endorsed and reminded Council that the DRRCC is an advisory group which reports to Council, and which gauges the Region's impact to and from climate change. The Committee has won awards from the Federal and Provincial governments and staff commissioned a report on climate adaptation.

D. Hoornweg questioned whether staff contemplated DRRCC's recommendation to adopt Scenario 5, since they recommended Scenario 4; and expressed surprise that the BILD Scenario 2a was introduced. D. Hoornweg stated that Scenario 2a will significantly increase climate impact and the Region will not be able to meet its climate plans; that support for Scenario 2a causes a loss of

credibility, given the Region's endorsement of the climate emergency resolution; and added that Regional Advisory Committees may question their validity. D. Hoornweg stated that the recommendation put forth circumvented the DRRCC who acted in good faith to analyze the publicly vetted scenarios.

D. Hoornweg stated that the BILD scenario should not be selected.

D. Hoornweg stated that Canada is the most wasteful carbon intensive country in the world and Durham Region showed initiative when Council adopted the emergency climate declaration.

D. Hoornweg responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.3 Despina Melohe, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

The delegation from Despina Melohe was heard later in the meeting. [See Item 6.3 on pages 18 to 19]

6.4 Bart Hawkins Kreps, Clarington resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Bart Hawkins Kreps, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

B. Hawkins thanked Durham Region for commissioning the Envision Durham study; staff for outlining why sprawl would make it impossible to meet climate targets, provide public transit, and would hike infrastructure maintenance costs; committee members who opposed Scenario 2a; and Council members who voted for a future of minimal or no urban boundary expansion.

B. Hawkins advised of writing to Council members, leaving voice messages, and speaking to some personally asking to stop sprawl, and was encouraged to learn that other citizens are focusing on this issue, there is rapidly growing awareness that population growth does not require endless sprawl, and that this has become an election issue. B. Hawkins further added that as a senior, it is encouraging to hear the voices of younger people who are knowledgeable, articulate and politically savvy, and added that they are the constituents of the future who will thank Council for taking a stand to stop sprawl.

6.5 Vittorio Perroni, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Vittorio Perroni withdrew their request to speak.

6.6 Mike Borie, Pickering resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Mike Borie, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Borie requested that Council vote for no new land for housing and employment lands and reminded Council of the emergency climate declaration that was made. M. Borie asked Council to maximize the growth areas we already have and to hold the urban boundary where it is today for at least 10 years, and advised that the request is based on the following realities:

- There is tremendous uncertainty about the build-out of the Seaton DGA and the pace to date has been slow.
- There are more questions than answers about wastewater servicing costs and capacity at the Duffins Creek Water Pollution Control Plant, which Phase 2 of Seaton will depend on.
- That downstream Ajax is vulnerable to flooding if upstream Carruthers Headwaters is urbanized, and the cost to create manmade stormwater controls will be exorbitant.
- That affordable housing is most achievable if built on existing infrastructure networks.
- That for Durham to have a chance at complete communities we have to invite future neighbours into mature areas with very low densities.
- That agriculture contributes to our economy through goods and ecosystem services and prime agricultural areas are not lands-in-waiting for development.
- That natural assets are sequestering carbon for free, and we need more of them in service to the climate crisis, not less.

M. Borie questioned why take the risk on so much new land and why give 30 years of control and regional power away today when it's not absolutely necessary. M. Borie also questioned whether consuming these vast natural areas will have zero impact on our ability to mitigate climate change, will increase housing affordability, or will have a measurable impact on our food security.

M. Borie stated that there is plenty of land already designated for growth for the next ten years and more, and evidence suggests that an urban boundary expansion isn't needed before 2051, Council can safely make that decision knowing it can be corrected at the next Municipal Comprehensive Review if more accurate information becomes available. However, if Council unnecessarily expands the urban boundary and subsequent information makes it clear that Council shouldn't have done so, Council can't take the land back. M. Borie further stated that the consequence is unnecessary irreversible sprawl for present and future taxpayers to pay for.

6.7 Helen Brenner, Pickering resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Helen Brenner, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

H. Brenner stated that there has never been a time when land use decisions have been as critical as today. She also stated that on April 4th, the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change warned that government inaction on Greenhouse Gas

targets around the globe means that unless we act now the world is on a fast track to disaster and scientists are declaring it's now or never to limit planetary warming to 1.5 degrees.

H. Brenner further stated that today municipalities across the Greater Golden Horseshoe are making critical decisions that could lock in 156,000 acres for development over the next 30 years. She also stated that in Durham, the fate of about 17,000 acres sits in the hands of this Regional Government and watersheds, prime agricultural lands, and natural assets are at risk. She stated that we are at a critical fork in the road, and that the time for business-as-usual decisions is over.

H. Brenner further advised that the Region has received over 300 letters from concerned residents asking it to reject sprawl and that the community of voices is asking the Region to adopt a Land Needs Scenario that embraces sustainable development goals and smart planning principles that allows the Region to welcome the next 30 years' worth of new citizens within our existing urban boundaries.

H. Brenner stated that this investment in current neighbourhoods and designated growth area will efficiently use the lands we already have available and that by growing inwards first and planning for gentle density within the built-up area and maximizing density of designated growth areas, we can accelerate housing affordability with a diverse blend of smaller yet attractive missing middle housing forms. H. Brenner further stated that taking this approach will result in excess community area land within the existing designated greenfield that could be reallocated to the employment area land, and added it will be important to significantly increase the employment area land densities by requiring land efficient building forms and planning for more job dense types of employment. H. Brenner added that through gentle intensification we can transition to complete communities.

H. Brenner requested that Durham Region provide all decision makers and citizens with both the financial cost and the financial cost of climate change mitigation, associated with each of the seven land needs scenarios before any decisions are made to expand beyond existing urban boundaries. H. Brenner concluded by referencing the Durham Region Climate Change Emergency Declaration and stating that we must all acknowledge that there is now no margin for error in the land use decisions we make today.

6.8 Kathleen Ffolliott, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Kathleen Ffolliott, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

K. Ffolliott requested that Council reject the Scenario 2a motion approved at the Planning and Economic Development Committee meeting and endorse Scenario 5 and a zero boundary expansion in both community and employment land as

part of the Municipal Comprehensive Review. K. Ffolliott stated that we are in a climate crisis and that planning for sprawl by expanding urban boundaries goes against the Region's sustainability and climate commitments.

K. Ffolliott stated that the Region has land available for development within our urban boundaries that should be used to grow up gently and accommodate any new growth in a way that would generate new local businesses, encourage people to walk to the services they need, generate more affordable housing options, and prevent tax increases.

K. Ffolliott further stated that the land outside of the urban boundaries plays a vital role and should not be paved over and the Region should not be giving up Class 1 farmland to low-density housing or to businesses with huge footprints and few actual jobs; that land outside our urban boundaries has a vital role to feed us, prevent flooding, sequester carbon, clean our air and water, and more; and that Scenario 5 would help the Region reduce GHG emissions.

K. Ffolliott stated that urban sprawl is costly and that fiscal management requires the Region to consider the costs of sprawling outside our urban boundaries and added that other communities have found calculating the costs of sprawl versus infill intensification very revealing, Council and taxpayers deserve to know the true cost of sprawl before committing to any expansion of urban boundaries.

K. Ffolliott stated that there is no need to assume that the only options are sprawl or tall and added that the Region can accommodate new growth within existing urban boundaries, and through gentle intensification, can establish mixed-use neighbourhoods and create livable, complete communities.

K. Ffolliott concluded by urging Council to endorse Scenario 5 and stated that the Region has an opportunity to prioritize building complete communities by establishing a minimum target of 80 people and jobs per hectare so walkable, bikeable, transit-oriented communities are possible.

K. Ffolliott responded to questions from members of Council.

6.9 Matthew Cory, Malone Given Parsons, on behalf of BILD (Durham Chapter), re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Matthew Cory appeared on behalf of BILD Durham Chapter, regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Cory clarified that Scenario 2a which staff is assessing, is not a BILD scenario, it is one of many scenarios the Region is considering and that BILD has asked staff to consider and support.

M. Cory stated that the staff memorandum included in the Addendum does not state that Scenario 2a would not conform to the growth plan, and believes it would comply and BILD has provided a number of technical analyses to demonstrate that it would.

M. Cory stated that BILD has been providing technical analysis and input to staff for more than a year and is only now seeing responses via the staff memorandum included in the Addendum. M. Cory added that the additional work provided by BILD addresses many of the comments and concerns in the staff memo.

M. Cory stated that BILD does not believe that the Land Needs Assessment from the consulting team conforms to provincial land needs assessment methodologies in significant areas and contains errors. M. Cory further stated that the recommended scenarios skew land needs and significantly understate the amount of land required and added that those assumptions need to be corrected in all scenarios.

M. Cory stated that staffs' recommendations disregard the adopted positions of most of the southern municipalities who supported either Scenario 2, a modified Scenario 2 or Scenario 3.

M. Cory also stated that the Region needs to decide whether to provide sufficient housing choices for residents for the next 30 years and added that their revised scenario accommodates growth of 575,000 people in 30 years; is not sprawl; protects areas for agriculture and natural heritage; and that the vast majority of the new population would be directed to existing areas. M. Cory stated that the staff recommended scenarios 3, 4 and 5 shows apartments in a quantity of more than what will be required and provide no opportunity for low and medium density housing without a municipal comprehensive review, and that the scenarios are overplanning for apartments and under-supplying the low and medium density types required. M. Cory stated that not accommodating people in the types of housing they want would force them further afield, contrary to the Growth Plan. M. Cory stated the Region needs mixed use, transit supported communities.

M. Cory stated that removing choice from residents to provide the housing they need and want is a huge risk that could be detrimental to the Region and requested that Council support Pickering, Whitby, Oshawa and Clarington's positions.

M. Cory responded to questions from members of Council.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(89) That Council recess for 15 minutes.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 3:50 PM and reconvened at 4:05 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton, and Wotten.

6.10 Alyssa Scanga, on behalf of Climate Justice Durham, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Alyssa Scanga, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

A. Scanga asked that Council support the motion from Councillors Collier and Dies to support no community area expansion in Durham and advised that in January 2020, Durham Region declared a climate emergency and acknowledged that climate change is the biggest existential threat of our time and promised to act accordingly. A. Scanga added that the Land Needs Assessment shows that population density can be supported within the existing urban boundaries and that low density planning increases sprawl and impacts agricultural lands and the environment.

A. Scanga stated that there are other forms of medium and high density housing other than apartment towers and added that shifting towards medium and high density housing provides more balanced housing options which increase housing affordability and produces walkable and transit friendly communities. A. Scanga stated that Scenario 5 provides a balance.

A. Scanga stated that at the Planning and Economic Development Committee meeting the majority of public input supported Scenarios 4 and 5 yet Councillors seem to be working under the assumption that public opinion is not necessarily the public interest and stated that reducing sprawl is in the public's best interest and that is what the constituents are telling Council.

A. Scanga noted the derecho on the weekend that caused unprecedented damage in Durham Region illustrated the importance of mitigating climate change and added that these disasters will get more frequent and intense, and urban sprawl increases these risks.

A. Scanga concluded by stating that this is a crisis, and we have a responsibility to be brave and take bold strides to fight for a liveable future for Durham Region and that means endorsing a zero urban boundary expansion.

6.11 Phil Pothen, Ontario Environment Program Manager, Environmental Defence, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Phil Pothen, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

P. Pothen outlined his credentials and stated that Environmental Defence is calling on Council to reject the Northeast Pickering Land Owners Group Community Land Needs Scenario 2a, and to reject any boundary expansion beyond that recommended by staff. P. Pothen stated that Environmental Defence has long recognized the Carruthers Creek headwaters, the area that Scenario 2a is condemning to destruction, as the most significant priority for the next stage of Greenbelt expansion and permanent protection.

P. Pothen stated that his team has been involved in land needs assessments across the GTA and can speak to how Scenario 2a compares with those adopted or rejected in other areas and added that they are calling on Durham to reject this proposal as it is the most extreme, fiscally reckless, and blatantly unlawful boundary expansion that has been presented to any Regional Council in the GTA area. P. Pothen added that Durham could accommodate the next 30 years of new homes within its existing boundaries and that it is important that Council not authorize any boundary expansions beyond what is recommended by staff.

P. Pothen stated that Scenario 2a is in breach of Provincial law and is non-conforming. P. Pothen noted that Durham is expressly prohibited from adding development lands that will not be used for growth before 2051, and the Region does not need more land than what is proposed under Scenario 4.

P. Pothen stated that the BILD plan fails to meet the demand for more cost affordable housing.

P. Pothen responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.12 Max Lysyk, on behalf of Lysyk Group of Companies, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Max Lysyk, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Lysyk is a professional engineer, with a specialization in municipal engineering, is an Oshawa resident, and his family has a business in the development industry which has been primarily focused on intensification and infill development in the City of Oshawa and Town of Whitby.

M. Lysyk requested that Council support the modified Scenario 2 as presented by BILD and spoke to some of the challenges they have experienced with intensification, including meeting the Growth Plan target of 50%; securing land; municipal infrastructure capacity; and ownership.

M. Lysyk outlined reasons why the BILD modified Scenario 2 is best, including that most land located on the outskirts of the existing urban boundary are ready for development and ready for a partnership between landowners and municipalities and stated that infrastructure within the existing urban boundary is already planned for future growth.

M. Lysyk concluded by urging Council to endorse the recommendation made by the Planning and Economic Development Committee on May 3rd for a modified Scenario 2 in order to ensure that the Region can meet the Growth Plan targets set out to 2051.

M. Lysyk responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.13 Rob Alexander, Chair Durham Farm Fresh Marketing Association and Farm Manager, Natures Bounty Farm, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Rob Alexander, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

R. Alexander is an apple grower in Durham Region and was speaking on behalf of 65 member businesses that make up the Durham Farm Fresh Marketing Association and stated that with the adoption of Scenario 2a, the future of agriculture will suffer another blow. In Canada, 0.5% of agricultural land is considered Class 1, and half of that is located in southern Ontario. This land is being consumed at an unsustainable rate and roughly the geographic size of Durham Region has been taken out of production in the last 5 years alone. R. Alexander stated that this cannot continue and there is a moral obligation to preserve this finite resource as we can not continue to gamble with food sovereignty.

R. Alexander stated that Envision Durham has been an exercise involving staff, experts, and public consultation, including advisory committees, whose advice has been dismissed.

R. Alexander questioned where the plans are to save the agricultural industry and added that would-be farmers cannot afford farms and by freezing urban boundaries land prices will stabilize for future farmers.

R. Alexander stated that all families need access to affordable food, and complete dense communities will provide shared areas. A. Alexander added that Council needs to respect staff and the consultation process and pursue Scenarios 4 or 5.

R. Alexander urged Council to adopt scenario 5 with no urban boundary expansions and stated that decisions made now will live on, and that once farmland is gone, it is gone for good.

R. Alexander responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.14 Leigh Paulseth, Ajax resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Leigh Paulseth, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

L. Paulseth advised that she is trained as a conservation biologist and that in her notes she shared relevant studies and resources including:

- Watershed Planning
- Duffins Creek report card, 2018, TRCA
- Watershed Report Cards, 2018, CLOCA
- Carruthers Creek Watershed Plan, 2021, TRCA

- Durham Region Weekly Beach Report, 2021, Durham Health
- (2013) How Much Habitat is Enough? Government of Canada

L. Paulseth stated that most of the largest watersheds (Carruthers, Lynde Creek and Oshawa) have had declining surface water quality, contributing to poor beach health. Although Duffins Watershed surface water quality has remained the same chloride levels are rising due to road salt, which will impact stream health, residents and the environment. L. Paulseth stated that we need to protect the wetlands in Durham Region.

L. Paulseth stated that for long-term health and sustainability of a watershed there needs to be 25% wetland cover and 50% forest cover and added that none of the major watersheds within Durham have sufficient wetland or forest cover for long-term viability.

L. Paulseth stated that in Southern Ontario naturally occurring wetlands reduce flood damage costs to rural buildings by \$3.5 million or by \$51.1 million for urban buildings.

L. Paulseth noted further studies including:

- (2017) Putting a Value on the Ecosystem Services Provided by Forests in Canada
- Studies on Natural Capital and Conservation. TD Bank Group and Nature Conservancy of Canada

L. Paulseth stated that near-urban natural infrastructure provides millions of dollars of ecosystem services to Durham Region every year for free and asked that Council adopt a hard zero urban boundary expansion for community and employment lands for Durham.

6.15 Peter Cohen, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Peter Cohen appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

P. Cohen is a member of the DRRCC and was caught off guard when the Planning and Economic Development Committee ignored the advice of the DRRCC and staff and choose a scenario that favours industry over constituents and staff.

P. Cohen advised that in January 2020 Durham Region declared a climate emergency and stated that this declaration recognized that climate action was within the Region's best interest. P. Cohen also stated that in declaring a climate emergency, the Region promised to act on the climate crisis with the type of decisive action that an emergency demands.

P. Cohen referenced climate change related events such as the sinking that is happening in Jakarta and noted that 63 million people have already been

displaced from their homes because of climate change. P. Cohen stated that Jakarta is the canary in the coal mine and we need to act before that becomes a real situation in Canada. Climate change cannot be secondary to private interests and needs to be important enough for Council to protect citizens and questioned how Council has proven that people are their priority.

P. Cohen stated that their generation is disillusioned with politics and is struggling to show that they still have a voice, and the way the Planning and Economic Development Committee voted on something that the public had no chance to comment on does not show this. P. Cohen added that the Region has built credibility over the years but will throw that away if Council supports Scenario 2a. P. Cohen asked Council to keep their promises and support Scenario 5.

P. Cohen responded to questions from the members of Council.

6.16 Lyn Adamson, Toronto resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Lyn Adamson, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

L. Adamson referenced growing up in Pickering in the 1950s and 1960s and remembering creeks and natural areas and expressed concern regarding the development of the Region and the impact it will have on those who are young now.

L. Adamson stated that it is important to underline that Council's decisions are for the next generation and for all of Ontario and that communities across the province need to make collective decisions.

L. Adamson stated that rising temperatures threaten extreme weather and our food supply and that this is not just about the housing supply. L. Adamson further stated that the world is changing, and we need to think about those who will be living in Durham Region going forward. We need to protect natural areas and wetlands, prevent flood risks, and preserve our ability to feed communities. L. Adamson added that compact communities can be very healthy for those living in them, and can provide opportunities to work, shop, live, play, have green space, bike, and use transit. Compact communities also make services like sewers less expensive.

L. Adamson urged Council to be climate leaders and maximize growth areas that are already designated and hold urban boundaries for at least 10 years.

6.17 Madeline Myers, Oshawa resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Madeline Myers, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

M. Myers stated support of Scenario 5, which is the only scenario that prepares for climate change, achieves sustainable development and protects agricultural and rural systems. M. Myers added that Scenario 4 partially fulfills these principles, and every other Scenario does not. M. Myers stated that in the past there were concerns raised with respect to where the people pushing for Scenarios 4 and 5 live and stated that most are from Durham Region and want sustainable futures for themselves and future generations.

M. Myers stated that public opinion has been dismissed, and that the BILD Scenario bypasses public consultation and ignores the vast majority of public input.

M. Myers stated that with respect to climate change we do not know where the tipping point is, but there are estimates based on data. Responding to climate change is a matter of reducing emissions as much as possible and compared to Scenario 4, the BILD Scenario will generate more than 1 million additional tonnes of greenhouse gas emissions every year. The Region will not be able to meet its stated net zero emissions targets under the BILD Scenario.

M. Myers urged Council to vote for Scenario 5 to keep our planet and community safe.

6.3 Despina Melohe, Whitby resident, re: Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

Despina Melohe, participating electronically, appeared regarding Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development.

D. Melohe stated that last Saturday's derecho was a wake-up call and questioned whether, in addition to the psychological and social costs of this event, the costs in lost revenue and infrastructure costs to repair the damage has been calculated. D. Melohe also questioned if the amount of lost tree canopy has been calculated and how this will decrease the ability to sequester carbon.

D. Melohe stated that we need to heed the wake-up call and realize storm season is just beginning and we cannot let this become our new normal.

D. Melohe stated that Canada has no carbon budget left to limit global warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius which we promised to do in the Paris accord and to use an aviation analogy, Canada has no more runway to land its plane on the 1.5 degree goal and it would take a sharp, almost vertical drop to land on the 1.8 degrees Celsius goal.

D. Melohe stated that the current car-centric model of land use was not sustainable after WWII and it's no more sustainable in 2022. In the Greater Golden Horseshoe, as stewards of 40% of all the class 1 farmland in Canada, we cannot afford to squander even one hectare.

D. Melohe advised that as the DRRCC has said: Land use planning policy is the most cost-effective action that a municipality can take to enable the reduction of GHG emissions without requiring a direct investment by a municipality.

D. Melohe stated that we need courage and leadership from our decision-makers as this vote will impact the future of everyone in the Region. We know that complete communities that are walkable increase prosperity, boost local economies and increase investment and property values.

D. Melohe added that creating complete communities does not automatically equal high rise towers. There are many missing middle forms of housing which will retain the character of our neighbourhoods. Halton and Hamilton are more populous regions and they voted not to expand their urban boundary. There is great work being done around the world to create sustainable cities.

D. Melohe urged Council to endorse a zero urban boundary expansion and added that two years ago, Durham declared a climate emergency and now is the time take action and declare war on climate change, and the first step in that fight is to vote for a modified Scenario 5.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Drew,
(90) That the order of the Agenda be altered to consider Council Correspondence Items CC 10 to CC 104 at this time and that they be referred to the consideration of Item 1 of the 5th Report of the Planning and Economic Development Committee.

CARRIED

Moved by Councillor Joe Neal, Seconded by Councillor Collier,
(91) That Council recess for 10 minutes.

CARRIED

Council recessed at 5:16 PM and reconvened at 5:30 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton, Crawford, Drew, John Neal and Wotten.

7. Reports related to Delegations/Presentations

7.1 Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11)

[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]

A) That a Community Area Land Need Scenario 2a with the greatest percentage of new residential growth through medium density units be prepared by staff as the basis for Phase 2 of the Growth Management Study and is endorsed as follows:

- i) an intensification rate of 50%;

- ii) an overall designated Greenfield Area density target of 57 people and jobs per hectare by 2051;
 - iii) a unit mix generally consisting of 33% low density units, 38% medium density units, and 29% high density units;
 - iv) an additional Community Area urban land need generally consistent with the requirements of Scenario 2;;
- B) That Employment Area Land Need Scenario 2 be endorsed, as follows:
 - i) a vacant Employment Area density target of 27 jobs per hectare;
 - ii) an employment intensification rate of 20%;
 - iii) an additional Employment Area urban land need of **1,171 hectares (2,894 acres)**;
- C) That future Regional Official Plan policies for the required settlement area boundary expansion area address sustainability practices to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, energy and water consumption, and waste generation through measures including:
 - i) the phasing of new growth in any settlement area boundary expansion area be undertaken in an orderly and sequential manner;
 - ii) the establishment of multi-modal transportation opportunities, and active transportation facilities to encourage healthy and active living, and smart transportation technologies;
 - iii) implementation of measures to ensure communities are resilient to our changing climate through infrastructure, building, housing unit and community design and construction practices;
 - iv) the use of low-carbon and smart energy systems and technologies at the district scale or building-scale in these new areas;
 - v) protection and enhancement of the Regional Natural Heritage System; and
 - vi) providing strong connections between employment areas and community areas to contribute to economic sustainability;
- D) That staff be directed to proceed to Phase 2 of the Growth Management Study to identify, assess and consult on candidate locations for settlement area boundary expansion and report back following the completion of the consultation process; and

- E) That a copy of Report #2022-P-11 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be forwarded to Durham's area municipalities, Indigenous communities, conservation authorities, the Building Industry and Land Development Association (BILD), Durham Region Homebuilders Association, agencies and service providers that may have an interest in where and how long term growth in the region is being planned for (school boards, hospitals, utility providers, as specified in Appendix 2), the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Envision Durham Interested Parties List, and any persons that have made a submission for a settlement area boundary expansion request.

Moved by Councillor Ryan, Seconded by Councillor Marimpietri,

- (92) That the recommendations contained in Item #1 of Report #5 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee be adopted.

CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE

LATER IN THE MEETING

(See Following Motions)

Moved by Councillor Joe Neal, Seconded by Councillor John Neal,

- (93) That the Planning and Economic Development Committee's recommendations contained in Item #1 of their report dated May 3, 2022, be amended by deleting Part A) in its entirety and replacing it with the following new Part A):

- "A) That Community Area Land Need Scenario 3 be endorsed, as follows:

- i) An intensification rate of 50%;
- ii) An overall Designated Greenfield Area density target of 57 people and jobs per hectare by 2051;
- iii) A unit mix consisting of 34% low density units, 30% medium density units, 33% high density units, and 3% secondary units;
- iv) An additional Community Area urban land need of 1,500 hectares (3,707 acres)."

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Lee
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal

No

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew

Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Highet
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Collier, Seconded by Councillor Brenner,
(94) That the Planning and Economic Development Committee's recommendations contained in Item #1 of their report dated May 3, 2022, be amended by deleting Part A) in its entirety and replacing it with the following new Part A) in support of staff's recommendation in report 2022-P-11 to endorse Community Area Land Need Scenario 4:

- "A) That Community Area Land Need Scenario 4 be endorsed, as follows:
- i) An intensification rate of 50%;
 - ii) An overall Designated Greenfield Area density target of 60 people and jobs per hectare by 2051;
 - iii) A unit mix consisting of 28% low density units, 28% medium density units, 41% high density units, and 3% secondary units;
 - iv) An additional Community Area urban land need of 950 hectares (2,348 acres)."

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE:

Yes
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew

No
Councillor Ashe
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant

Councillor Highet
Councillor Lee
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten

Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Newman
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Chapman, Seconded by Councillor Ashe
(95) That the question be now put.

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE
(A 2/3rds VOTE WAS NOT ATTAINED):

Yes
Councillor Ashe
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Lee
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

No
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Collier
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Highet
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Joe Neal, Seconded by Councillor John Neal,
(96) That the main motion (92) of Councillors Ryan and Marimpietri to adopt the recommendations contained in Item 1 of the 5th Report of the Planning and Economic Development Committee be referred to staff to confirm that Scenario 2a will comply with the Growth Plan and to report back to the first Council meeting in September.

MOTION DEFEATED ON THE FOLLOWING
RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Highet
Councillor Lee
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor John Neal
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith

No

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Wotten
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

The main motion (92) of Councillors Ryan and Marimpietri to adopt the recommendations contained in Item #1 of Report #5 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee was then put to a vote and CARRIED ON THE FOLLOWING RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Foster
Councillor Grant
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Marimpietri

No

Councillor Brenner
Councillor Collier
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Highet
Councillor Lee
Councillor John Neal

Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith
Councillor Wotten

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton

Declarations of Interest: None

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(97) That Council recess for 15 minutes.
CARRIED

Council recessed at 7:53 PM and reconvened at 8:07 PM.

The Regional Clerk conducted a roll call following the recess and all members of Council were present with the exception of Councillors Anderson, Barton and John Neal.

7.2 Updated Construction Cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the
Beaverton Supportive Housing Project (2022-COW-11)
[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]

- A) That the total project budget for the construction of the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project, in the Township of Brock, be increased from a project budget of \$13,552,990 by \$11,672,010, for a revised project budget of \$25,225,000;
- B) That Year 4 (2022-23) Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative funding allocation in the amount of \$3,343,000 be allocated to the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project, subject to the approval of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
- C) That the total estimated project cost of S\$25,225,000 be financed as follows:

Previously Approved Financing (Project ID: G2016)

Social Housing Reserve Fund \$7,932,090

Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (years 2 and 3) 5,620,900

Total Previously Approved Financing **\$13,552,990**

Additional Financing

Reallocation of Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative time-limited funding to other projects due to delays	\$(5,620,900)
2022-2023 Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative Funding	3,343,000
Social Services Relief Fund – Phase 4	6,336,813
One-time allocation from the 2021 Property Tax Surplus (Capital Impact component)	<u>7,313,097</u>
Total Additional Financing	<u>11,672,010</u>
Total Revised Project Financing	<u>\$25,225,000</u>

Moved by Councillor Ryan, Seconded by Councillor Chapman,
(98) That the recommendations contained in Item 1 of Report #5 of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE
LATER IN THE MEETING

Moved by Councillor Nicholson, Seconded by Councillor Chapman,
(99) That the question be now put.

CARRIED on a 2/3rds VOTE

The main motion (98) of Councillors Ryan and Chapman to adopt the recommendations contained in Item 1 of Report #5 of the Committee of the Whole was then put to a vote and CARRIED ON THE FOLLOWING RECORDED VOTE:

Yes

Councillor Ashe
Councillor Brenner
Councillor Carter
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Crawford
Councillor Dies
Councillor Drew
Councillor Foster
Councillor Highet
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Leahy
Councillor Lee
Councillor Marimpietri
Councillor Mulcahy
Councillor Newman
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Pickles
Councillor Roy
Councillor Ryan
Councillor Wotten

No

Councillor Collier
Councillor Grant
Councillor Joe Neal
Councillor Smith

Councillor Yamada
Regional Chair Henry

Members Absent: Councillor Anderson
Councillor Barton
Councillor John Neal

Declarations of Interest: None

8. Communications

CC 08 Correspondence from the Canadian National Exhibition Association (CNEA), re: 2022/2023 Appointment of a Representative to the CNEA

Moved by Councillor Smith, Seconded by Councillor Marimpietri,
(100) That Councillor Lee be appointed as the Regional Municipality of
Durham's representative to the Canadian National Exhibition Association.
CARRIED

CC 09 Correspondence from the Association of Municipalities Ontario (AMO), re: Call for Nominations: 2022 - 2024 AMO Board of Directors

Moved by Regional Chair Henry, Seconded by Councillor Roy,
(101) That Councillor Mulcahy be nominated for the Director – Regional and
Single Tier Caucus for the AMO Board of Directors for the 2022 – 2024
term.

CARRIED

CC 10 Correspondence from Tom and Jennifer Derlis, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 11 Correspondence from Isabelle Raue, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 12 Correspondence from Gili Adler Nevo, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 13 Correspondence from Roger Davis, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 14 Correspondence from Green Durham Association, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 15 Correspondence from The Ontario Headwaters Institute, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

CC 16 Correspondence from Al Wright, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 17 Correspondence from Vittorio Perroni, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 18 Correspondence from Bonnie Galka, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 19 Correspondence from The Corporation of the Municipality of Clarington, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 20 Correspondence from The City of Pickering, re: Envision Durham, Growth Management Study - Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 21 Correspondence from CM Planning Inc., re: Envision Durham, Growth Management Study - Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 22 Correspondence from Arlene Cannon, re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 23 Correspondence from Michael May, Delta Urban Inc., re: Envision Durham, Alternative Land Need Scenarios

- CC 24 Correspondence from Lynn Child, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 25 Correspondence from Illona Kirby, Ashburn resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 26 Correspondence from Carlyle Jansen, Uxbridge resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 27 Correspondence from Indira Ramlochan, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 28 Correspondence from Jill Adams, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 29 Correspondence from Jacob Trautmann, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need

Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 30 Correspondence from Bev Moroz, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 31 Correspondence from MaryAnn Jansen, Uxbridge resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 32 Correspondence from Michael Hill, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 33 Correspondence from Mary Newman-Jordan, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 34 Correspondence from Marie Thomas, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 35 Correspondence from Barbara Pidcock, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 36 Correspondence from Barbara Karthein, Port Perry resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 37 Correspondence from Peter Forint, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 38 Correspondence from Carol Mee, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 39 Correspondence from Jeannine Simon, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need

Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 40 Correspondence from Andrea Sahi, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4

CC 41 Correspondence from Clarette Escobar, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and requesting Regional Council to stop urbanizing the 2,600 hectares of farmland in the region as this land is crucial for the environment and wildlife and generations to come.

CC 42 Correspondence from Catherine McGill, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop allowing more urban sprawl in the region and to use other alternatives instead of spoiling our arable land.

CC 43 Correspondence from Amanda Widdowson, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) in response to Mayor Collier's statement on farmland and urging that the greenspace and farmland be maintained to avoid the devastating and long-lasting impacts of development over irreplaceable land.

CC 44 Correspondence from Peter Clendinneng, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to reject the proposal of urbanizing the 2,600 hectares of farmland, and to protect and preserve the Region's prime agricultural and rural lands.

CC 45 Correspondence from George Oxenholm, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and objecting as a taxpayer to making more land available for development and requesting Council to reconsider the decision to develop more lands

CC 46 Correspondence from Karen Chen, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop urban sprawl and to use other alternatives instead to accommodate population growth while keeping our greenspaces untouched.

CC 47 Correspondence from Ed Beach, President, Durham Region Federation of Agriculture, and Rob Alexander, Chair, Durham Farm Fresh Marketing Association regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and requesting that Regional Council support Scenario #5 with no further expansion of the Settlement Area Boundary. They state that

“we feel strongly that accepting ‘Scenario 2a’ of the Land Needs Scenario Recommendation is problematic and a complete dismissal of the public consultation process which overwhelmingly supported Scenario 5.” They also state “... as an industry, we are not opposed to development outright... However, if our region is to address the housing affordability crisis, the focus must be on intensification and densification of existing development lands within the current urban boundary.”

- CC 48 Correspondence from Lesley Donaghan-Cameron, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
-
- CC 49 Correspondence from Peter Rebek, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 50 Correspondence from Helen Brenner, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 51 Correspondence from Erin Robinson, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop the urban sprawl and to protect our farmland and natural spaces which are crucial for the mental health and well being of current and future Durham residents.
- CC 52 Correspondence from Tina Saldutto, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 53 Correspondence from Colette Cauli Brown, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 54 Correspondence from James Kelley, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 55 Correspondence from Leslie Burritt, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 56 Correspondence from Meghan Matthies, Port Perry resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 57 Correspondence from Patricia Irving, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 58 Correspondence from Daniel Ramos, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 59 Correspondence from Jim Grundy, Greenwood resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 60 Correspondence from Tia Armstrong, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 61 Correspondence from Brenda McLaughlin, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 62 Correspondence from Elaine Watters, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 63 Correspondence from Diane Spurrell, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 64 Correspondence from Tanya Sagermann, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 65 Correspondence from Clare Thorpe, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

- CC 66 Correspondence from Kathryn Clark, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 67 Correspondence from Marilyn Hubley, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 68 Correspondence from Alannah Kemp, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 69 Correspondence from Erin Byron, Kirkfield resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 70 Correspondence from Lynn Griffin, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 71 Correspondence from Dhruv Pandit, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 72 Correspondence from Ina Mainguy, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 73 Correspondence from Nely Tomaso, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop the urban sprawl and plan properly for the future and not use the farmlands and change the laws to obtain it.
-
- CC 74 Correspondence from Jane Harding, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 75 Correspondence from Mary Coulston, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop urban sprawl

- CC 76 Correspondence from Tracy Adlys, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 77 Correspondence from Michael Harding, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 78 Correspondence from Valerie Bean, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 79 Correspondence from Roger Ward, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to stop the sprawl.
- CC 80 Correspondence from George Raposo, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 81 Correspondence from Ralph Burton, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 82 Correspondence from Rusell Caracciolo, Ajax resident regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and asking the Regional Council not to approve this development.
- CC 83 Correspondence from Michael Crowley, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 84 Correspondence from Rose Sullivan, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 85 Correspondence from Kieran Lynch-Vertolli, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 86 Correspondence from Rosemarie Herrell, Uxbridge resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need

Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 87 Correspondence from Darlene Salib, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 88 Correspondence from Laura Stavro, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 89 Correspondence from Naomi McBride, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 90 Correspondence from Irene Moulton, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.

CC 91 Correspondence from Scott Waterhouse, Urban Planning and Land Development, Planning Manager, Planning consultants for Menkes Ritson Road Inc., regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising Regional Council and staff that Menkes strongly supports the Community Area Land Need Scenario 2A and Employment Area Land Need Scenario 2 as endorsed by Regional Planning Committee. The Greenfield Area densities and unit mix presented in Community Land Need Scenario 2A as recommended by Committee is most consistent with the character of Durham Region while still conforming with the objectives of the Provincial Growth Plan. In this regard, Menkes respectfully requests that at its May 25th meeting Regional Council endorse the decision of Regional Committee and support Community Area Land Need Scenario 2A and Employment Area Land Need Scenario 2.

CC 92 Correspondence from Russel Caraccioio, Ajax resident, regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and asking Regional Council not to approve this development

CC 93 Correspondence from Valerie Hunt on behalf of the Columbus Advisory Committee, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising that residents are not in support of high or medium density in Columbus, and expressing concerns with environmental impacts from future development, including impacts on wildlife, Natural Heritage Systems, and habitats for species at risk.

- CC 94 Correspondence from Tushar Pant, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 95 Correspondence from Cheryl Petherick, Whitby resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 96 Correspondence from S. Doole, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 97 Correspondence from Doug Glass, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and strongly opposing the proposal to expand the amount of land for development in Durham Region
-
- CC 98 Correspondence from Jackie Gillard, Ajax resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising Regional Council that they do not support expanding housing development into sensitive ecological zones; that farmland and the environmental needs of the headwaters are important; and that housing can be done via existing areas planned with increased density
-
- CC 99 Correspondence from Edward Moran, Pickering resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 100 Correspondence from Elizabeth Stocking, President, National Farmers Union Local 345 and Max Hansgen, President, National Farmers Union – Ontario, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for a Community Area Land Need and Employment Land Need scenario that accommodates the next 30 years of Durham Region's new homes and workplaces within the existing Settlement Area Boundary by supporting a modified Community Land Area Scenario 5.
-
- CC 101 Correspondence from Lyn Adamson, Toronto resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and urging Regional Council to vote for Scenario 5 and to reject Scenario 2 or any expansion greater than that set out in Scenario 4.
- CC 102 Correspondence from Claire Malcolmson, Executive Director, Rescue Lake Simcoe Coalition, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising

that they support a vote for scenario 4 or 5 in Durham's Land Needs Assessment and urging Regional Council to plan for sustainability and future generations, not for developer interests.

CC 103 Correspondence from Suzanne Huggins, Durham resident, writing to Regional Council regarding Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment (2022-P-11) and advising that they disagree any developers' proposal to pave over farmland to build single-unit housing as Durham Region must protect farmland to remain self-sustaining and that development in North Pickering could lead to intense flooding in South Ajax.

CC 104 Memorandum dated May 24, 2022 from Brian Bridgeman, Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development to Regional Chair Henry and Members of Regional Council, re: Commissioner's Report #2022-P-11 and Staff's Response to Recommendations from Planning and Economic Development Committee

Correspondence Items CC 10 to CC 104 were considered earlier in the meeting. See motion (90) on page 19.

9. Committee Reports and any related Notice of Motions

9.1 Report of the Finance and Administration Committee

1. Appointment of New Members to the Durham Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC) (2022-A-13)
[CARRIED]
-

That the following people be appointed to the Durham Accessibility Advisory Committee:

Mr. Wayne Henshall – Community member; and

Ms. Lorrie Houston – Agency member.

2. Delegation of Signing Authority to the Regional Chair and Chief Administrative Officer for Execution of Labour Relations/Employee Negotiations during Lame Duck Period (2022-A-14)
[CARRIED]
-

That the Regional Chair and Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to execute ratified negotiation agreements for potentially up to three collective bargaining units during the Lame Duck Period of Council provided that the terms of such agreements do not deviate from existing approved direction.

3. Correspondence from the Town of Georgina, re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on March 2, 2022, in support of Federal funding for a Lake Simcoe Restoration Fund
[CARRIED]
-

That the correspondence from the Town of Georgina, re: Resolution passed at their Council meeting held on March 2, 2022, in support of Federal funding for a Lake Simcoe Restoration Fund, be endorsed.

4. Modernization of the 9-1-1 Agreement with the Region of Durham, User Agencies and the Area Municipalities and Introduction of a Service Agreement between the Region of Durham and the Durham Region Police Services Board (2022-F-12)
-

[CARRIED]

That the legacy 9-1-1 agreement be replaced and a new service agreement be established while maintaining Regional Council's responsibility for the 9-1-1 Emergency System with Durham Regional Police Services to continue to provide the 9-1-1 operations through the following actions:

- A) The draft modernized system agreement be circulated to the Area Municipal CAOs by the Regional CAO for their input and presentation to their Councils for execution as users of the 9-1-1 system through the fire services and the Regional CAO be authorized to negotiate the final language of the agreement, while maintaining the intent as outlined in Report #2022-F-12 of the Commissioner of Finance;
 - B) The Regional CAO be authorized to negotiate with the Durham Regional Police Services (DRPS) CAO to finalize the new service agreement and present to the DRPS Board for execution, while maintaining the intent as outlined in Report #2022-F-12 of the Commissioner of Finance; and
 - C) The Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute the modernized system agreement between the Region, the Durham Regional Police Services Board and the Area Municipalities, and a new service agreement between the Region and the Durham Regional Police Services Board, in a form satisfactory to the Regional Treasurer and Solicitor.
5. Sole Source Purchase for Supplemental Washroom Facilities to Support Daily Operations (2022-F-13)
-

[CARRIED]

That a sole source agreement extension with K. J. Camper's Ltd. (also known as Classy Potties To Go) for portable washroom facilities, extending the term of the contract from January 1, 2022 to August 31, 2022 at a total estimated cost of up to \$175,000, to be funded from the approved 2022 Durham Region Transit Business Plans and Budget, be approved and the Commissioner of Finance be authorized to execute any necessary related agreements.

6. Your Monthly Pass Incentives for the 2022/23 Secondary School Term
(2022-F-14)
[CARRIED]

- A) That an extension of the Y10 Youth Loyalty Pass for the 2022-23 academic year at a monthly cost of \$76.05, providing a savings of \$174.50 for the ten-month school year, be approved;
- B) That the pilot bulk monthly youth pass program available to school boards and their school board transportation consortium within Durham Region, be revised providing a graduated fare discount based on the total number of monthly youth passes collectively purchased by a school board and/or their respective transportation consortium, be extended to the 2022/23 school term (September 2022 through June 2023);
- C) That the graduated discount rate for the pilot bulk monthly youth pass program as shown below, for school boards and their transportation consortium, be approved effective for the 2022/23 academic year;
- | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Less than 126 monthly passes | Youth rate or 20 percent discount on standard fare (\$93.50) |
| 126-250 monthly passes | 25% discount on standard fare (\$87.75) |
| More than 250 monthly passes | 35% discount on standard fare (\$76.05); and |
- D) That further revisions to the Y10 Youth Loyalty Pass and pilot bulk monthly youth pass program be considered during the 2023 Strategic Issues and Financial Forecast and DRT Business Plan and Budget processes.

Moved by Councillor Foster, Seconded by Councillor Collier,
(102) That the recommendations contained in Items 1 to 6 inclusive of Report #5 of the Finance and Administration Committee be adopted.
CARRIED

9.2 **Report of the Planning and Economic Development Committee**

1. Envision Durham – Growth Management Study Land Need Assessment – Staff Recommendation on Land Need Scenarios (2022-P-11)
[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]
-

This matter was considered earlier in the meeting. See Item 7.1 on pages 20 to 25.

2. Durham Environmental Advisory Committee (DEAC) Membership
Appointments (2022-P-12)
[CARRIED]

- A) That Muaz Nasir be appointed as the Town of Ajax's Area Municipal Representative to the Durham Environmental Advisory Committee;
- B) That the above-named citizen volunteer be advised of their appointment to the Durham Environmental Advisory Committee; and
- C) That a copy of Report #2022-P-12 of the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be forwarded to the area municipalities.

Moved by Councillor Ryan, Seconded by Councillor Joe Neal,
(103) That the recommendations contained in Item 2 of Report #5 of the
Planning and Economic Development Committee be adopted.
CARRIED

9.3 Report of the Works Committee

1. Approval to Award a Sole Source Agreement to Continue the Provision of
Bioxide and Associated Chemical Dosing System to Reduce Odour and
Corrosion in the Trunk Sanitary Sewer located in Lord Elgin Park, in the
Town of Ajax (2022-W-25)
[CARRIED]

- A) That staff be authorized to negotiate and award a sole source agreement with Evoqua Water Technologies for the provision of bioxide and the associated chemical dosing system at an upset limit of \$375,000*, from June 1, 2022, for a period not to extend beyond December 31, 2023, to be financed through the annual Sanitary Sewerage Business Plans and Budgets; and
- B) That the Commissioner of Finance or designate be authorized to execute the necessary documents related to this sole source agreement.

2. Agreement with the City of Toronto and the Regional Municipality of Durham
related to the Finch Avenue Watermain (2022-W-26)
[CARRIED]

- A) That the Regional Municipality of Durham enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto for the supply of water from the Regional Municipality of Durham to the City of Toronto from the existing watermain on Finch Avenue and Beare Road in the City of Toronto in a form satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and the Regional Solicitor, with the following key terms:
 - i) The Regional Municipality of Durham's continued provision of the water supply services and the continued direct billing of the

current customers at the current water user rate;

- ii) The City of Toronto shall remain responsible for the cost of any required repair work completed by the Regional Municipality of Durham;
- iii) The Regional Municipality of Durham shall own and maintain the watermain in accordance with legislative requirements under the Safe Drinking Water Act and associated regulations, the Regional Municipality of Durham's Municipal Drinking Water Licence and Drinking Water Works Permit;
- iv) The agreement is for an initial term ending December 31, 2041; and

B) That the Regional Chair and Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement.

3. Amendments to Gross Vehicle Weight – Bridges By-Law #42-2019

(2022-W-27)

[CARRIED]

- A) That Corporate Services – Legal Services be directed to prepare an amending by-law to amend By-Law #42-2019, generally in the form included as Attachment #1 to Report #2022-W-27, for submission to Regional Council for passage; and
- B) That staff be authorized to take all steps necessary to give effect to the amendments contemplated to By-Law #42-2019 as indicated in the form included as Attachment #1.

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Smith,

(104) That the recommendations contained in Items 1 to 3 inclusive of Report #5 of the Works Committee be adopted.

CARRIED

9.4 **Report of the Committee of the Whole**

- 1. Updated Construction Cost Estimate and Additional Capital Financing for the Beaverton Supportive Housing Project (2022-COW-11)
[CARRIED ON A RECORDED VOTE]

This matter was considered earlier in the meeting. See Item 7.2 on pages 26 and 27.

10. Notice of Motions

10.1 Endorse No Urban Expansion for Community Area in Durham [MOTION WITHDRAWN]

Councillors Collier and Dies advised that they wished to withdraw the following motion:

Whereas the Region of Durham is in the process of undertaking Envision Durham, the Municipal Comprehensive Review (MCR) of the Regional Official Plan to accommodate a population of 1.3 million and 460,000 jobs by 2051;

And Whereas the Region is completing its MCR by looking at how and where our cities and towns may grow, how to use and protect our land and resources, what housing types and job opportunities are needed for our residents, and how people and goods will move across our region and beyond;

And Whereas in March 2022 the Region released Five Community Area Land Need Scenarios (Land Needs Scenarios Assessment Summary Report) to test a broad range of options for accommodating the 2051 forecast growth across Durham;

And Whereas the Land Needs Assessment report indicates that population growth can be accommodated in Durham with an intensification rate of 55% and a Greenfield area density of 64 people and jobs per hectare with 0 hectares of urbanization (see Scenario 5);

And Whereas scenarios that promote low density residential do not comply to the Growth Plan, 2020, and continue land consumptive sprawl that eliminates agricultural land, increases flooding, and impacts the natural environment;

And Whereas by increasing the intensification target and shifting the unit mix towards medium- and high-density dwellings, the total Community Area land needed to accommodate residential uses decreases from 5,400 hectares to a “no-urban-expansion” scenario to accommodate population growth;

And Whereas a “no-urban-expansion” scenario to accommodate population growth provides the necessary time to evaluate our evolving growth needs, as the official plan process calls for review and possible amendments every five years;

And Whereas by voting to grow within the existing urban footprint for residential uses, elected leaders end the threat to arable farmland, support local food production, combat climate change, protect natural heritage systems (rivers, wetlands, and agricultural lands), introduce new and balanced housing options, emphasize higher densities, and create more walkable, transit-friendly communities;

And Whereas in January 2020 Regional Council declared a climate emergency to acknowledge the impacts of climate change and reaffirm our commitment to mitigate and adapt to those impacts wherever possible, and in March 2021,

subsequently adopted greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction targets to become Net Zero by 2045, in alignment with the Federal Government's commitment under the Paris Agreement;

And Whereas the Impact of Land-use Scenarios on GHG Emissions report, presented at the March 18, 2022 Durham Region Roundtable on Climate Change Committee (DRRCC) by consulting firm Sustainability Solutions Group, referred to land-use policy as "the most cost-effective action a municipality can take" in reducing GHG emissions;

And Whereas the DRRCC will consider a subcommittee recommendation on April 22, 2022 calling on the Region to adopt Scenario 5, noting the further the Region moves away from Scenario 5, the more challenging and costly it will be to reduce emissions (Net Zero GHG emissions will not be feasible without a shift to a higher density urban form in Durham Region);

And Whereas the Town of Ajax has supported no urban expansion onto farmland for residential purposes in Durham Region's MCR process;

And Whereas municipalities including the City of Hamilton, City of Burlington, Town of Oakville, and Halton Region have endorsed "no-urban-expansion" scenarios as part of the MCR processes in their respective communities;

Now therefore be it resolved that:

1. Durham Regional Council supports no urban expansion onto farmland for residential purposes in Durham Region's MCR process (up to 2051);
2. Durham Regional Council strongly recommends that the Carruthers Creek Headwaters be put in the Greenbelt and not considered for urban expansion under Durham Region's MCR due to the ecological sensitivity of the area and significant flood and erosion risks posed to Ajax; and
3. This motion be circulated to the Hon. Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Hon. David Piccini, Minister of Environment Conservation and Parks, and all Durham area MPPs and municipalities.

10.2 Internet Providers and Extent of Coverage [REFERRED]

Moved by Councillor Smith, Seconded by Councillor Grant,
(105) Whereas reliable, consistent and affordable internet service is an important factor in the success of most Durham businesses, students, families and organizations;

And Whereas some areas of the Region of Durham have either no known internet coverage or unreliable, sporadic internet service;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Region of Durham generate for public information a list of internet providers, including the services offered, the extent of their coverage and areas served, and prices.

REFERRED TO STAFF
(See Following Motion)

Moved by Councillor Grant, Seconded by Councillor Smith,
(106) That the foregoing motion (105) of Councillors Grant and Smith be referred to the municipal services corporation (Durham ONENet Inc.) for a report back at the first opportunity.

CARRIED

11. Unfinished Business

There was no unfinished business to be considered.

12. Other Business

12.1 Motion from the Durham Active Transportation Committee re: June Bike Month **[CARRIED]**

Moved by Councillor Crawford, Seconded by Councillor Kerr,
(107) Whereas June is Bike Month;

And whereas Durham Regional Planning Staff have organized numerous bike friendly activities annually for over a decade to educate residents about cycling safety, promote the benefits of cycling, and encourage residents to bike more by participating in Bike Month throughout the month of June;

And whereas the Durham Active Transportation Committee fully supports the Region's planned Bike Month activities;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Durham Active Transportation Committee request Regional Council's support of the planned Regional Bike Month activities, and proclaim the month of June as Bike Month in the Region of Durham.

CARRIED

12.2 Vaccination Policy for Members of Regional Council (2022-A-15) **[CARRIED ON A 2/3RDS VOTE]**

Moved by Councillor Chapman, Seconded by Councillor Pickles,
(108) That subject to a 2/3rds majority vote, the remaining section of the motion as adopted by Council on September 29, 2021 be rescinded in its entirety:

"That all members of Regional Council be required to be fully vaccinated and provide proof of vaccination to Council Services by October 20, 2021, such requirements subject to exemption for certain medical conditions

and other protected grounds to ensure compliance with the Ontario Human Rights Code, and that non-compliance would result in the denial of the member to access a Regional facility.”

CARRIED on a 2/3rds VOTE

- 12.3 Application for a 71-unit Plan of Subdivision, Claremont Development Corporation, 5113 Old Brock Road, City of Pickering, OLT Case No. PL171210 (2022-COW-12)
[CARRIED]
-

Moved by Councillor Marimpietri, Seconded by Councillor Lee,

(109) A) That the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be authorized to settle Claremont Development Corporation’s appeal of the application before the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) on the terms set out in the attached confidential memorandum from the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development, the Commissioner & Medical Officer of Health and the Regional Solicitor; and

B) That the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be authorized to execute any agreement and documents necessary to implement the settlement of the appeal by Claremont Development Corporation, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner & Medical Officer of Health and the Regional Solicitor.

CARRIED

13. Announcements

Various announcements were made relating to activities and events within the Region and area municipalities.

14. By-laws

28-2022 Being a by-law to amend By-law #42-2019 to limit the gross vehicle weight of any vehicle or any class thereof passing over a bridge forming part of the Regional Road system.

This by-law implements the recommendations contained in Item #3 of the 5th Report of the Works Committee presented to Regional Council on May 25, 2022

Moved by Councillor Ashe, Seconded by Councillor Brenner,
(110) That By-law Number 28-2022 be passed.

CARRIED

15. Confirming By-law

29-2022 Being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of Regional Council at their meeting held on May 25, 2022.

Moved by Councillor Ashe, Seconded by Councillor Newman,

- (111) That By-law Number 29-2022 being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of the Regional Municipality of Durham at their meeting held on May 25, 2022 be passed.

CARRIED

16. Adjournment

Moved by Councillor Kerr, Seconded by Councillor Newman,

- (112) That the meeting be adjourned.

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 8:39 PM

Respectfully submitted,

John Henry, Regional Chair & CEO

Alexander Harras, Regional Clerk